

DELHI UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

DELHI UNI 'ERSITY LIBRARY

Cl. No. V2:8-1:A

Ac No. 69673

This book should be returned on or before the date last stamped below. An overdue charge of 5 Paise will be collected for each day the book is kept overtime.

PREFACE.

THE main object which I have proposed to myself in this volume is to collect, translate, and illustrate the principal passages in the different Indian books of the greatest antiquity, as well as in others of comparatively modern composition, which describe the creation of mankind and the origin of classes, or which tend to throw light upon the manner in which the caste system may have arisen.

I have not, however, hesitated to admit, when they fell in my way, such passages explanatory of the cosmogonic or mythological conceptions of the Indians as possess a general interest, although not immediately connected with the chief subject of the book.

Since the first edition appeared my materials have so much increased that the volume has now swelled to more than twice its original bulk. The second and third chapters are almost entirely new. The fourteenth and fifteenth sections of the fourth chapter are entirely so. Even those parts of the book of which the sub-

¹ The contents of these chapters are not, however, absolutely new, but drawn from articles which I have contributed to the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society since the first edition of the volume appeared

stance remains the same have been so generally expanded that comparatively little continues without some alteration of greater or less importance.

In order that the reader may learn at once what he may expect to find in the following pages, I shall supply here a fuller and more connected summary of their contents than is furnished by the table which follows this prefar

The introduction (pp. 1-6) contains a very rapid survey of the sources from which our information on the subject of easte is to be derived, viz. the Vedic hymns, the Brāhmaṇas, the Epic poems, and the Purāṇas, in which the chronological order and the general characteristics of these works are stated.

The first chapter (pp. 1-160) comprehends the mythical accounts of the creation of man and of the origin of castes which are to be found in the Vedic hymns, in the Brāhmanas and their appendages, in the Rāmāyana, the Mahābhārata, and the Purānas. The first section (pp. 7-15) contains a translation of the celebrated hymn called Purusha Sükta, which appears to be the oldest extant authority for attributing a separate origin to the four eastes, and a discussion of the question whether the creation there described was intended by its author to convey a literal or an allegorical sense. The second, third, and fourth sections (pp. 15-34) adduce a series of passages from the works standing next in chronological order to the hymns of the Rig-veda, which differ more or less widely from the account of the creation given in the Purusha Sükta, and therefore justify the conclusion

that in the Vedic age no uniform orthodox and authoritative doctrine existed in regard to the origin of castes. In the fifth section (pp. 35-42) the different passages in Manu's Institutes which bear upon the subject are quoted, and shewn to be not altogether in harmony with each other. The sixth section (pp. 43-49) describes the system of great mundane periods called . vantaras, and Kalpas, as explained in the Pur. shows that no traces of these periods are to be found in the hymns of the Rig-veda, and but few in the Brahmanas (compare p. 215 f.). Sections seventh and eighth (pp. 49-107) contain the accounts of the different creations, including that of the eastes, and of the primeval state of mankind, which are given in the Vishnu, Vāyu, and Markandeya Puranas, together with references (see pp. 52 ff., 68 ff.) to passages in the Biāhmanas, which appear to have furnished some of the germs of the various Puranic representations, and a comparison of the details of the latter with each other which proves that in somo respects they are mutually irreconcileable (see pp. 65 ff., 102 ff.). The ninth section (pp. 107-114) adduces the accounts of Brahma's passion for his daughter, which are given in the Aitareva Brāhmana and the Matsva Purāna. In the tenth section (pp. 114-122) are embraced such notices connected with the subject of this volume as I have observed in the Rāmāyana. In one of the passages men of all the four castes are said to be the offspring of Manu, a female, the daughter of Daksha, and wife of Kaśyapa. The eleventh section contains a collection of texts from the Mahābhārata and its appendage the Harivamsa, in which various and discrepant explanations are given of the existing diversity of castes, one of them representing all the four classes as descendants of Manu Vaivasvata (p. 126), others attributing the distinction of classes to an original and separate creation of each, which, however, is not always described as occurring in the same manner (pp. 128 ff. and 153); whilst others, again, more reasonably, declare the distinction to have arisen out of differences of character and action. This section, as well as the one which precedes it, also embraces accounts of the perfection which prevailed in the first yugas, and of the gradually increasing degeneracy which ensued in those that followed. The twelfth section (pp. 155-158) contains extracts from the Bhagavata Purana, which coincide for the most part with those drawn from the other authorities. One text, however, describes mankind as the offspring of Aryaman and Mātrikā; and another distinctly declares that there was originally but one caste. The thirteenth section (pp. 159 f.) sums up the results of the entire chapter, and asserts the conclusion that the sacred books of the Hindus contain no uniform or consistent theory of the origin of easte; but, on the contrary, offer a great variety of explanations, mythical, mystical, and rationalistic, to account for this social phenomenon.

The second chapter (pp. 160-238) treats of the tradition of the descent of the Indian nation from Manu. The first section (pp. 162-181) contains a series of texts from the Rig-veda, which speak of Manu as the progenitor of the race to which the authors of the hymns

belonged, and as the first institutor of religious rites; and adverts to certain terms employed in the hymns, either to denote mankind in general or to signify certain tribal divisions. The second section (pp. 181-196) adduces a number of legends and notices regarding Manu from the Brahmanas and other works next in order of antiquity to the hymns of the Rig-veda. The most in-'eresting and important of these legends is that of the deluge, as given in the Satapatha Brāhmana, which is afterwards (pp. 216 ff.) compared with the later versions of the same story found in the Mahābhārata and the Matsya, Bhagavata and Agni Puranas, which are extracted in the third section (pp. 196-220). Some romarks of M. Burnouf and Professor Weber, on the question whether the legend of a deluge was indigenous in India, or derived from a Semitic source, are noticed in pp. 215 f. The fourth section adduces the legendary accounts of the rise of castes among the descendants of Manu and Atri, which are found in the Puranas; and quotes a story given in the Mahābhārata about king Vitāhayya, a Kshattriya, being transformed into a Brāhman by the mere word of the sage Bhrigu.

In the third chapter (pp. 239-295) I have endeavoured to shew what light is thrown by a study of the hymns of the Rig- and Atharva-vedas upon the mutual relations of the different elasses of Indian society at the time when those hymns were composed. In the first section (pp. 240-265) the various texts of the Rig-veda in which the words brahman and brahman occur are cited, and an attempt is made to determine the senses in which those

words are there employed. The result of this examination is that in none of the hymns of the Rig-veda, except the Purusha Sūkta, is there any distinct reference to a recognized system of four eastes, although the occasional use of the word Brahmana, which is apparently equivalent to Brahma-putra, or "the son of a priest," and other indications seem to justify the conclusion that th priesthood had already become a profession, although is did not yet form an exclusive caste (see pp. 258 f., 263 ff.). The second section (pp. 265-280) is made up of quotations from the hymns of the Rig-veda and various other later works, adduced to shew that persons who according to ancient Indian tradition were not of priestly families were in many instances reputed to be authors of Vedic hymns, and in two cases, at least, are even said to have exercised priestly functions. These two cases are those (1) of Devāpi (pp. 269ff.), and (2) of Viśvāmitra, which is afterwards treated at great length in the fourth chapter. This section concludes with a passage from the Matsya Purāna, which not only speaks of the Kshattriyas Manu. Ida, and Purūravas, as "utterers of Vedic hymns" (mantrà-vādinah); but also names three Vaisyas, Bhalanda, Vandya, and Sankīrtti, as "composers of hymns" (mantra-kritah). The third section (pp. 280-289) shews by quotations from the Atharva-veda that at the period when those portions of that collection which are later than the greater part of the Rig-veda were composed, the pretensions of the Brahmans had been considerably developed. The fourth section (pp. 289-295) gives an account of the opinions expressed by Professor

R. Roth and Dr. M. Haug regarding the origin of castes.

The fourth chapter (pp. 296-479) contains a series of legendary illustrations derived from the Rāmāyana, the Mahābhārata, and the Purānas, of the struggle which appears to have occurred in the early ages of Indian history between the Brāhmans and the Kshattriyas, after the former had begun to constitute an exclusive sacerdotal class, but before their rights had become accurately defined by long prescription, and when the members of the ruling easte were still indisposed to admit their pretensions. I need not here state in detail the contents of the first five sections (pp. 296-317) which record various legends descriptive of the ruin which is said to have overtaken different princes by whom the Brāhmans were slighted and their claims resisted. The sixth and following sections down to the thirteenth (pp. 317-426) contain, first, such references to the two renowned rivals, Vasishtha and Viśyāmitra as are found in the hymns of the Rig-veda, and which represent them both as Vedie rishis; secondly, such notices of them as occur in the Brāhmanas, and shew that Viśvāmitra, as well as Vasishtha, had officiated as a priest; and, thirdly, a series of legends from the Rāmāyana and Mahābhārata which describe the repeated struggles for superiority in which they were engaged, and attempt, by a variety of fictions, involving miraculous elements, to explain the manner in which Viśvāmitra became a Brāhman, and to account for the fact which was so distinctly certified by tradition (see pp. 361 ff.), but appeared so unxii PREFACE.

accountable in later ages (see pp. 265 f., 364 ff.), that that famous personage, although notoriously a Kshattriya by birth, had nevertheless exercised sacerdotal functions.² The fourteenth section (pp. 426-430) contains a story from the Satapatha Brāhmana about king Janaka, a Rājanya, renowned for his stoical temperament and religious knowledge, who communicated theological instruction to

2 As I have omitted in the body of the work to say anything of the views of Signor Angelo de Gubernatis about the purport of the Vedic texts relating to Vasishtha and Visvāmitra, I may state here that this young Italian Sanskritist, in his Essay, entitled "Fonti Vediche dell' Epopea" (see the Rivista Orientale, vol 1 pp 409 ff., 478 ff.), combats the opinion of Professor Roth that these passages refer to two historical personages, and to real events in which they played a part, and objects that Roth "took no account of the possibility that a legend of the heavens may have been based upon a human foundation" (p. 409) Signor de Gubernatis further observes that the 33rd and 53rd hymns of the third Mandala of the Rig-veda "may perhaps have been recited at a later period in connection with some battle which really occurred, but that the fact which they celebrate seems to be much more ancient, and to be lost in a very remote myth" (p. 410). Visvāmitra, he considers, is one of the appellations of the sun, and as both the person who bears this name, and Indra are the sons of Kusika, they must be brothers (p. 412 See, however, the remarks in p. 347 f. of this volume on the epithet Kausika as applied to Indra). Sudás, according to Signor de Gubernatis (p. 413), denotes the horse of the sun, or the sun himself, while Vasishtha is the greatest of the Vasus, and denotes Agm, the solar fire, and means, like Visvāmitra, the sun (p. 483). Signor de Gubernatis is further of opinion (pp. 414, 478, 479, and 483) that both the 33rd and 53rd hynns of the third, and the 18th hymn of the seventh Mandala are comparatively modern; that the names of Kusikas and Visvāmitras claimed by the authors of the two former, are fraudulently assumed; while the last (the 18th hymn of the seventh Mandala) was composed by a sacerdotal family who claimed Vasishtha as its founder. I will only remark that the theory of Signor de Gubernatis appears to me to be an improbable one. But the only point of much importance for my own special purpose is that ancient Indian tradition represents both Vasishtha and Visvāmitra as real personages, the one of either directly divine, or of sacerdotal descent, and the other of royal lineage. They may, however, have been nothing more than legendary creations, the fictitious eponymi of the families which bore the same name.

some eminent Brāhmans, and became a member of their In the fifteenth section (pp. 431-436) two other instances are adduced from the same Brahmana and from two of the Upanishads, of Kshattriyas who were in possession of truths unknown to the Brahmans, and who, contrary to the usual rule, became the teachers of the The sixteenth section (pp. 436-440) contains an extract from the Aitareya Brahmana regarding king Viśvantara who, after at first attempting to prevent the Syaparna Brahmans from officiating at his sacrifice, became at length convinced by one of their number of their superior knowledge, and accepted their services. In the seventeenth section (pp. 440-442) a story is told of Matanga, the spurious offspring of a Brahman woman by a man of inferior caste, who failed, in spite of his severe and protracted austerities, to elevate himself (as Viśvāmitra had done) to the rank of a Brāhman. eighteenth section (pp. 442-479) contains a series of legends, chiefly from the Mahābhārata, regarding the repeated exterminations of the Kshattriyas by the warlike Brāhman Paraśurāma of the race of Bhrigu, and the ultimate restoration of the warrior tribe, and a variety of extravagant illustrations of the supernatural power of the Brālmans, related by the god Vāyu to king Arjuna, who began by denying the superiority of the priests, but was at length compelled to succumb to the overwhelming evidence adduced by his aerial monitor.

In the fifth chapter (pp. 480-488) I have given some account of the opinions entertained by Manu, and the

authors of the Mahābhārata and the Purānas, regarding the origin of the tribes dwelling within, or adjacent to, the boundaries of Hindustan, but not comprehended in the Indian caste-system.

The sixth and concluding chapter (pp. 489-504) contains the Puranic accounts of the parts of the earth exterior to Bhāratavarsha, or India, embracing first, the other eight Varshas or divisions of Jambudvīpa, the central continent; secondly, the circular seas and continents (dvīpas) by which Jambudvīpa is surrounded; and, thirdly, the remoter portions of the mundane system.

The Appendix (pp. 505-515) contains some supplementary notes.

As in the previous edition, I have been careful to acknowledge in the text and notes of this volume the assistance which I have derived from the writings of the different Sanskrit Scholars who have treated of the same subjects. It will, however, be well to specify here the various publications to which I have been indebted for materials. In 1858, I wrote thus: "It will be seen at once that my greatest obligations are due to Professor H. H. Wilson, whose translation of the Vishnu Purāna, with abundant and valuable notes, derived chiefly from the other Puranas, was almost indispensable to the successful completion of such an attempt as the present." In this second edition also I have had constant occasion to recur to Wilson's important work, now improved and enriched by the additional notes of the editor Dr. Fitzedward Hall. It is to his edition, so far as it has yet appeared, that my references have been made. I acknowledged at the same time the aid which I had received from M. Langlois' French translation of the Harivamsa, and from M. Burnouf's French translation of the first nine books of the Bhagavata Purana, which opened up an easy access to the contents of the original works. A large amount of materials has also been supplied to me, cither formerly or for the preparation of the present edition, by Mr. Colebrooke's Miseellaneous Essays; by Professor C. Lassen's Indian Antiquities; Professor Rudolph Roth's Dissertations on the Literature and History of the Vedas, and contributions to the Journal of the German Oriental Society, and to Weber's Indische Studien, etc.; Professor Weber's numerous articles in the same Journals, and his History of Indian Literature: Professor Max Muller's History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature, Chips from a German Workshop, article on the Funeral rites of the Brahmans, etc.: Professor Benfey's Glossary of the Sama Veda, and translations of Vedic hymus; Dr. Haug's text and translation of the Aitareya Brāhmana: while much valuable aid has been derived from the written communications with which I have been favoured by Professor Aufrecht, as well as from his Catalogue of the Bodleian Sanskrit MSS. I am also indebted to Professor Müller for pointing out two texts which will be found in the Appendix, and to Professor Goldstucker for copying for me two passages of Kumārila Bhatta's Mīmansā-vārttika, which are printed in the same place, and for making some corrections in my translations of them.

I formerly observed that at the same time my own researches had "enabled me to collect a good many texts which I had not found elsewhere adduced;" and the same remark applies to a considerable portion of the new matter which has been adduced in the present edition.

CONTENTS.

PAOES.

- v.-xvi. PREFACE.
 - 1—6. INTRODUCTION, CONTAINING A PRELIMINARY SURVEY OF THE SOURCES OF INFORMATION.
 - 7-160. CHAPTER I .- MYTHICAL ACCOUNTS OF THE CREATION OF MAN, AND OF THE ORIGIN OF THE FOUR CASTES.
 - 7— 15. Sect. I. Ninetieth hymn of the tenth Book of the Rigveda Sanhitā, called Purusha-Sūkta, or the hymn to Purusha.
- 15— 16. Sect. II. Quotation from the Taittirīya Sanhitā, vii. 1, 1, 4 ff.
- 17— 22. Sect. III. Citations from the Satapatha Brāhmana, the Taittirīya Brāhmana, the Vāyasaneyi Sanhitā, and the Atharva-veda.
- 22— 34. Sect. IV. Further quotations from the Taittirīya Brāhmana, Sanhitā, and Āranyaka, and from the Satapatha Brāhmana.
- 35-42. Sect. V. Manu's account of the origin of castes.
- 43— 49. Sect. VI. Account of the system of yugas, manvantaras, and kalpas, according to the Vishnu Purana and other authorities.
- 49— 73. Seca. VII. Account of the different creations, including that of the castes, according to the Vishnu Purana, with some passages from the Brahmanas, containing the germs of the Puranic statements.
- 74—107. Secr. VIII. Account of the different creations, including that of the castes, according to the Väyu and Märkandeya Puränas.

PAG

- 107—114. SECT. IX. Legend of Brahmā and his danghter, according to the Aitareya Brāhmana, and of Satarūpā, according to the Matsya Purāna.
- 114-122. Secr. X. Quotations from the Rāmāyana on the creation, and on the origin of castes.
- 122-155. Sect. XI. Quotations from the Mahābhārata and Harivamsa on the same subjects, and on the four yugas.
- 155—158. Sect. XII. Citations from the Bhagavata Purăna on the creation and on the origin of castes.
- 159-160. Sect. XIII. Results of this chapter.
- 161-238. CHAPTER II. TRADITION OF THE DESCENT OF THE INDIAN RACE FROM MANU.
- 162—181. S.cr. I. On Manu as the progenitor of the Aryan Indians and the institutor of religious rites, according to the hymns of the Rig-veda
- 181—196. Sect. II. Legend of Manu and the deluge from the Satapatha Brāhmana, and other notices regarding Manu from the Satapatha, Antarcya, and Taittirīya Brāhmanas, the Taittirīya Sanlitā, and the Chandegya Upanishad.
- 196—220. Sect III. Extracts from the Mahābhārata and the Matsya, Bhāgavata, and Agni Purāṇas regarding Manu, and the delugo; and comparison of the versions of this legend adduced in this and the preceding section.
- 220-238. Sect. IV. Legendary accounts of the origin of castes among the descendants of Manu and Atri, according to the Puragas.
- 239—295. CHAPTER III.—On the mutual relations of the different classes of Indian society, according to the hymns of the Rig- and Athabva-vedas.
- 240-265. Sect. I. On the signification of the words brahman and brahmana, etc., in the Rig-veda.
- 265—280. Secr. II. Quotations from the Rig-veda, the Nirukta, the Mahābhārata and other works, to show that according to ancient Indian tradition persons not of priestly families were authors of Vedic hymns, and exercised priestly functions.
- 280—289. SECT. III. Texts from the Atharva-veda, illustrating the progress of Brahmanical pretensions.
- 289—295. SECT. IV. Opinions of Professor R. Roth and Dr. M. Haug regarding the origin of caste among the Hindus.

- 996-400. CHAPTER IV. -- EARLY CONTESTS BETWEEN THE BRAIN-MANS AND THE KSHATTEIYAS.
- 296-298. Sect. I. Manu's summary of refractory and submissive monarchs.
- 298-306. SECT. II. Legend of Vena.
- 306-307. Sect. III. Legend of Pururavas.
- 307-315. Sect. IV. Story of Nahusha.
- 316-317. SECT. V. Story of Nimi.
- 317-337. Sect. VI. Vasishtha, according to the Rig-veds and later works.
- 337—371. Secr. VII. Viśvāmitra, according to the Rig-vcda, Aitareya Brāhmana and later authorities; earlier and later relations of pricetly families and the other classes.
- 371-375. Sect. VIIa. Do the details in the last two sections enable us to decide in what relation Vasishtha and Viśvāmitra stood to each other as priests of Sudās?
- 375-378. SECT. VIII. Story of Triśanku.
- 379-388. SECT. IX. Legend of Harischandra.
- 388-397. Secr. X. Contest of Vasishtha and Visvamitra, and entranco of the latter into the Brahman caste, according to the Mahabharata.
- 397—411. Secr. XI. The same legend, and those of Triśanku, and Ambarisha, according to the Rāmāyaṇa, with a further story about Viśvāmitra from the Mahābhārata.
- 411-414. Sec. XII. Other accounts from the Mahabharata of the way in which Visvamitra became a Brahman.
- 414—426. Sect. XIII. Legend of Saudāsa, and further story of the rivalry of Vasishtha and Visvāmitra, according to the Mahābhārata, with an extract from the Rāja Taranginī.
- 426—430. SECT. XIV. Story from the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa about king Janaka becoming a Brāhman, with extracts from the Mahābhārata about the same prince.
- 431—436. Sect. XV. Other instances in which Brahmans are said to have been instructed in divine knowledge by Kshattriyas.
- 36-440. Secr. XVI! Story of king Visvantara and the Syaparna Brahmans.
- 440-442. Sect. XVII. Story of Matanga, who tried in vain to raise himself to the position of a Brähman.

PAGES

517-532. INDEX.

- 442-479. Sect. XVIII. Legend of the Brāhman Paraśurāma, the exterminator of the Kshattriyas, according to the Mahābhārata and the Bhāgavata Purāṇa, with a series of narratives from the former work illustrating the superhuman power of the Brāhmans.
- 480-488. CHAPTER V RELATION OF THE BRAHMANICAL INDIANS TO THE NEIGHBOURING TRIBES, ACCORDING TO MANU, THE MANABHĀRATA, AND THE PUHĀNAS.
- 489-504. CHAPTER VI. PURANIC ACCOUNTS OF THE PARTS OF THE EARTH EXTERIOR TO BURBATAVARSHA. OR INDIA.
- 505-516. Appendix, containing supplementary notes.

ERRATA ET CORRIGENDA.

```
Page 23, line 19, for "beingy cllow" read "being yellow."
  ,, 38, ,, 17 ff , for "59-64" read "58-63"
             4 from foot, for "p 36" read "p 37."
  " 46, " 26, for "p. 42" read "p. 43."
             8, for "12,826" road "in. 826."
  ,, 47, ,,
  " 61, " 17, for " Purushottasna " read " Purushottama."
  " 123, " 19, for "to" read "tu."
  ,, 127, ,, 18 f., for "the two by which these three are followed," read "two of
                  those which follow, viz in pp 134 and 139."
  " 136, " 18, for "116" read "11 and 12."
  ,, 169, ,, 26, for "Vivaswat" read "Vivasvat"
  ,, 170, ,, 28 and 33, for "Mütariswan" read Mütaris van."
  ,, 171, ,, 26, for " As'wins" read " Asvins."
  " 180, " 28, before " Prajāpatir " insert "ii 33."
  ,, 194, ,, 5, for "mā bhaja" read "mā ābhaja."
  " 221, " 20, before " Prishadhras" insert "iv 1, 12."
  ., 222, ,,
              7, for "1x. 2" read "1x 2, 16"
          ,, 13, before " Nabhago " insert "iv. 1. 14."
   ,, 235, ,, 19, for "iv." read "ix."
   ,, 251, ,, 27, for "3" read "2."
               3 from the foot, for "vin." read "vin."
   ,, 258, ,,
              8, for " Dīlipāt" read " Dilīpāt."
   ,, 274, ,,
   ,, 280, ,, 14, for "was" read "were."
   " 307, " 10, for "virāt" read "virāj."
   " 308, " 24, before " Nahusho" meert "12460."
              4, for "139 f." read "161 f."
   ,, 318, ,,
   " 371, " 12, for "vii." read " vua."
   ,, 399, ,, 18, for "58, 18" read "56, 18."
   ,, 487, ,, 2, for "thei resertion" read "their desertion."
```

ORIGINAL · SANSKRIT TEXTS.

PART FIRST.

INTRODUCTION

CONTAINING A PRELIMINARY SURVEY OF THE SOURCES OF INFORMATION.

I PROPOSE in the present volume to give some account of the traditions, legends, and mythical narratives which the different classes of ancient Indian writings contain regarding the origin of mankind, and the classes or castes into which the Hindus have long been distributed. In order to ascertain whether the opinions which have prevailed in India on these subjects have continued fixed and uniform from the earliest period, or whether they have varied from age to age, and if so, what modifications they have undorgone, it is necessary that we should first of all determine the chronological order of the various works from which our information is to be drawn. This task of classification can, as far as regards its great outlines, be easily accomplished. Although we cannot discover sufficient grounds for fixing with any precision the dates of these different books, we are perfectly able to settle the order in which the most important of those which are to form the basis of this investigation were composed. From a comparison of these several literary records, it will be found that the Hindus, like all other civilized nations, have passed through various stages of development, -social, moral, religious, and intellectual. ideas and beliefs which are exhibited in their oldest documents, are not the same as those which we encounter in their later writings.

The principal books to which we must look for information on the subjects of our enquiry are the Vedas, including the Brāhmanas and Upanishads, the Sūtras, the Institutes of Manu, and the Itihāsas and Purānas. Of these different classes of works, the Vedas are allowed by all competent enquirers to be by far the most ancient.

Thore are, as every student of Indian literature is aware, four Vedas,—the Rig-veda, the Sāma-veda, the Yajur-veda, and the Atharva-veda. Each of the collections of works known as a Veda consists of two parts, which are called its mantra and its brāhmaṇa.¹ The Mantras are either metrical hymns, or prose forms of prayer. The Rig-veda and the Sāmaveda consist only of mantras of the former description. The Brāhmanas contain regulations regarding the employment of the mantras, and the celebration of the various rites of sacrifice, and also embrace certain treatises called Āraṇyakas, and others called Upanishads or Vedāntas (so called from their being the concluding portions of each Voda), which expound the mystical sense of some of the coremonics, and discuss the nature of the godhead, and the means of acquiring religious knowledge with a view to final liberation.

The part of each Veda which contains the mantras, or hymns, is called its Sanhitā. Thus the Rig-veda Sanhitā means the collection of hymns belonging to the Rig-veda. Of the four collections of hymns, that belonging to the last-mentioned Veda, which contains no less than 1,017 of these compositions, is by far the most important for historical purposes. Next in value must be reckoned those hymns of the Atharvaveda, which are peculiar to that collection, another portion of which, however, is borrowed, in most cases, verbatim, from the Rig-veda.

- ¹ Sāyana says in his commentary on the Rigyeda (vol. p. i. p. 4). Mantra-brāh matātmakam tīvad adushtam lahshaņam | ata voa Āpastambo yaŋna-paribhāshāyām voāha 'mantra-brāhmaṇayor veda-nāmadheyam' | "The definition (of the Veda) as a book composed of mantra and brāhmaṇa, is unobjectionable. Hence Āpastamba says in the Yajinaparibhāshā, 'Mantra and Brāhmaṇa havo the name of Veda'"
- ² This definition applies to all the Sanhitās, except that of the Taittirīya, or black Yajur, Veda, in which Mantra and Brāhmaṇa are combined. But even this Sanhitā had a separate Brāhmaṇa connected with it. See Miller's Alic. Sansk. Lit. p. 350, and Weber's Indische Literaturgeschichte, p. 83. The general character of the Vājasansyi and Atharva Sanhitās is not affected by the fact that the last section of the former is an Upanishad, and that the fifteenth book of the latter has something of the nature of a Brāhmaṇa.
- ³ For further information on the Vedas, reference may be made to Professor Max Muller's Ancient Sanskrit Literature, passum, and also to vols. in in. and iv. of the present work.

From this succinct account of the contents of the Vedas, it is clear that the Mantras must constitute their most ancient portions, since the Brāhmanas, which regulate the employment of the hymns, of necessity pre-suppose the earlier existence of the latter. On this subject the commentator on the Taittirīya, or Black Yajur-veda, Sanhitā thus expresses himself (p. 9 of the Calcutta edition):—

Yadyapı mantrabrühmanütmako vedas tathüpı brühmanasya mantravyākhūna-rūpatvūd mantrā evādau samāmnūtūh | "Although the Veda is formed both of Mantra and Brūhmana, yet as the Brūhmana consists of an explanation of the Mantras, it is the latter which were at first recorded."

The priority of the hymns to the Brahmanas is accordingly attested by the constant quotations from the former which are found in the latter.5 Another proof that the hymns are far older than any other portion of Indian literature is to be found in the character of their language. They are composed in an ancient dialect of the Sanskrit, containing many words of which the sense was no longer known with certainty in the ago of Yaska, the author of the Nirukta, and many grammatical forms which had become obsolete in the time of the great grammarian Pānini, who refers to them as peculiar to the hymns (chhandas). A third argument in favour of the greater antiquity of the mantras is supplied by the fact that the gods whom they represent as the most prominent objects of adoration, such as Indra and Varuna, occupy but a subordinate position in the Itihasas and Puranas, whilst others, viz. Vishnu and Rudia, though by no means the most important deities of the hymns, are exalted to the first rank, and assume a different character, in the Puranic pantheon.

⁴ See also the passage quoted from the Nirukta in p. 174 of the 2nd vol of this work, and that eited from Sāyana in p. 195 of the same vol Compare the the collowing passage of the Mundaka Upanishad, i. 2, 1 Tad etat satyam mantreshu karmāni kavayo yāny apasyams tāni tretāyām bahudhā santatāni | "This is truothe rites which the rishs saw (i.e. discovered by revelation) in the hymns—these rites were in great variety celebrated in the Tretā (ago)."

<sup>See vol 11. of this work, p 195, and the article on the "Interpretation of the Veda" in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol. ii. new series, pp. 316 ff.
See vol. ii. of this work, pp. 178 ff, and my article on the "Interpretation of the Veda" in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol. 11. new series, pp. 323 ff.</sup>

⁷ See vol 11. of this work, pp 216 ff.

⁸ Sec vol. ii. of this work, 212 ff, and vol iv. 1, 2, and passim.

On all these grounds it may be confidently concluded that the mantras, or hymns, of the Rig-veda are by far the most ancient remains of Indian literature. The hymns themselves are of different periods, some being older, and some more recent. This is shown not only by the nature of the case, -as it is not to be supposed that the whole of the contents of such a large national collection as the Rigveda Sanhitā should have been composed by the men of one, or even two, generations,-but also by the frequent references which occur in the mantras themselves to older rishis, or poets, and to older hymns. It is, therefore, quite possible that a period of several centuries may have intervened between the composition of the oldest and that of the most recent of these poems. But if so, it is also quite conceivable that in this interval considerable changes may have taken place in the religious ideas and ceremonics, and in the social and ecclesiastical institutions of the people among whom these hymns were produced. and that some traces of these changes may be visible on comparing the different hymns with each other.

No sufficient data exist for determining with exactness the period at which the hymns were composed. Professor Muller divides them into two classes, the Mantras or more recent hymns, which he supposes may have been produced between 1000 and 800 years,-and the older hymns, to which he applies the name of Chhandas, and which he conceives may have been composed between 1200 and 1000 years. -before the Christian era. Other scholars are of opinion that they may be even older (see Muller's Anc. Sansk. Lit., p. 572, and the Preface to the 4th Vol. of the same author's edition of the Rig-veda, pp. iv.-xiii). This view is shared by Dr. Haug, who thus writes in his introduction to the Aitareya Brahmana, p. 47: "We do not hesitate, therefore, to assign the composition of the bulk of the Brahmanas to the years 1400-1200 B.C.; for the Samhita we require a period of at least 500-600 years, with an interval of about two hundred years between the end of the proper Brahmana period. Thus we obtain for the bulk of Samhita the space from 1400-2000; the oldest hymns and sacrificial formulas may be a few hundred years more ancient still, so that we would fix the very commencement of Vedic literature between 2000-2400 B.c."

⁹ See vol. is. of this work, pp. 206 ff, and vol. itis, pp. 116 ff., 121 ff.

Next in order of time to the most recent of the hymns come, of course, the Brahmanas. Of these (1) the Aitarcva and Sankhayana are connected with the Rig-veda; (2) the Tandya, the Panchavimsa and the Chhandogya with the Sama-veda; (3) the Taittiriya with the Taittirīva or Black Yajur-veda; (4) the Satapatha with the Vājasanevi Sanhitā or White Yajur-vcda; and (5) the Gopatha with the Atharva-vcda.10 These works, written in prose, prescribe, as I have already intimated, the manner in which the Mantras are to be used and the various rites of sacrifice to be celebrated. They also expound the mystical signification of some of the ceremonies, and adduce a variety of legends to illustrate the origin and efficacy of some of the ritual prescriptions. That in order of age the Brahmanas stand next to the Mantras is proved by their simple. antiquated, and tautological style, as well as by the character of their language, which, though approaching more nearly than that of the hymns, to classical or Paninean Sanskrit, is yet distinguished by certain archaisms both of vocabulary and of grammatical form which are unknown to the Itihasas and Puranas.11 The most recent portions of the Brāhmanas are the Aranyakas and Upanishads, of which the character and contents have been already summarily indicated. The remaining works which form the basis of our investigations come under the designation of Smriti, as distinguished from that of Sruti, which is applied to the Mantras, Brāhmanas, Aranyakas, and Upanishads.

The term Smriti includes (1) the Vedängas, such as the Nirukta of Yāska, (2) the Sûtras or aphorisms, śrauta and grihya, or sacrificial and domestic, etc., (3) the Institutes of Manu, (4) the Ithāsas and Purāṇas. To the class of Ithāsas belong (1) the Rāmāyaṇa (said to be the work of Valmīki), which contains an account in great part, at least, fabulous, of the adventures of Rāma, and the Mahābhārata, which describes the wars and adventures of the Kurus and Pāndus, and embraces also a great variety of episodes and numerous mythological narratives, as well as religious, philosophical, and political discussions, which are interwoven with, or interpolated in, the framework of the poem. This

¹⁰ For further details on these Brilmanas, the reader may consult Professor Max Mullor's Anc. Sansk. Lt. pp. 315 ff., Professor Weber's Indische Lateraturgeschiehte, and Indische Studien, and Dr. Haug's Aitareya Brahmana.

¹¹ See, for example, the S'. P. Br. xi. 5, 1, 15, and the Tantt. Sanhitā, ii. 2, 10, 2, and ii. 6, 7, 1.

work is said to be the production of Vyāsa, but its great bulk, its almost encyclopædic character, and the discrepancies in doctrine which are observable between its different parts, lead inevitably to the conclusion that it is not the composition of a single author, but has received large additions from a succession of writers, who wished to obtain currency and authority for their several opinions by introducing them into this great and venerated repository of national tradition.¹²

The Purānas are commonly said to be eighteen in number, in addition to certain inferior works of the same description called Upapurānas. For an account of these books and a summary of their contents, I must refer to the late Professor H. H. Wilson's introduction to his translation of the Vishau Turāna.¹³

In treating the several topics which are to be handled in this volume, I propose in each case to adduce, first, any texts bearing upon it which may be found in the hymns of the Rig-veda; next, those in the Brahmanas and their appendages; and, lastly, those occurring in any of the different classes of works coming under the designation of Smriti. By this means we shall learn what conceptions or opinions were entertained on each subject by the oldest Indian authors, and what were the various modifications to which these ideas were subjected by their successors.

¹² On the Ramayana and Mahabharata, see Professor Monier Williams's "Indian Epic Poetry," which contains a careful analysis of the leading narrative of each of the poems.

¹³ See also the same author's analyses of the contents of the Vishnu, Väyu, Agm, and Brihma-varvartta Purānas in the "Gleanings of Science," published in Calcutta, and those of the Brähma and Pädnia Purānas in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, No. 1x (1838) and No. x. (1839).

CHAPTER I.

MYTHICAL ACCOUNTS OF THE CREATION OF MAN, AND OF THE ORIGIN OF THE FOUR CASTES.

It will be seen from the different texts to be adduced in this chapter, that from a very early period the Indian writers have propounded a great-variety of speculations regarding the origin of mankind, and of the classes or eastes into which they found their own community divided. The most commonly received of these explanations is the fablo which represents the Brahmans, Kshattriyas, Vaiśyas, and Südras, to have been separately created from the head, the breast or arms, the thighs, and the feet of the Croator. Of this mythical account no trace is to be found in any of the hymns of the Rig-veda, except one, the Purusha Sükta.

Although for reasons which will be presently stated, I esteem it probable that this hymn belongs to the most recent portion of the Rigveda, it will be convenient to adduce and to discuss it first, along with certain other texts from the Brühmanas, Itihäsas, and Purānas, which professedly treat of the origin of mankind and of caste, before we proceed to examine the older parts of the hymn-collection, with the view of ascertaining what opinion the authors of them appear to have entertained in regard to the earliest history of their race, and to the grounds of those relations which they found subsisting between the different classes of society contemporary with themselves.

Sect. I.—90th Hymn of the 10th Book of the Reg-veda Sanhitā, called Purusha Sākta, or the hymn to Purusha.

This celebrated hymn centains, as far as we know, the oldest extant passage which makes mention of the fourfold origin of the Hindu race.

In order to appreciate the character of this passage, we must consider it in connection with its context. I therefore quote the whole of the hymn:

R. V. x. 90. 1. Sahasra-śīrshā Purushah sahasrākshah sahasrapāt | sa bhūmim viśvato vritvā atyatishthad daśāngulam | 2. Purushah evedam sarvam yad bhūtam yachcha bhāvyam | utāmritatvasyeśāno yad annenātīrohatī | 3. Etāvān asya mahīmā ato jyāyāmscha Pūrushah pādo 'sya visvā bhūtāni tripād asyāmrītam dīvī | 4. Trīpād ūrdhva ud ait Pūrushah pādo 'syehābhavat punah | tato vishian vyakrāmat sāśanānaśane abhi | 5. tasmād Virāl ajāyata Virājo adhi Pūrushah | sa jāto aty arichyata paśchād bhūmim atho purah | 6. Yat Purushena havishā devāh yajnam atanvata | vasanto asyāsīd ājyam grīshmah idhmah sarad hatth | 7. Tam yanam barhishi praukshan Purusham jūtam agratah | tena devāh ayajanta sādhyāh rishayas cha ye | 8. Tasmād yajnāt sarvahutah sambhritam prishadājyam | pašūn tūm̃s chakre vāyavyān āranyān grāmyāś cha ye | 9. Tasmād yajnāt sarvahutah richah samani jajnire | chhandamsı jajnire tasmad yajus tasmad ajayata | 10. Tasmād aśvā ajāyanta ye ke cha ubhayādatah | gāvo ha jajnire tasmāt tasmāj jātāķ ajārayaķ | 11. Yat Puruskam vi adadhuh katıdha vi akalpayan | mukham kım asya kau baha ka ürü pādā uchyete | 12. Brāhmaņo 'sya mukham āsīd bāhū rājanyah kritah | ūrū tad asya yad vaisyah padbhyām sūdro ajāyata | 13. chandramāh manaso jātas chalshoh sūryo ajāyata \ mukhād Indras cha Agnis cha pranad Vayur ajayata | 14. Nabhyah asid antariksham sīrshno dyauh samai arttata | padbhyām bhūmir disah srotrāt tathā lokūn akalpayan | 15. Saptūsyūsan paridhayas trih sapta samidhah kritah | derah yad yajnam tancanah abadhnan Purusham pasum | 16. Yajnena yajnam ayajanta devās tāni dharmāni pratha-

¹⁴ The Purusha Sukta is also found in the Vajasaheri Sanbitā of the Whito Yajar-veda (31. 1-16) and in the Atharva-veda (19. 6. 1 ff.) See Colchrooke's Miscellaneous Essays, 1. 167 f., and note in p. 309 (or pp 104, and 197, of Messas Wilhams and Norgate's edition), Burnouf's Bhāgsvata Purāna, vol i. Preface, pp exxiin ff.; Wilson's Preface to his translation of the Rigveda, vol. 1 p. xlv.; Professor Roth's remarks in the Journal of the German Oriental Society, 1. pp. 78 f.; Muller in Burnsen's Philosophy of Univ. History, vol. 1, p. 344; Muller's Auc. Sank. Lit., pp. 570 f., Professor Weher's translation in Indische Studion ix. p. 5, and my own translation, notes and remarks in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1865, pp. 353 fl., and for 1866, pp. 282 f.

mānr āsan | te ha nākam mahimānah sachanta yatra pūrve sādhyāh santr derāh |

"1. Purusha has a thousand heads, is a thousand eyes, a thousand feet. On every side enveloping is the earth, he overpassed if (it) by a space of ten fingers. 2. Purusha himself is this whole (universe), whatever has been and whatever shall be. He is also the lord of immortality, since (or, when) by food he expands. Is 3. Such is his greatness, and Purusha is superior to this. All existences are a quarter of him; and three-fourths of him are that which is immortal in the sky. Is 4. With three quarters Purusha mounted upwards. A quarter of him was again produced here. He was then diffused everywhere over things which cat and things which do not eat. 5. From him was was born Virāj, and from Virāj, Purusha. Whon born, he extended beyond the earth, both behind and before. 6. When the gods performed a sacrifice with Purusha as the oblation, the spring was its butter, the summer its fuel, and the autumn its (accompanying) offering.

7. This victim, Purusha, born in the beginning, they immolated on

¹⁵ The Atharva-veda (xix 6, 1) reads sahasra-bāhuh, "having e thousand arms," the transcriber, perhaps, taking the verse literally, and considering that a heing in human form, if he had a thousand eyes and a thousand feet, ought only to have five hundred heads, and not a thousand as in the text of the Rig-veda.

¹⁶ For vritvā in the R. V. the Vājasaneyi Sanhītā, 31. 1, reads spritvā, which seems to mean nearly the same.

¹⁷ The word is atyatishthat. Compare the Satapatha Bramana, xiii. 6, 1, 1, and atishthāvānah in S'. P. B. 1v. 5, 4, 1, 2. Professor Weber renders atyatishthat "occupies" (Indische Studien, ix 5).

¹⁸ The sense of this is obscure. Instead of yad annenātirohati, the A. V. reads yad anyenābhavat saha, ("that which," or, "since he) was with another."

¹⁹ Compare A. V. x. 8,7 ardhena visvom bhuvanam jojāna yad asya ardham kva tad babhūva "with the half he produced the whole world; what became of the (other) half of him?" See also ihid. v. 13.

This sentence is illustrated by R. V x 72, 5, where it is said, Aduter Daksho apāyata Dakshā au Aduth pari | "Aduti was born from Daksha and Daksha from Aduti"—a text on which Yāska remarks (Nirukta, xi 23): tat katham upapadyeta | samāna-janmānau syātām sti | api vā deva-dharmena itaretara-janmānau syātām sti | api vā deva-dharmena itaretara-janmānau syātām sti | or, conformahly with their naturo as deities, they may have been produced from one another, and possess the properties of one another." Compare A. V. 13. 4. 29 ff., where Indra is said to have been produced from a great many other gods, or entities, and they reciprocally from him. In rogard to Virāj, compare the notes on the verse hefore us in my article on the "Progress of the Vedic religion," etc., in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1865, p. 334.

the sacrificial grass. With him the gods, the Sadhyas," and the rishis 8. From that universal sacrifice were provided ourds and sacrificed. butter. It formed those aerial " (creatures) and animals hoth wild and tame. 9. From that universal sacrifice sprang the rich and saman verses, the metres, and the yajush. 10 From it sprang horses, and all animals with two rows of teeth; kine sprang from it; from it goats 11. When (the gods) divided Purusha, into how many and sheop. parts did they cut him up? what was his mouth? what arms (had he)? what (two objects) are said (to have heen) his thighs and feet? 12. Tho Brāhman was his mouth;28 the Rājanya was made his arms; the heing (called) the Vaisya, he was his thighs;24 the Sudra sprang from his fect. 13. The moon sprang from his soul (manas), the sun from his eye, Indra and Agni from his mouth, and Vayu from his breath 25 14. From his navel arose the air, from his head the sky, from his feet the earth, from his car the (four) quarters: in this manner (the gods) formed the worlds. 15. When the gods, performing sacrifice. bound Purusha as a victim, there were seven sticks (stuck up) for it

²¹ See on the Sadhyas, Professor Weber's note, Ind. St. ix. 6 f, and the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1866, p. 396, note

²² See, however, Vaj Sanh. xiv 30, to be quoted below.

² Compare the Kaushitaki Brāhmana Upanishad, ii. 9 atha paurnamāsuām purastuch chandramasam drisyamanam upatishtheta etaya eva avrita "somo rajasi pichakshano pancha mukho'si prajapatih | brahmanas te ekam mukham | tena mukhena rājno 'tsi | tena mukhena mām annūdam kuru | rājā te ekam mukham | tena mukhena vijo tsi | tena mukhena mam annadam kuru | syenas te ekam mukham "ityadi | which is thus translated by Mr. Cowell "Next on the day of the full moon let him in this same way adore the moon when it is seen in front of him (saying), 'thou art Soma, the brilliant, the wise, the five-monthed, the lord of creatures The Brahman is one mouth of thine, with that mouth thou eatest kings, with that mouth make me to eat food. The king is one mouth of thine, with that mouth thou eatest common men. with that mouth make mo to eat food. The hawk is one mouth of thine," etc. The fourth mouth is fire, and the fifth is in the moon itself. I should prefer to render the words some raja'ss, "thou art king Soma,"-"king" being a frequent designation of this god in the Brahmanas See also M. Bh un. 12,962, where Vishnu is introduced as saying in the same mystical way . Brahma vaktram bhuyau kshattram uru me samsthituh visah | padau s'udrah bhavantime vikramena kramena cha | "The Brahman is my mouth, the Kshattra is my arms, the Visas are my thighs, these Sudans with their vigour and rapidity are my feet"

²⁶ Instead of uru, "thighs," the Atharva-veda, xix. 6, 6, reads madhyam, "middle."
26 The Vaj. S. xxxi. 13, has a different and singular reading of the last half verse irotrad voyus cha pranas cha mukhād agnir ajāyata | "From his ear came Vāyu and Frana (Freath) and from his mouth Agui."

(around the fire), and thrico seven pieces of fuel were made. 16. With sacrifice the gods performed the sacrifice. These were the earliest rates. These great powers have sought the sky, where are the former Sādhyas, gods."²⁰

I have above (p. 7) intimated an opinion that this hymn does not belong to the most ancient pertion of the Rig-veda. This view is, however, controverted by Dr. Haug, who, in his tract on "the erigin of Brahmanism" (published at Poona in 1863), p. 5, writes as follows: "The few scholars who have been engaged in the study of the Vedas unanimously regard this hymn as a very late production of Vedic poetry, but there is no sufficient ovidence to prove that. On the contrary, reasons might be adduced to show that it is even old. The mystical character of the hymn is no proof at all of its late origin. Such allegorical hymns are to be met with in every book of the collection of the mantras, which goes by the name of Rig-veda samhitā. The Rishis, who were the authors of these hymns, delighted in such speculations. They chiefly were suggested to them by the sacrificial rites, which they daily were performing. According to the position which is assigned to it in the Yajur-veda (where it is found among the formulas referring to the human sacrifice), the hymn appears to have been used at the human sacrifices. That, at the earliest period of the Vedic time, human sacrifices were quite common with tho Brahmans, can be proved beyond any doubt. But the more eminent and distinguished among their leaders soon abandoned the practice as rovolting to human feelings. The form of the sacrifice, however, seems to have been kept for a long time; for the ritual required at that occasion is actually in the Yajur-veda; but they only tied men of different eastes and classes to the sacrificial posts, and released them afterwards, sacrificing animals instead of them."

If it could be satisfactorily shown that this hymn, in the same form as we now possess it, existed contemporaneously with the barbarous practice of human sacrifices which Dr. Haug believes to have at one time prevailed in India, we should, no doubt, have in this circumstance a strong proof of its antiquity. But if it was merely adopted as a part of the ceremonial at a later period, when the immolation or human

²⁷ This verse occurs also in R. V. i. 164, 50, and is quoted in Nirukta, xii. 14. See the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1866, p. 395, note, already referred to.

beings had ceased to be otherwise than formal and nominal, and animals were substituted as the actual victims, the evidence of its remote antiquity is greatly weakened.

If we now compare the Purusha Sükta with the two hymns (162 and 163) of the first Mandala of the Rig-veda, it will, I think, be apparent that the first is not adapted to he used at a literal human sacrifice in the same manner as the last two are to be employed at the immolation of a horse. There are, no doubt, some mystical passages in the second of these two hymns, as in verse 3, where the horse is identified with Yama. Aditya, and Trita; and "in the last section of the Taittirīva Yajurveda the various parts of the horse's body are described as divisions of time and portions of the universe: 'morning is his head; the sun his eye; the air his breath; the mach his ear," etc. (Colebrooke's Essays, i. 62)." But the persons who officiate at the sacrifice, as referred to in these hymns, are ordinary priests of the ancient Indian ritual,—the hotri, adhvaryu, avayaj, etc. (i. 162, 5); and details are given of the actual slaughter of the animal (i. 162, 11). The Purusha Sukta, howover does not contain the same indications of the literal immedation of a human victim. In it the sacrifice is not offered to the gods, but by the gods (verses 6, 7, 15, 16); no human priests are mentioned; the division of the victim (v. 11) must be regarded, like its slaughter (v. 7), as the work of the deities only. And the Purusha mentioned in the hymn could not well have been regarded as an ordinary man, as he is identified with the universe (v. 2), and he himself, or his immolation, is represented as the source of the creation (vv. 8, 10, 13, 14), and of the Vedas (v. 9).

As compared with by far the largest part of the hymns of the Rigveda, the Purusha Sūkta has every character of modernness both in its diction and ideas. I have already observed that the hymns which we find in this collection are of very different periods. This, I believe, is not disputed.** The authors themselves, as we have seen, speak of newer and older hymns. So many as a thousand compositions of this description could scarcely have been produced within a very short space of time, and there is no reason to suppose that the literary activity of the ancient Hindus

¹⁷ Compare the commencement of the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad.

²⁸ See Dr. Haug's own remarks (quoted above, p 4) on the period when the hymns were composed.

was confined to the period immediately preceding the collection of the hymns. But if we are to recognize any difference of age, what hymns can we more reasonably suppose to be the oldest than those which are at once archaic in language and style, and naive and simple in the character of their conceptions? and, on the other hand, what compositions can more properly be set down as the most recent than those which manifest an advance in speculative ideas, while their language approaches to the modern Sanskrit? These latter conditions seem to be fulfilled in the Purusha Sükta, as well as in hymns x. 71 and 72, x. 81 and 82, x. 121, and x. 129.

On this subject Mr. Colebrooko states his opinion as follows (Miscollaneous Essays i. 309, note): "That remarkable hymn (the Purusha Sükta) is in language, metre, and style, very different from the rest of the prayers with which it is associated. It has a decidedly more modern tone; and must have been composed after the Sanserit language had been refined, and its grammar and rhythm perfected. The internal evidence which it furnishes serves to demonstrate the important fact that the compilation of the Vedas, in their present arrangement, took place after the Sanscrit tongue had advanced from the rustic and irregular dialect in which the multitude of hymns and prayers of the Veda was composed, to the polished and sonorous language in which the mythological poems, sacred and prophane (purānas and cāryas), have been written."

Professor Max Muller expresses himself in a similar sense (Anc. Sansk. Lit., p. 570 f.): "There can be little doubt, for instance, that the 90th hymn of the 10th book is modern both in its character and in its diction. It is full of allusions to the sacrificial ceremonials, it uses technical philosophical terms, it mentions the three seasons in the order of Vasanta, spring; Grīshma, summer; and S'arad, sutumn; it contains the only passage in the Rig-veda where the four castes are enumerated. The evidence of language for the modern date of this composition is equally strong. Grīshma, for instance, the name for the hot season, does not occur in any other hymn of the Rig-veda; and Vasanta also, the name of spring, does not belong to the earliest vocabulary of the Vedic poets. It occurs but once more in the Rig-veda (x. 161. 4), in a passage where the three seasons are mentioned in the order of S'arad, autumn; Hemanta, winter; and Vasanta, spring."

Professor Weber (Indische Studien, ix. 3) concurs in this view. He observes: "That the Purusha Sūkta, considered as a hymn of the Rig-veda, is among the latest portions of that collection, is clearly perceptible from its contents. The fact that the Sāma-sanhitā has not adopted any verse from it, is not without importance (compare what I have remarked in my Academical Prelections, p. 63). The Naigeya school, indeed, appears (although it is not quite certain), so to have extracted the first five verses in the seventh prapāthaka of the first Archika, which is peculiar to it."

We shall see in the following chapter that the word brahmana occurs but rarely in the Rig-voda Sanhıtā, while brahman, "a priest," from which the former is derived, is of constant occurrence. From this circums when the may be reasonably concluded that the hymns in which the derivative occurs are among the latest. The same remark may be made of the word varsya, as compared with vis. 30

Mr. Colcbrooke's opinion of the character of the Purusha Sūkta is given in the following passage of his "Miscellaneous Essays" (vol i. p. 161, note; or p. 105 of Williams & Norgate's ed. of 1858); "I think it unnecessary to quote from the commentary the explanation of this curious passage of the Vedas as it is there given, because it does not really clucidate the sense; the allegory is for the most part sufficiently physics.

In his tract on "on the origin of Brahmanism," p. 4, Dr. Haug thus remarks on verses 11 and 12: "Now, according to this passage, which is the most ancient and authoritative we have on the origin of Brahmanism, and easte in general, the Brahman has not come from the mouth of this primary being, the Purusha, but the mouth of the latter became the Brahmanical easte, that is to say, was transformed into it. The passage has, no doubt, an allegorical sense. Mouth is the seat of speech. The allegory thus points out that the Brahmans are teachers and instructors of mankind. The arms are the seat of strength. If the two

³⁹ See on this subject Weber's foot-note, p. 3.

so Professor Aufrecht informs me that the word vaisys does not occur in any other hymn of the Rig-veda but the Purusha Sükta, only once in the Atharva-veda, v. 17, 9, and not at all in the Vaj. Sanh., except in the Purusha Sükta. The same scholar remarks, as another proof of the comparatively late date of the Purusha Sükta, that it is the only hymn which refers to the four different kinds of Vedic compositions rich, samān, chhandas, and yayush.

arms of the Purusha are said to have been made a Kshattriya (warrior), that means, then, that the Kshattriyas have to earry arms to defend the empire. That the thighs of the Purusha were transformed into the Vaisya means that, as the lower parts of the body are the principal repository of food taken, the Vaisya easte is destined to provide food for the others. The creation of the Shudra from the feet of the Purusha, indicates that he is destined to be a servant to the others, just as the foot serves the other parts of the body as a firm support."

But whether the writer of the hymn intended it to be understood allegorically or not, it conveys no distinct idea of the manner in which he supposed the four castes to have originated. It is, indeed, said that the S'ūdra sprang from Purusha's feet; but as regards the three superior castes and the members with which they are respectively connected, it is not quite clear which (i.e., the castes or the members) are to be taken as the subjects and which as the predicates, and consequently, whether we are to suppose verse 12 to declare that the three castes were the three members, or, conversely, that the three members were, or became, the three castes.

But whatever may be the sense of the passage, it is impossible to receive it as enunciating any fixed doctrine of the writers of what is called the Vedie age in regard to the origin of the four eastes; since we find, if not in the mantras or hymns, at least in the Brāhmaṇas (which, as we have seen in page 2, are esteemed by orthodox Indian writers as being equally with the hymns a part of the Veda), not only (1) texts which agree with the Purusha Sūkta, but also (2) various other and discrepant accounts of the manner in which these classes were separately formed, as well as (3) third a class of narratives of the creation, in which the production of the human race is described without allusion to any primordial distinction of eastes.

To the first of these classes (viz., that of texts which coincide more or less exactly with the Purusha Sūkta) belongs the following passage from the Tattiriya Sanhitä.

Sect. II .- Quotation from the Taittiriya Sanhita, vii. 1. 1. 4 ff.

Prajūpatir akūmayata "prajūyeya" iti | sa mukhatas brivritam niramimīta | tam Agnir devatū 'nvasūyata gūyatrī chhandro rathantaram

sama brahmano manushyanam ajah pasanam | tasmat te mukhyah mukhato hy asriyyanta | uraso būhubhyūm panchadasam niramimīta | tam Indro devatā 'nvasrijyata trishtup chhando brihat sāma rājanyo manushyānām avih paśūnām | tasmāt to vīryāvanto vīryād hy asrijyanta | madhyatah saptadaśam niramimita | tam Viśvedevāh devatāh anvasriyanta jagatī chhando vairūpam sāma vaisyo manushyānām gāvah pasūnām | tasmāt te ādyā annadhānād hy asrijyanta | tasmād bhūyāmso 'nyebhyah | bhūyishthāh hi devatāh anvasrijyanta | pattah ekavimsam niramimīta | tam anushtup chhando 'nvasrijyata vairājam sāma śūdro manushyanam asvah pasanam | tasmat tau bhuta-sankramınav asvas cha śūdraś cha | tasmāt śūdro yajne 'navaklripto na hi devatūķ anvasrijyanta | tasmāt pādāv upajīvatah | patto hy asrijyetām | "Prajapati desired, 'may I propagate.' He formed the Trivrit (stoma) from his mouth. After it were produced the deity Agni, the metre Gayatri, the Saman (called) Rathantara, of men the Brahman, of beasts the goats. Hence they are the chief (mulhyāh). because they were created from the mouth (mukhatah). From (his) breast, from (his) arms, he formed the Panchadaśa (stoma). it were created the god Indra, the Trishtubh metre, the Saman (called) Brihat, of men the Rajanya, of heasts the sheep. Hence they are vigorous, hecause they were created from vigour. From (his) middle he formed the Saptadaśa (stoma). After it were created the gods (called) the Viśvedevas, the Jagati metre, the Saman called the Vairupa, of men the Vaisya, of heasts kine. Hence they are to he caten, because they were created from the receptacle of food. Wherefore they are more numerous than others, for the most numerous deities were created after (the Saptadasa). From his foot he formed tho Ekavimsa (stoma). After it wore created the Anushtubh metre, the Saman called Vairaja, of men the Sudra, of beasts the horse. Hence these two, both the horse and the Sudra, are transporters of (other) creatures. Hence (too) the Sudra is incapacitated for sacrifice, hecause no deities were created after (the Ekavimsa). Hence (too) these two subsist by their feet, for they were created from the foot."

Sect. III — Citations from the Satapatha Brūhmana, the Taittiriya Brūhmana, the Vūjasaneyi Sanhitū, and the Atharva-reda.

The following texts belong to the second class—i.e., that of those which recognize a distinct origination of the castes, but describe their creation differently from the Purusha Sūkta:

S. P. Br. ii. 1, 4, 11 ff.—"Bhūr" iti vai Prajūpatir imām ajanayata "bhūvah" ity antariksham "svar" iti dīvam | etāvad vai idam sarvam yāvad ime lokāḥ | sarveṇa eva ādhīyate | "bhūr" iti vai Prajūpatir brahma ajanayata "bhūvaḥ" iti kshattram "svar" iti viśam | etāvad vai idam sarvam yāvad brahma kshattram viṭ | sarveṇa eva ādhīyate | "bhūr" iti vai Prajūpatir ātmānam ajanayata "bhūvaḥ" iti prajūm "svar" iti paśūn | etāvad vai idam sarvam yāvad ātmā prajāh paśavaḥ | sarveṇa-eva ādhīyate |

"(Uttering) 'bhūh,' Prajāpati genorated this earth. (Uttering) 'bhuvah,' he generated the air, and (uttering) 'svah,' he generated the sky. This universe is co-extensive with these worlds. (The fire) is placed with the whole. Saying 'bhūh,' Prajāpati generated the Brahman, (saying) 'bhuvah,' he generated the Kshattra; (and saying) 'svah,' he generated the Viś. All this world is so much as the Brahman, Kshattra, and Viś. The fire is placed with the whole. (Saying) 'bhūḥ,' Prajāpati generated himself; (saying) 'bhuvaḥ' he generated offspring; (sayiog) 'svah,' he generated animals. This world is so much as self, offspring, and animals. (The fire) is placed with the whole."

Taitt. Br. iii. 12, 9, 2.—Sarvañ hedam brahmaṇā havva srishtam | rigbhyo jātam vaisyam varṇam āhuḥ | yajurvodam kshattriyasyāhur yonim | sāmavedo brāhmaṇānām prasūtiḥ | pūive pūrvebhyo vacha etad ūchuḥ |

"This entire (universe) has been created by Brahma. Men say that the Vaisya class was produced from rich-verses. They say that the Yajur-veda is the womb from which the Kshattriya was born. The Sāma-veda is the source from which the Brāhmans sprang. This word the ancients declared to the ancients."

To complete his account of the derivation of the castes from the

Vedas, the author had only to add that the Südras had sprung from the Atharvangirases (the Atharva-veda); but he perhaps considered that to assign such an origin to the servile order would have been to do it too great an honour.

Vājasaneya Sanhitā, xiv. 28 ff. (= Taittirīya Sanhitā, iv. 3, 10, 1).ekayā astuvata prajāķ adhīyanta Prajāpatir adhipatir āsīt | tisribhir astuvata brahma asrijyata Brahmanaspatir adhipatir āsīt | pañchabhir astuvata bhūtūny asrijyanta Bhūtanimpatir adhipatir ūsīt | saptabhir astuvata sapta rishayo 'sriqyanta Dhūtū adhipater āsīt | navabhir astuvata pitaro 'srijyanta Aditir adhipatny ūsīt | ekūdasabhir astuvata ritaro 'ernyanta ürtavüh adhipatayah üsan | trayodasabhır astuvata müsü asrijyanta samvatsaro 'dhipatir asīt | pañchadasabhir astuvata kshattram asrijyata Indro 'albipatir āsīt | saptadašabhir astuvata pašavo 'erijyanta Brihaspatir adhipatir āsīt | navadašabhir astuvata sūdrāryāv asrijyetām ahorātre adhipatnī ūstām | ekavimsatyā astuvata ekasaphāh pasaib 'srijyanta Varuno 'dhipatir āsīt | trayovimsatyā astuvata kshudrāh pasavo 'erijyanta Pūshā adhipatir āsīt | panchavimsatyā astuvata aranyāh paśavo 'srijyanta Vūyur adhipatir ūsīt | saptavimšatyā astuvata dyāvūprithivi vyastām | Vasavo Rudrā Adstyāh anuvyāyan | te eva adhipatayah asan | navavimsatya astuvata vanaspatayo'srijyanta Somo'dhipatir āsīt | ekatrimsatā astuvata projā asrijyanta yavās cha ayavās cha adhipatayah asan | trayastrimsata astuvata bhutany asamyan Prajapatih Parameshthi adhipatir āsīt |

"He lauded with one. Living beings were formed: Prajāpati was the ruler. He lauded with three: the Brahman (Brāhman) was created: Brahmanaspati was the ruler. He lauded with five: existing things were created: Bhūtānāmpati was the ruler. He lauded with seven: the seven rishis were created: Dhātri was the ruler. He lauded with nine: the Fathers were created: Aditi was the ruler. He lauded with eleven: the seasons were created: the Ārtavas were the rulers. He lauded with thirteen: the menths were created: the year was the ruler. He lauded with fifteen: the Kshattra (the Kshattriya) was created: Indra was the ruler. He lauded with seventeen: animals were created: Brihaspati was the ruler. He lauded with nineteen: the Sūdra and the Arya (Vaisya) were created: day and night were the rulers. He lauded with twenty-one: animals with undivided hoofs were created: Varuna was the ruler. He lauded with twenty-three:

small animals were created: Pūshan was the ruler. He lauded with twenty-five: wild animals were created: Vāyu was the ruler (compare R.V. x. 90, 8). He lauded with twenty-seven: heaven and earth separated: Vasus, Rudras, and Âdityas separated after them: they were the rulers. He lauded with twenty-nine: trees were created: Soma was the ruler. He lauded with thirty-one: living beings were created: The first and second halves of the month a were the rulers. He lauded with thirty-one: existing things were tranquillized: Prajāpati Parameshthin was the ruler. This passage is explained in the Satapatha Brāhmana viii. 4, 3, 1 ff.

The following text is of a somewhat mystical description; but appears to intimate a distinction in nature between the different castes corresponding to that of the gods with whom they are associated:

S. P. Br. xiv. 4, 2, 23 (= Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, i. 4, 11 ff. (p. 235).—Brahma vai idam agre āsīd ekam cva] tad ekam san na vyabhavat | | tat śreyo rūpam aty asrijata kshattram yūny etūni devatrā kshattrūni Indro Varunah Somo Rudrah Parjanyo Yamo Mrityur İsanah iti | tasmat kshaltrüt param nüsti | tasmäd brühmanah kshaltrıyad adhastād upüste rājasūye kshattre eva tad yaso dadhāti | sā eshā kshattrasya yonir yad brahma | tasmüd yadyapı rüjü paramatüm gachhatı brahma eva antatah upaniśrayati svūm yonim | yah u ha enam hinasti svūm sa yonim richhati | sa pāpīyān bhavatī yathā śreyānsam hīmsītvā | 24. Sa na eva vyabhavat l sa risam asrijata yany etani deva-jatani ganasah olhyayante vasaro rudrāh ādityāh viśvedevāh marutah iti | 25. Sa na eva vyabhavat | sa saudram varnam asrijata pūshanam | iyam vai pūshā iyam hi idam sarvam pushyati yad idam kincha | 26. Sa na eva vyabhavat | tat śreyo rūpam aty asrijata dharmam | tad etat kshattrasya kshattram yad dharmah | tasmad dharmat param nasti | atho abaliyan baliyamsam asamšate dharmena yathū rūjnā evam | yo var sa dharmah satyam vai tat tasmāt satyam vadantam āhur "dharmam vadati" iti | dharmam vā

³¹ The Taittriya Sanhitä reads yāvāh and ayāvāh (instead of yāvāh and ayāvāh as in the Vājasaneyi Sanhitā) and in another passage, v. 3, 4, 5 (as I learn from Prof. Aufrecht), explains these terms to mean respectively months and half months (māsā vai yāvāh arāhamāsāh ayāvāh), whilst the commentator on the V. S. understands them to mean the first and second halves of the month, in accordance with the S.P. B. viii. 4, 3, 16, and viii. 4, 2, 11 (pārapakshā vai yavāh aparapaksha ayavāh i te hi idaās saramā yavate chāyavate cha) | Prof. Aufrecht also points out that yāva is explained in Kātyayana's S'rauta Sūtras, iv. 11, 8, as equivalent to yavamayam apūpam, "a cake of barley."

vadantam "satyam vadati" its | etad hy eva etad ubhayam bhavati | 27. Tad etad brahma kshattram vıţ śūdraḥ | tad Agninā eva deveshu brahmābhavad brāhmano manushyeshu kshattrıyena kshattrıyo vaiśyena vaiśyah śūdrena śūdrah | tasmād Agnāv eva deveshu lokam ıchhanto brāhmane manushyeshu | etābhyām hı rūpubhyūm brahma abhavat |

23. "Brahma (here, according to the commentator, existing in the form of Agni, and representing the Brāhman easte 32) was formerly this (universe), one only. Being one, it did not develope. It energetically created an excellent form, the Kshattra, viz., those among the gods who are powers (kshattrāni), India, Varuna, Soma, Rudra, Parjanya, Yama, Mrityu, Îśāna Hence nothing is superior to the Kshattra. Therefore the Brahman sits below the Kshattriya at the rajasuya-sacrifice; he confers that glery on the Kshattra (the royal power).35 the Brahma, is the source of the Kshattra. Hence, although the king attains supromacy, he at the end resorts to the Brahma as his source. Wheever destroys him (the Brahman) destroys his own source. He becomes most miserable, as one who has injured a superior. 24. He did not develope. He ereated the Vis-viz., those classes of gods who are designated by troops, Vasus, Rudras, Adıtyas, Viśvedevas, Maruts. 25. He did not develope. He created the Sudra class, Pushan. earth is Pushan; for she nourishes all that exists. 26. He did not develope. He energetically ereated an excellent form, Justice (Dharma). This is the ruler (kshattra) of the ruler (kshattra), namely. Justice. Hence nothing is superior to justice. Therefore the weaker seeks (to overcome) the stronger by justice, as by a king. This justice is truth. In consequence they say of a man who speaks truth, 'he speaks

32 Atra yad ātma-iabdenoktam srashţrı Brahma tad Agnım srishtvā agre Agni-rūpūpannam Brāhmana-jūty-abhımānavad asmın vākye Brahma-sabdenābhıdhīyate |

so This rendering of the last few words is suggested by Professor Aufrecht. The commentators understand them to mean that the Brāhmans give the king their own glory (that of being a Brahman) and they refer to a formula hy which at the rājasūyasacrifice the king, after addressing the priest as Brāhman, is addressed in return with the word "Thou, king, art a Brāhmān" (team rājan brahmān), etc. See the Taittirīja Sanhitā 1. 8, 16, 1, where the commentator remarks "As in common life domestic priests and others, sitting below a king seated on his throne after his return from conquering a foreign territory, address him with many benedictions and eulogics, so here too service is presented. By this benedictory service the power of cursing and showing kindness existing in the Brāhmans is transferred to the king." Reference is their made to the passage hefore us, as nothern this custom.

justice; or of a man who is uttering justice, he speaks truth.' For this is both of these. 27. This is the Brahma, Kshattra, Viś, and Südra. Through Agni it became Brahma among the gods, the Brahman among men, through the (divine) Kshattriya a (human) Kshattriya, through the (divine) Vaiśya a (human) Vaiśya, through the (divine) Südra a (human) Südra. Wherefore it is in Agni among the gods and in a Brāhman among men, that they seek after an abode."

Taitirīya Brāhmaṇa, i 2, 6, 7.—Daivyo vai varno brāhmaṇaḥ | asuryyo śūdrah. "The Biāhman easte is sprung from the gods; the Sūdra from the Asuras."

Taittiriya Brūhmana, iii. 2, 3, 9.—Kūmam era dūru-pūtrena duhyūt | śūdraḥ era na duhyūt | asato rai esha sambhūto yat śūdraḥ | ahavir eva tad ity ūhur yat śūdro dogdhi iti | aynihotram eva na duhyūt śūdraḥ | tad hi na utpunanti | yadā khalu vai pavitram atyeti atha tad havir iti | "Let him at his will milk out with a wooden dish. But let not a Sūdra milk it out. For this Sūdra has sprung from non-existence. They say that that which a Sūdra milks out is no oblation. Let not a Sūdra milk out the Agmhotra. For they do not purify that. When that passes beyond the filter, then it is an oblation."

Atharva-veda, iv. 6, 1—Brāhmano jajne prathamo daśaśirsho dasūsyah | sa somam prathamuh papau sa chakūrūrasam visham | "Tho Brāhman was born the first, with ten heads and ten faces. He first drank the soma; he made poison powerless."

As the description (which is, perhaps, a fragment of a longer account), stops short here, we are left in the dark as to the author's ideas about the creation of the other castes. It would have interested us to know how many heads and faces he would have assigned to the other three castes. The student of Indian poetry is aware that the giant Rāvana is represented in the Rāmāyaṇa both as a Brāhman and as faving ten heads.

As implying a separate origination of the Rajanya easte, the following text also may find a place here:

Taittīrīya Sanhitā, 11. 4, 13, 1.—Derā vai rājanyāj jāyamānād abibhayuḥ | tam antar eva santam dāmnā 'paumbhan | sa vai esho 'pobdho jāyate yad rājanyo | yad vai esho 'napobdho jāyeta vrittrān ghams charet | yam kāmayeta rājanyam "anapobdho jayeta vrittrān ghams chared" iti tasmai etam aindrā-bārhaspatyam charum niniapet | aindro vai rāunyo brahma Brihaspatih | brahmanā eva enam dāmno 'pombhanād munchati | hiranmayam dāma dakshinā sākshād eva enam damno 'pombhanād munchati | "The gods were afraid of the Rājanya when he was in the womb. They bound him with bonds when he was in the womb. Consequently this Rājanya is born bound. If he were born unbound he would go on slaying his enemies. In regard to whatever Rājanya any one desires that he should be born unbound, and should go on slaying his enemies, let him offer for him this Aindra-Bārhaspatya oblation. A Rājanya has the character of Indra, and a Brahman is Brihaspati. It is through the Brahman that anyone releases the Rājanya from his bond. The golden bond, a gift, manifestly releases from the bond that fetters him."

In the following text of the Atharva-veda, xv. 8, 1, a new account is given of the origin of the Rajanyas:

So 'rajyata tato rajanyo 'jayata |

"He (the Vrātya) became filled with passion: thence sprang the Rājanya."

And in the following paragraph (A. V. xv. 9, 1 ff) we have the same origin ascribed to the Brähman also:

Tad yasya evam vidvān trātyo rūjno'tithir grihān āgachhet śreyāmsam enam ātmano mānayet | tathā kshattrāya nāvrišchate tathā rāshṭrāya nāvrišchate | ato vai brahma cha kshattram cha udatishṭhatām | te abrūtām "kam pravišāva" ti |

"Let the king to whose house the Vrātya who knows this, comes as a guest, eause him to be respected as superior to himself. So doing he does no injury to his royal rank, or to his realm. From him arose the Brahman (Brāhman) and the Kshattra (Kshattriya). They said, 'Into whom shall we enter,' etc.'

Sect. IV. - Further Quotations from the Taittiriya Prāhmana, Sanhītā, and Āranyaka, and from the Satapatha Brāhmana.

The following passages belong to the third of the classes above adverted to, as in the descriptions they give of the creation, while they refer to the formation of men, they are silent on the subject of any separate origination of castes:

Taittirīva Brāhmana, ii. 3, 8, 1.—Prajāpatīr akāmayata "prajāyeya" eti | sa tapo 'tapyata | so 'ntarvan abhavat | sa haritah śyavo 'bhavat | tasmāt strī antarvatnī harī<u>n</u>ī satī šyāvā bhavatī | sa vijāyamāno garbhena atāmyat | sa tāntaḥ kṛishṇa-śyāvo 'bhavat | tasmāt tāntaḥ kṛishṇaḥ śwavo bhavatı | tasya asur eva ajīvat | 2. Tena asuna asuran asrijata | tad asurānam asuratvam į ya evam asurānām asuratvam veda asumān eva bhavati | na enam asur jahūti | so 'surān srishtvā pitā wa amanyata | tad anu pitrīn asrijata | tat pitrīnām pitritvam | ya evam pitrīnām pitritvam veda pitā īva eva svānām bhavati (3) yanty asya pitaro havam | sa pitrîn spishtva 'manasyat | tad anu manushyan aspijata | tad manushyanam manushyatvam | yah evam manushyanam manushyatvam veda manasvī era bhavatı na enam manur jahātı | tasmai manushyān sasrijānaya divā devatrā abhavat | tad anu devān aerijata | tad devānājā deratvam | ya evam devānām deratvam veda divā ha eva asya devatrā bhavatı tānı var etānı chatvarı ambhamsı devāh manushyah pıtaro 'surāh | teshu sarveshu ambho nabhah wa bhavati |

"Prajāpati desired, 'may I propagate.' He practised austerity. He became pregnant. He became yellow-brown.34 Hence a woman when pregnant, being yellow, becomes brown. Being pregnant with a foetus, he became exhausted. Being exhausted, he became blackish-brown. Hence an exhausted person becomes blackish-brown. His breath became alive. 2. With that breath (asu) he created Asuras. Therein consists the Asura-nature of Asuras. He who thus knows this Asuranature of Asuras becomes a man possessing breath. Breath does not forsake him. Having created the Asuras, he regarded himself as a father. After that he created the Fathers (Pitris). That constitutes the fatherhood of the Fathers. He who thus knows the fatherhood of the Fathers, becomes as a father of his own: (3) the Fathers resort to his oblation. Having created the Fathers, he reflected. After that he created men. That constitutes the manhood of men. He who knows the manhood of mon, becomes intelligent. Mind 35 does not forsake him. To him, when he was creating men, day appeared in the heavons. After that he created the gods. This constitutes the godhead of the gods. To him who thus knows the godhead of the gods, day appears in

^{*} Nīla-śveta-miśra-varnah, "of a mixed blue and white colour," says the Commentator.

³⁵ Manuh = manana-saktsh, "the power of thinking." Comm.

the heavens. These are the four streams, so viz., gods, men, Fathers, and Asuras. In all of these water is like the air."

Satapatha Brahmana, vii. 5, 2, 6.—Prajāpatir vai idam agre āsīd ekah eva | so 'kāmayata "annam srijeya prajāyeya" iti | sa prānebhyah eva adhi pasūn niramimīta manasah purusham chakshusho 'svam prānād gām śrotrādavim vācho 'jam | tad yad enān prānebhyo 'dhi niramimīta tasmād āhuh "prānāh pasavah" iti | mano vai prānām prathamam | tad yad manasah purusham niramimīta tasmād āhuh "purushah prathamah pasūnām iīryyavattamah" iti | mano vai sarve prānāh | manasi hi sarve prānāh pratishthitāh | tad yad manasah purusham niramimīta tasmād āhuh "purushah sarve pasavah" iti | purushasya hy ete sarve bhavanti |

"Prajāpati was formerly this (universe), one only. He desired, 'let me create food, and be propagated.' He formed animals from his breaths, a man from his soul, a horse from his eye, a bull from his breath, a sheep from his ear, a goat from his voice. Since he formed animals from his breaths, therefore men say, 'the breaths are animals.' The soul is the first of the breaths. Since he formed a man from his seul, therefore they say, 'man is the first of the animals, and the strongest.' The soul is all the breaths; for all the breaths depend upon the soul. Since he formed man from his soul, therefore they say, 'man is all the animals;' for all these are man's."

S. P. Br. xiv. 4, 2, 1 (= Brihadūranyaka Upanishad, p. 125).—Ātmā eva idam agre ūsīt purusha-vidhah | so'nuvīkshya na anyad ūtmano'pasyat | "so'ham asmi" ity agre cyūharat | tato'ham-nāmā abhavat | tasmūd apy etarhy ūmantrito "ham ayam" ity eia agre uktiā atha anyad nāma prabrūte yad asya bhavati | 2. Sa yat pūrio'smūt sarvasmūt sarvān pūpmanah aushat tasmūt purushah | oshati ha vai sa tam yo'smūt pūrvam bubhūshati yah evam veda | 3. Lo'bibhet | tasmūd ekākī bibheti |

The Commentary not very satisfactorily explains this as meaning, "All these four abodes of the gods, etc., are like waters—i.e., suited to yield enjoyment, as ponds, rivers, etc., are fit for bathing, drinking," etc. The phrase is repeated in the Vishing Purāṇa, i. 6 (vol. i., p. 79, of Dr. Hall's edition), and in his note Professor Wilson says ambhāmas "is also a pecuhar and probably a mystic term." It is explained in the Väyu Purāṇa, as will he seen further on. The last words of the quotation from the Brahmana are obscure. In another passage of the same work (in. 8, 18, 1, 2) the terms ambhas, nabhas, and mahas, are declared to denote respectively "earth," "air," and "sky" (... ayañ vas loko "mbhāmsis... antariksham vas "is vas loko mahāmsis".

sa ha ayam īkshūnehakre yad "mad anyad nāsti kasmād nu bibhemi" iti | tatah eva asya bhayam vīyāya | kasmād hy abheshyat | dvītīyād var bhayam bhavati | 4. Sa var nava reme | tasmād ekūkī na ramate | sa dvītīyam archhat | sa ha etūvān ūsa yathā strī-pumūm̃sau samparishvaktau | 5 Sa imam eva ātmūnam dvedhā 'pātayat | tatah patrh patnī eha abhavatām | tasmād "idam ardhavrīgalam va svah" iti ha sma āha Yājnavalkyah | tasmād ākāšah striyā pūryate eva | tām samabhavat | tato manushyāh ajāyanta | 6. Sā u ha iyam īkshūnehakre "katham nu mā ātmanah eva janayitvā sambhavati hanta tiro 'sūni' iti | 7. Sā gaur abhavat vrīshabhah itaras tām sam eva abhavat | tato gūvah ajūyanta | 8. Vadavā itarā abhavad aśvavrīshah itarah gardabhī itarā gardabhah itaras tām sam eva abhavat | tatah elasapham ajūyata | 9. Ajā itarā abhavad vastah itarah avīr itarā meshah itarah | tum sam eva ahhavat tato 'jūvayo' jūyanta | evam eva yad idam kincha mīthunam ū pīppīlikābhyas tat sarvam asrijata | 37

"This universe was formerly soul only, in the form of Purusha. Looking closely, he saw nothing but himself (or soul). He first said, 'This is I.' Then he became one having the name of I. Hence even now a man, when called, first says, 'this is I,' and then declares the other name which he has. 2. Inasmuch as he, before (pūrvah) all this, burnt up (aushat) all sins, he (is called) purusha. The man who knows this burns up the person who wishes to be before him. 3. He was afraid. Hence a man when alone is afraid. This (being) considered that 'thero is no other thing but myself: of what am I afraid?' Then his fear departed. For why should he have feared? It is of a second person that people are afraid. 4. He did not enjoy happiness. Hence a person when alone does not enjoy happiness. He desired a second. He was so much as a man and a woman when locked in embrace. 5. He caused this same self to fall asunder into two parts. Thence arose a husband and a wife. 38 Hence Yajvanalkya has said that 'this one's self is like the half 30 of a split pea.' Hence the void is filled up by

³⁷ This passage has heen already translated by Mr. Colebrooke, Essays i. 64, as well as by Dr. Roer, in the Bibliotheca Indica.

³⁸ Manu and S'atarūpā, according to the Commentator.

²⁹ Compare Taitt. Br in 3, 3, 5 Atho arddho vai esha ātmano yat patnī ["Now a wife is the half of one's self," and ihid. iii 3, 3, 1 Ayano vai esha yo 'patnikuh | na prajāḥ prajāyeran ["The man who has no wife is unfit to sactifice. No children will be born to him." We must not, however, suppose from these passages that the

woman. He cohabited with her. From them men were born. 6. She reflected, 'how does he, after having produced me from himself, cohabit with me? Ah! let me disappear.' 7. She became a cow, and the other a bull; and he cohabited with her. From them kine were produced. 8. The one became a mare, the other a stallion, the one a she-ass, the other a male-ass. He cohabited with her. From them the class of animals with undivided hoofs was produced. The one became a she-goat, the other a he-goat, the one a ewe, the other a ram. He cohabited with her. From them goats and sheep were produced. In this manner pairs of all creatures whatsoever, down to ants, were created "

The next passage describes men as descendants of Vivasvat, or the Sun, without specifying any distinction of classes:

Taittirīya Sanhitā vi. 5, 6, 1 f.—Aditth putrakāmā sādhyebhyo devebhyo brahmaudanam apachat | tasyai uchchheshanam adaduh | tat prāśnāt sā reto 'dhatta | tasyai chatvārah Adityāh ayāyanta | sā dvitīyam apachat | sā 'manyata " uchchheshanād me ims 'jñata | yad agre prāśishyāmi ito me vasīyāmso janishyanto" iti | sā 'gre prāśnāt sā reto 'dhatta tasyai vyriddham ānḍam ayāyata | sā Ādityebhyah eva tritīyam apachat "bhogāya me idam śrāntam astv" iti | te 'bruvan " varam rīnāmahai yo 'to jāyāta asmākam sa sho 'sat | yo 'sya prajāyām ridhyātai asmākam bhogāya bhavād" iti | tato Vivasiān Ādityo 'jāyata | tasya vai iyam prajā yad manushyāh | tāsv elah eva riddho yo yajate sa devānām bhogāya bhavati |

"Adıtı, desirous of sons, cooked a Brahmaudana oblation for the gods the Sādhyas. They gave her the remnant of it. This she ate. She conceived seed. Four Adıtyas were born to her. She cooked a second (oblation). She reflected, 'from the remains of the oblation these sons have been born to me. If I shall eat (the oblation) first, more brilliant

estimation in which women were held by the authors of the Brūbmanas was very high, as there are other texts in which they are spoken of disparagingly, such as the following Taitt. Sanh. vi. 5, 8, 2—Sa somo nātishṭhata strībhyo grinyamāṇaḥ | tam ghritam vaṇam kritvā 'ghnan tam nirindriyam bhūtam agrihnan | tasmāt striyo nirindriyā adāyādir apv-pāpāt pumsa upastitaram vadanti. ["Soma did not abide, when being poured out to women. Making that butter a thunderbolt they smote it. They poured it out when it had become powerless. Hence women, powerless, and portionless, speak more humbly than even a poor man." (Compare the quotation in the Commentary on the Taitt. Sanhitā, Vol. i. p. 996.) Taitt. Sanh. vi. 5, 10, 3. Tasmāt striyam jātām parāsyanti ut pumāñsam haranti | "Hence they reject a female (child) when born, and take up a male" (Compare Nirukta, iii. 4, iii. 4).

40 Compare Taitt. Br. iii. 3, 10, 4. Prayayā ki manuskyah pūrnah, "For by off-spring a man is completed."

(sons) will be born to me. She ate it first; she conceived seed; an imperfect egg was produced from her. She cooked a third (oblation) for the Ādityas, (repeating the formula) 'may this religious toil have been undergone for my enjoyment' The Ādityas said, 'Let us choose a boon: let any one who is produced from this be ours only; let anyone of his progeny who is prosperous be for us a source of enjoyment.' In consequence the Āditya Vivasvat was born. This is his progeny, namely men." Among them he alone who sacrifices is prosperous, and becomes a cause of enjoyment to the gods."

The passages next following do not specify separately the creation of men (who must, however, be understood as included along with other beings under the designation prajāh, "offspring," or "creatures,") and therefore afford less distinct evidence that their authors did not hold the fourfold origin of mankind.

The first of these extracts is especially interesting, both on account of its own tenor, and because (along with Taitt. Br. ii. 3, 8, 1 ff. quoted in p. 23) it contains the germ of one of the Puranic accounts of the creation which will be adduced in a subsequent section.

Taitt. Br ii. 2, 9, 1 ff — Idam var agre nawa kinchana āsīt | na dyaur āsīd na prithivī na antariksham | tad avad eva sad mano 'kuruta "syām" iti | tad atapyata | tasmāt tapanād dhūmo 'jāyata | tad bhūyo 'tapyata tasmāt topanād Agnir ajāyata | tad bhūyo 'tapyata | 2. Tasmāt tapanāj jyotir ajāyata | tad bhūyo 'tapyata | tasmāt tapanād archir ajāyata | tad bhūyo 'tapyata | tasmāt tapanād marīchayo 'jāyanta | tad bhūyo 'tapyata | tasmāt tapanād udārāḥ ajāyanta | tad bhūyo 'tapyata | tad abhram iva

⁴¹ Compare Tutt. Br. i 8, 8, 1. Ādutyāḥ vas prajāh, "Creatures are descended from Adits"

⁴² This story is told also, but with more detail of names and somewhat differently, in Taitt. Br 1 1, 9, 10 ff Adith putrakāmā sādhyeblyo devebhyo brahmaudanam apachat | tasyai uchchheshanam adaduh | tat prāināt | sā reto 'dhatta | tasyai Dhātā cha Aryamā oha ajāyetām | sā dvitīyam apachat tasyai uchchheshanam adaduh | tat prāšnāt | sā reto 'dhatta | tasyai Mitras cha Varunai cha ajāyetām | sā tritīyam apachat | kasyai uchchheshanam adaduh | tat prāšnāt | sā reto 'dhatta | tasyai Aŭsaicha Bhagas cha ojāyetām | sā chaturtham apachat | tasyai uchchheshanam adaduh | tat prāšnāt | sā reto 'dhatta | tasyai Indras cha Vivasvāms cha ajayetām | "Aditi, desirous of sons, cooked a Brahmaudana oblation to the gods the Sādhyas. They gave her the remnant of it She ate it She conceived seed. Dhātrī and Aryaman were born to her "She does the same thing a second time, when she bears Mitra and Varuna,—a third time, when she bears Añsa and Bhaga,—and a fourth time, when she be Indra nd Vivasvas.—

samahanyata | tad vastim abhinat | 3. Sa samudro 'bhavat | tasmat samudrasya na pibanti | prajananam iva hi manyante | tasmāt pasor jāyamānād āpah purastād vanti \ tad dasahotā anvasrijyata \ Prajāpatir vai dasahotā | yah evam tapaso vīryyam vidvāms tapyate bhavaty eva | tad vai idam āpah salīlam āsīt | so 'rodīt Prajāpatīh (4) "sa kasmai ajni yady asyāpratishthāyāh" iti \ yad apsv avāpadyata sā prithivy abhavat | yad vyamrıshta tad antarıksham abhavat (yad ürdhvam udamrıshta sä dyaur abhavat | yad arodīt tad anayoh rodastvam | 5. Yah evam veda na asya grihe rudanti | etad vai eshām lokānām janma | ya evam eshām lokānām janma veda na eshu lokesho ūrttım ūrchhati | sa ımām pratishthām avindata | sa imām pratishthām viltvā akāmayata "prajāyeya" iti | sa tapo 'tapyata | so 'ntarvān abhavat | sa jaghanād asurān asrijata | 6. Tebhyo mrinmaye patre 'nnam aduhat | yā asya sā tanūr āsīt tām apāhata | sā tamisrā 'bhavat | so 'kūmayata "prajūyeya" iti | sa tapo 'tapyata | so 'ntarvan abhavat | sa prajananad eva prajah asrijata | tasmad imah bhūyishthāh | prajananād hy enāh asrijata | 7. Tābhyo dārumaye pātre payo 'duhat | yā asya sā tanūr āsīt tām apāhata | sa jyotsnā 'bhavat | so 'kāmayata " prajūyeya" iti | sa tapo 'tapyata so 'ntarvūn abhavat | sa upapalshābhyām eta ritūn asrijata | tebhyo rajate pātre ghritam aduhat | yā asya sā tanūr ūsīt (8) tām apāhata | so 'ho-rātrayoh sandhir abhavat | so 'kāmayata " prajāyeya" iti | sa tapo'tapyata | so 'ntarvān abhavat | sa mukhād devān asrijata | tebhyo harite pūtre somam aduhat | yū asya sā tanur üsit tüm apühata | tad ahar abhavat | 9. Ete vai Prajupater dohüh | ya evam veda duhe era prajāh] "dīvā vai no 'bhūd" sti tad devānām devatvam | ya evam derānām devatvam veda deravān eva bhavatr | etad vai aho-rātrānām janma | ya evam aho-rātrānām janma veda na aho-rātreshu arttım archhatı | 10. Asato 'dhi mano 'srijyata | manah Prajapatım asrijata | Prajūpatih prajūh asrijata | tad vai idam manasy eva paramam pratishthitam yadidam kincha | tad etat ścorasyasam nama Brahma | vyuchhantī vyuchhantī asmai vasyasī vasyasī vyuchhatı prajūyate prajayū paśublih pra parameshthino mūtrām āpnoti ya evam veda |

"At first this (universe) was not anything. There was neither sky, nor earth, nor air. Being non-existent, it resolved 'let me be.' It became fervent. 'S From that fervour smoke was produced. It again

⁴³ The word thus rendered is atapyata, which has the sense of "being heated" as well as "practising austere abstraction." I have purposely given an equivocal rendrance which may bear either sense.

became fervent. From that fervour fire was produced. It again became fervent. From that fervour light was produced. It again became fervent. From that fervour flame was produced. It again became fervent. From that fervour rays were produced. It again became fervent. From that fervour blazes 4 were produced. It again became fervent. It became condensed like a cloud. It clove its bladder. That became the sea. Hence men do not drink of the sea. For they regard it as Henco water issues forth before an like the place of generation. animal when it is being born. After that the Dasahotri (a particular formula) was created. Prajāpati is the Daśahotri. That man succcods, who thus knowing the power of austere abstraction (or fervour), practises it. This was then water, fluid. Prajāpati wept, (exclaiming), (4) 'For what purpose have I been born, if (I have been born) from this which forms no support?"45 That which fell 46 into the waters became 'the carth. That which he wiped away, became the air. That which he wiped away, upwards, became the sky. From the circumstance that he wept (arodit), these two regions have the name of rodasi, (worlds). 5. They do not weep in the house of the man who knows this. This was the birth of these worlds. He who thus knows the birth of these worlds, incurs no suffering in these worlds. He obtained this (earth as a) basis. Having obtained (this earth as a) basis, he desired, 'May I be propagated.' He practised austere fervour. He became pregnant. He created Asuras from his abdomen. 6. To them he milked out food in an earthen dish. He cast off that body of his. It became darkness.47 He desired, 'May I be propagated.' He practised

⁴⁴ Such is the sense the commentator gives to the word udörāh, which he makes = ulvaṇa-jvālāh. Professor Roth (6 v) explaine the word as meaning "fogs"

⁴⁵ This is the mode of rendering suggested to me by Professor Aufrecht. After "if" the Commentator supplies the words—"from this non-existing earth I can create no hving creature"

^{46 &#}x27;Prajapati's tears," ctc., according to the commentator.

⁴⁷ Compare S'. P. Br. xi 1, 6, 8 Atho yo'yam avān prānas tena asurān asryata | te imām eva prithwim ablāpadya asryyanta | tasmas sasryānāya tamah vea āsa | 9. So 'vet' "pāpmānam vai asrīkshi yasmas me sasryānāya tamah wa abhād' "ti | tāms tatah eva pāpmanā 'vuhyat | tatah eva te parābhavann ityādi | "Then he created the Asuras from this lower breath of his It was only after reaching thie carth that they were created. On him, as he continued to create, darkness fell. 9. He understood, 'I have created misery, since darkness has fallen upon me as I was creating.' Then pierced them with misery, and they in consequence succumbed," etc. The word rendered in the text by "cast off" is applied in Taitt. Sanh. i. 5, 4, I, to serpenta

austere fervour. He became pregnant. He created living heings (prajāh) from his organ of generation. Hence they are the most numerous hecause he created them from his generative organ. 8. To them he milked out milk in a wooden dish. He east off that hody of his. It became moon-light. He desired, 'May I be propagated. He practised austero fervour. He hecame pregnant. He created the seasons from To them he milked out butter in a silver dish. He his armpits. cast off that body of his. It became the period which connects day and night. He desired, 'May I be propagated.' He practised austere fervour. Ho became pregnant. He created the gods from his mouth.40 To them he milked out Soma in a golden dish. He cast off that hody of his. It became day. 9. These are Prajapati's milkings. He who thus knows milks out offspring. 'Day $(dw\bar{a})$ has come te us: this (exclamation expresses) the godhead of the gods. who thus knows the godhead of the gods, obtains the gods, is the birth of days and nights. He who thus knows the birth of days and nights, incurs no suffering in the days and nights. (or soul, manas,) was created from the non-existent. Mind ereated Prajāpati. Prajāpati ereated offspring. All this, whatever exists, rests absolutely on mind. This is that Brahma called Svovasyasa. For the man who thus knows, (Ushas), dawning, dawning, dawns more and more bright; he hecomes prolific in offspring, and (rich) in cattle; he ohtains the rank of Parameshthin."

S. P. Br. vi. 1, 2, 11.—Atho āhuḥ | "Prajāpatir eva imān lokān srishtvā prithivyām pratyatishthat | tasmai imāḥ oshadhayo 'nnam apachyanta | tad āśnāt | sa garbhī abhavat | sa ūrdhvebhyah eva prānebhyo dovān asriyata | ye 'vānchaḥ prānās tebhyo martyāḥ prajāḥ" iti | yatamathā 'srijata tathā 'srijata | Prajāpatis tv eva idam sarvam asrijata yad idam kincha |

"Wherefore they say, 'Prajāpati, having created these worlds, was shedding their old skins (sarpāḥ vai jīryanto 'manyanta . . . tato vas te jīrņās tanūr apāghnata).

⁴⁸ Compare S'. P. Br. xi. 1, 6, 7, quoted in the 4th Vol. of this work, p. 22 f.

⁴⁹ The Commentator explains this word to mean "that which each succeeding day becomes transcendently excellent (uttarottara-dime vasīyo 'tišayena śreshtham). Here, he says, the highest and absolute Brahma is not meant, but mind, which has the form of Brahma, and, by means of the series of its volitions, is every successive moment more and more world-creating" (sankalpa-paramparayā pratikshanam uttarottarā-dhīka-pagat-srashtritvād īdrīg-Brahma-rūvatvād manah prasastam |

supported upon the earth. For him these herbs were cooked as food. That (food) he ate. He became pregnant. He created the gods from his upper vital airs, and mortal offspring from his lower vital airs. In whatever way he created, so he created. But Prajapati created all this, whatever exists."

S. P. Br. x. 1, 3, 1.—Prajāpatrh prajāh asrijata | sa ūrdhvebhyaḥ eva prānebhyo devān asrijata | ye 'vānchaḥ prānās tebhyo martyāḥ prajāh | atha ūrdhvam eva mrityum prajābhyo 'ttāram asrijata |

"Prajāpati croated living boungs From his upper vital airs ho created the gods; from his lower vital airs mortal creatures. Afterwards he created death a devourer of creatures."

Taitt. Ar. i. 23, 1. - Āpo var idam āsan salīlam eva | sa Prajāpatir ekah pushkara-parne samabhavat | tasya antar manasi kamah samavarttata "idam erijeyam" ili | tasmād yad purusho manasā 'bhiyachhati tad vāchā vadati tat karmaņā karoti | tad eshā 'bhyanūktā " kūmas tad agre samavarttatādhi | manaso retah prathamam yad āsīt | 2. Sato bandhum asati niravından hridi pratishya kavayo manisha" iti | upa evam tad upanamatı yat-kamo bhavatı yah evam veda | sa tapo 'tapyata | sa tapas taptva śarīram adhūnuta | tasya yad māmsam āsīt tato 'runāh Ketavo Vātaraśanāh rishayah udatishthan | 3. Ye nakhūs te Vaikhānasāh | ye bālās te Bālakhilyāh | yo rasah so 'pām antaratah kūrmam bhūtam sarpantam tam abravīt "mama vai tvan-māmsā samabhūt" | 4. "na" itv abravīt "pūrvam eva aham iha asam" iti | tat purushasya purushatvam iti | sa "sahasra-sīrshā purushah sahasrākshah sahasra-pād" bhūtvā udatishthat | tam abravīt "tiam ve (sic. me or vai?) pūrvam samabhūt tvam idam pūrvah kurushva" iti | sa itah ādāya apo (5) 'ñjalinā purastād upādadhāt "evā hy eva" iti | tataḥ Ādityah udatishthat | sā prāchī dik | atha Arunah Ketur dakshinatah upadadhad "eva hy Agne" iti | tato var Agnir udatishthat | sā dakshinā dik | atha Arunah Ketuh paśchād upādadhād "evā hi Vāyo" iti | 6. Tato Vāyur udatishthat | sā pratīchī dik | atha Arunah Ketur uttaratah upadadhad "eva hi Indra" iti | tato vai Indrah udatishthat | *sā udīchī dik | atha Arunah Ketur madhye upādadhād "evā hi Pāshann" iti | tato vai Pāshā udatishthat | sā iyam dik | 7. Atha Arunah Ketur uparıshtad upadadhad "evā hi devāh" iti | tato deva-manushyāḥ pitaro gandharvāpsarasas cha udatishthan | sā ūrdhva dik | yah viprusho vi parapatan tabhyo 'surah rakshamsi pisachascha udatīshthan | tasmāt te parābhavan viprudbhyo 'ki samabhavan | taa

eshā bhyanūktā (8) "āpo ha yad brihatīr garbham āyan dalsham dadhānāh janayantīh svayambhum | tatah ime 'dhyasriyanta sargāh | adbhyo vai idam samabhut | tasmād idam sarvam Brahma svayambhv" iti | tasmād idam sarvam sithilam iva adhruvam iva abhavat | Prajāpatir rūva tat | ātmanā ātmānam vidhāya tad eva anuprāvišat | tad eshā 'bhyanūktā (9) "vidhūya lokān vidhāya bhūtāni vidhāya sarvāh pradišo dišašcha | Prajāpatih prathamajāh ritasya ātmanā "tmānam abhisamviveša" iti |

"This was water, fluid. Prajāpati alone was produced on a lotusleaf. Within, in his mind, desire arose, 'Let me create this.' Hence whatevor a msn aims at in his mind, he declares by speech, and performs by act. Mence this verse has been uttered, 'Desire formerly arose in it, which was the primal germ of mind, (2) (and which) sages, searching with their intellect, have discovered in the heart as the bond between the existent and the non-existent' (R. V. x. 129, 4). That of which he is desirous comes to the man who thus knows. He practised austere fervour. Having practised austere fervour, he shook his body. From its flesh the rishis (called) Arunas, Ketus, and Vataraśanas arose. His nails became the Vaikhanasas, his hairs the Balakhilyas. The fluid (of his body became) a tortoise moving amid the waters. 58 He said to him, 'Thou hast sprung from my skin and flesh '53 4. 'No,' replied the tortoise, 'I was here before.' In that (in his having been 'before' pūrvam) consists the manhood of a man (purusha). Becoming 'a man (purusha) with a thousand heads, a thousand eyes, a thousand feet,

J 80 Compare Taitt. S. vi. 3, 10, 4, (quoted by Roth. s. v. abhigam) yad vas hridayena abhigachhats taj jihvayā vadats |

⁵¹ They are mentioned again in Tantt. Ār. i. 24, 4. See Bohtlingk and Roth's Lexicon s.v. Ketu (where the Aruns Ketus are stated to be a sort of superior beings or demons); Artharva-veda, xi. 10, 2; Weber's Indische Studien, ii. 177, and the verse of the M. Bh. xii. 774 Arunāh Ketavāš chaira svādhāyana dwam gatāh | "By sacred study the Arunas and Ketus have ascended to heaven."

⁵² The Sanskrit scholar will observe that the text here is rather obscure. It is either corrupt, elliptical, or grammatically irregular.

E Here the Sanskrit, if it be not corrupt, must be irregular and incorrect On the style of the Āranyakas, see Mr. E. B. Cowell's Preface to the Kaushitaki Upanishad, p. viii., where it is remarked. "The Āranyakas appear to belong to a class of Sanskrit writings, whose history has not yet been thorougly investigated. Their style, if we may judge from that of the Taittirlys and Ksushitaki, is full of strange solecisms which sometimes half remind us of the gūthās of the Lalita Vistars. The present Upanishad has many peculiar forms, some of which are common to both recently while others sppear only in one. Such are . nishincha, in p. 10; praits for prayants, in p. 51; samvesyan, in p. 56; esti for vyeti, in p. 78; adūdham, in p. 89; eta

(R.V. x. 90, 1), he arose. Prajāpati said to him, 'Thou wert produced before mo: do thou first make this.' He took water from this (5) in the cavity of his two hands, and placed it on the east, repeating the text, 'so be it, o Sun.'s From thence the sun arose. That was the eastern quarter. Then Aruna Ketu placed (the water) to the south, saying, 'so be it.' o Agni.' Thence Agni arose. That was the southern quarter. Then Aruna Ketu placed (the water) to the west, saying 'so be it, o Vayu.' 6. Thence arose Vayu. That was the western quarter. Then Aruna Ketu placed (the water) to the north, saying 'so be it, o Indra.' Thence arose Indra. That is the northern quarter. Then Aruna Ketu placed (the water) in the centre, saying 'so be it, o Pushan.' Thence arose Pushan. That is this quarter. 7. Then Aruna Ketu placed (the water) above, saying 'so be it, o gods.' Thence arose gods, MEN, fathers, Gandharvas and Apsarases. That is the upper quarter. From the drops which fell apart arose the Asuras, Rakshases, and Piśachas. . Therefore they perished, because they were produced from drops. Hence this text has been uttered; (8) 'when the great waters became pregnant, containing wisdom, and generating Svayambhu, from them were created these creations. All this was produced from Therefore all this is Brahma Svayambhu.' Henco all this was as it were loose, as it were unsteady. Prajāpati was that. Having made himself through himself, he entered into that. Wherefore this verse has been uttered; (9) 'Having formed the world, having formed existing things and all intermediato quarters and quarters, Prajapati, the firstborn of the ceremonial, entered into himself with himself."

From an examination of the legends contained in the Brāhmsnas, of which some specimens have just been given, it appears (1) that they are generally, if not always, adduced, or invented, with the view of showing the origin, or illustrating the efficacy, of some particular ceremony which the writer wished to explain or recommend; (2) that the accounts which they supply of Prajāpati's creative operations are

M The formula is in the original eva hy eva. The Commentator says that the first word means "objects of desire to be obtained," and that the second eva signifies "the moving (Sun)," the sense of the entire formula being, "Thou, o Sun, art thyself all objects of desire." The six formulas here introduced had previously occurred at the close of a preceding section, 1. 20, 1.

various and even inconsistent; and (3) that they are the sources of many of the details which are found in a modified form in the cosmogonies of the Purānas.

When we discover in the most ancient Indian writings such differont and even discrepant accounts of the origin of man, all put forth with equal positiveness, it is impossible to imagine that any uniform explanation of the diversity of castes could have been received at the period when they were composed, or to regard any of the texts which have been cited as more orthodox and authoritative than the rest. Even, therefore, if we should suppose that the author of the Purusha Sukta meant to represent the four castes as having literally sprung from separate parts of Purusha's body, it is evident that the same idea was not always or even generally adopted by those who followed him, as a revealed truth in which they were bound to In fact, nothing is clearer than that in all these cosmogonics, the writers, while generally assuming certain prevalent ideas as the basis of their descriptions, gave the freest scope to their individual fancy in the invention of details. In such circumstances, perfect coincidence cannot be expected in the narratives.

We shall heroafter see that the Purane writers reproduce some of these discrepancies in the traditions which descended to them from earlier generations, and add many new inconsistencies of their own, which they themselves, or their commentators, endeavour to explain away by the assumption that the accounts so differing relate to the occurrences of different Kalpas or Manvantaras (great mundane periods). But of a belief in any such Kalpas or Manvantaras no trace is to be found in the hymns or Brähmanas: and, as we shall hereafter see, they must be held to be the inventions of a later age. The real explanation of these differences in the Brähmanas is that the writers did not consider themselves (as their successors held them) to be infallibly inspired, and consequently were not at all studious to avoid in their narratives the appearance of inconsistency with the accounts of their predecessors.

SECT. V .- Manu's Account of the Origin of Castes.

I shall first quote a few verses from the beginning of Manu's account of the creation:

- i. 8. So'bhidhyāya śarīrāt svāt sisrikshur vividhāḥ prajāḥ | apa ova sasarjādau tāsu vījam avāsrijat | 9. Tad andam abhavad haimam sahasrāmśu-sama-prabham | tasmin jajne svayam Brahmā sarva-loka-pitāmahaḥ | 10. Āpo nārā iti proktāḥ āpo rai narasūnavaḥ | tāḥ yad asyāyanam pūrvam tena Nārāyanaḥ smritah | 11. Yat tat kāranam avyaktam nityam sad-asadātmakam | tad-visrishṭaḥ sa purusho lok-Brahmeti kīrttyate | 12. Tasminn ande sa bhagaiān ushitvā parivate saram | svayam eiātmano dhyānāt tad andam akarod dvidhā | 155
- "8 He (the self-existent) having felt desire, so and willing to create various living beings from his own body, first created the waters, and threw into them a seed. 9. That seed became a golden egg, of lustre equal to the sun; in it he himself was born as Brahmā, the parent of all the worlds. 10. The waters are called nārāh, for they are sprung from Nara; and as they were his first sphere of motion (ayana=path), ho is therefore called Nārāyaṇa so 11. Produced from the imperceptible, eternal, existent and non-existent, cause, that male (purusha) is celebrated in the world as Brahmā. 12. After dwelling for a year in the egg, the glorious being, himself, by his own contemplation, split it in twain."

After a description of various other preparatory ereative acts (vv. 13-30) the author proceeds in vv. 31 ff. to inform us how the four castes were produced:

i. 31. Lokānām tu virrīddhyartham mukhabāhūru-pādatah | brāhmanām kshattriyam vaisyam śūdram cha nīravarttayat | 32. Dvīdhā kritvātmano deham ardhena purusho 'bhavat | ardhena nārī tasyām sa Vīrājam asryat prabhuḥ | 33. Tapas taptrā 'srījad yam tu sa svayam purusho

⁵⁵ The ideas in this passage are derived (with modifications expressive of the theories current in the author's own age) from the S'atapatha Brahmana, xi. 1, 6, 1 ff. (see vol iv. of this work, p. 21 f.), or from some other similar account in another Brahmana.

⁵⁶ See S. P. Br i. 7, 4, 1 Prajūpatir ha vai svām duhitaram abhidadhyau.

⁶⁷ In the M. Bh. m 12952, Krishna says. apām mārāh iti purā sanjnā-karma kritam mayā | tena Nārāyano py ukto mama tat tv ayanam sadā | "The name of nārāh was formerly assigned by me to the waters: hence I am also called Nārāyana, for there has always been my sphere of motion."

Virāt | tam mām vittāsya sarvasya srashtūram dvija-sattamāh | 34. Aham prajāh sisrikshus tu tapas taptvā suduscharam | patīn prajānām asrijam maharshīn ādito daša | 35. Marīchim Atryangirasau Pulastyam Pulaham Kratum | Prachetasam Vasishtham cha Bhrigum Nāradam eva cha | 36. Ete Manūms tu saptānyān asrijan bhūritejasah | devān devanskāyāmš cha maharshīmš chāmitaujasah | 37. Yaksha-rakshah-pišāchāmš cha prithagganān | 38. Vidyuto 'šani-meghāmš cha rohitendradhanūmsi cha | ullū nirghāta-ketūmš cha yyotīmshy uchchāvachūni cha | 39. Kinnarān vānarān matsyūn rividhāmš cha vihangamān | pašūn mrigān manushyāmš cha vyālāmš chobhayatodatah | 40. Krimikīta-patangāmš cha yūkā-makshika-matkuṇam | sarvam cha damša-mašakam sthāvaram cha prithagvidham | 41. Evam etair idam sarvam man-niyogād mahātma-bhih | yathālarma tapo-yogāt srishtam sthāvara-jangamam |

31. "That the worlds might be peopled, he caused the Brāhman, the Kshattriya, the Vaisya, and the Sūdra to issue from his mouth, his arms, his thighs, and his feet. 32. Having divided his own body into two parts, the lord (Brahmā) became, with the half a male (purusha), and with the half, a female; and in her he created Virāj. 33. Know, O most excellent twice-born men, that I, whom that male, (purusha) Virāj, himself created, am the creator of all this world. 34. Desiring to produce living creatures, I performed very arduous devotion, and first created ten Maharshis (great rishis), lords of living beings, (35) viz., Marīchi, Atri, Angiras, Pulastya, Pulaha, Kratu, Prachetas, Vasishtha, Bhrigu, and Nārada. 36. They, endowed with

^{**} On this Kullüka the Commentator remarks Dawyā cha šaktyā mukhādibhyo brāhmaṇādi-nirmāṇam Brahmaṇ na visankanīyam šruti-siddhatvāt | "It is not to be doubted that, by his divine power, Brahmā formed the Brāhman and the other castes from his mouth and other members, since it is proved by the Veda. Ho then quotes the 12th verse of the Purusha Sūkta.

⁵⁹ See the Purusha Sükta, verso 5.

⁸⁰ It will he observed that Manu applies this term purishs to three beings, first to Brahmā (v. 11), second to the male formed hy Brahmā from the half of his own body (v. 32), and third to Virāj, the offspring of the male and female halves of Brahmā's body (v. 33). It will he noticed that this story of Brahmā dividing his body is borrowed from the passage of the S. P. Br. xiv. 4, 2, 1, quoted above.

el In the Rāmūyana, n. 110, 2 ff., a different account is given of the origin of the world, in which no reference is made to Manu Svāyambhuva. The order of the creation there described is as follows First everything was water. Then Erahmā bvāyamhhū, with the deities, came into existence—Brahmā being said to have sprung

great energy, created. other seven Manus, gods, and abodes of gods, and Maharshis of boundless might; (37) Yakshas, Rakshases, Piáāchas, Gandharvas, Apsarases, Asuras, Nāgas, Serpents, great Birds, and the different classes of Pitris; (38) lightnings, thunderbolts, clouds, Indra's bows unbent and bent, meteors, portentous atmospherio sounds, comets, and various luminaries; (39) Kinnaras, apes, fishes, different sorts of birds, cattle, deer, men, beasts with two rows of teeth; (40) small and large reptiles, moths, lice, flies, fleas, all gadflies and gnats, and motionless things of different sorts. 41. Thus by my appointment, and by the force of devotion, was all this world both motionless and moving, created by those great beings, according to the (previous) actions of each creature."

The different portions of the preceding narrative of the creation of the human species are not easily reconcilcable with each other. For it is first stated in verse 31, that men of the four eastes proceeded separately from different parts of Brahma's body,-prior (as it would appear) (1) to the division of that body into two parts and to the successive production (2) of Virāj, (3) Manu, and (4) the Maharshis, who formed all existing creatures. And yet we are told in verse 39, that MEN were among the beings called into existence by those Maharshis, and in verse 41, that the entire moving as well as motionless world was their work. It is not said that the men created by the Maharshis were distinct from those composing the four castes, and we must, thereforo, assume that the latter also are included under the general appellation of men. But if men of the four castes had been already produced before the formation of all living creatures by the Maharshis, what necessity existed for the men of these castes being a second time called into being as a part of that later creation? It is possible that this

from the ether (ākāśa). Brahmā, with his sons, created the world. From Brahmā sprang Marichi, from Msrīchi, Kasyapa, from Kasyapa, Vivssvat, and from Vivasvat, Manu Vaivasvata. The original of this passage is quoted in the 4th vol. of this work, p. 29 ff.

ca These great rishis seem to he the beings denoted by the word visvasryah, "creators of the universe," in the verse of Manu (xii. 50), which will be quoted below. Reference to rishis, or to seven rishis, as "formers of existing things" (bhūta-kṛṣṭtah), is also found in the Atharvaveda, vi. 108, 4, vi. 133, 4, 5, xi. 1, 1, 3, 24; xii. 1, 39; and the word bhūtakṛṣṭtah, without the addition of rishis, is found in the same work iii. 28, 1, iv. 35, 2, and xix. 16, 2.

allegation of the separate creation of castes may have been engrafted as an after-thought on the other account.

After other details, regarding the propagation, nature, etc, of created things (vv. 42-50), the re-absorption of Brahmā into the Supreme Spirit, and his alternations of sleep and repose, etc. (vv. 50-57), Manu proceeds:

- 58. Idam sāstram tu kritvā 'sau mām era svayam āditah | vidhivad grāhayāmāsa Marīchyūdīms to aham munīn | 59 Etad vo 'yam Bhriguḥ sāstram śrāvayishyaty aseshatah | etad hi matto 'dhijage sarvam esho 'khilam munih | 60. Tatas tathā sa tenokto maharshir Manunā Bhriguḥ | tān abravīd rihīn sariān prītātmā "śrāyatām" iti | 61. Siāyambhuvasyāsya Manoh shad-vamsyā Manavo 'pare | srishtaiantaḥ prajāḥ svāḥ seāh mahātmāno mahaujasah | 62 Siārochishas chauttamis cha Tūmaso Rasiatas tathā | Chālshushas cha mahātejā Vivasvat-suta eva cha | 63. Svāyambhuvādyāḥ saptaito Manavo bhūritejasaḥ | svo sie 'ntai e sarvam sdam utpādyāpus charācharam |
- 59. "Having formed this Scripture, he (Brahmā) himself in the beginning caused me to comprehend it according to rule; as I did to Marichi and the other munis. 60. This Bhrigu will give you to hear this scripture in its entirety; for this muni learned the whole from mo. 61. Then that Mahaishi (great rishi), Bhrigu being so addressed by Manu, with pleasure addressed all those rishis, saying, 'Let it be heard.' 62. 'From this Manu Svāyambhuva sprang other Manus in six successive generations, great and glorious, who respectively created hving beings of their own,—(63) viz., Svārochisha, Auttami, Tāmasa, Raivata, Chākshusha, and the mighty son of Vivasvat. 61. These seven 64 Manus of great power, of whom Svāyambhuva was the first, have each in his own period (antara) produced and possessed the world.'"
- 43 In the same way it may be observed that in v. 22 Brahm's is said to have formed the subtile class of hing gods whose essence is to act, and of the S'adhyas (karmāt-manām cha devānām so 'rryat prāninām prabhih | sūdhyānām cha gaṇañ sūkshmam), and in v 25, to have "called into existence this creation, destring to form these hing beings" (srishtim assarja chavenām srashtum ichchan imāh prajāh). But if the gods and all other creatures already existed, any such further account of their production by the Maharshis, as is given in verse 36, seems to be not only superfluous but contradictory.

es It will be observed that here Sväyambhava is included in the seven Manus, although in verse 36 (see above) it is said that the ten Maharshis, who had themselves been created by Sväyambhava (vv. 34 f.), produced seven other Manus.

After some preliminary explanations regarding the divisions of time as reckoned by men and gods, etc. (vv. 64-78), the author proceeds to tell us how long each of those Manus reigns:

79. Yat prāk dvādasa-sāharram udstam daivikam yugam | tad eka-saptati-guṇam manvantaram shochyate | 80. Manvantarāṇy asankhyāni sargaḥ samhāra eva cha | krīdann ivastat kurute Parameshṭhī punaḥ punah |

"The age (yuga) of the gods mentioned before, consisting of twelvo thousand (years), when multiplied by seventy-one, is here called a manvantara. 80. There are innumerable manvantaras, ereations and destructions The Supreme Being performs this again and again, as if in sport,"

A more detailed account of these great mundane periods will be given in the next section, when I come to take up the Vishnu Purana. Meanwhile it may be remarked that the present manyantara is that of the last of the Manus above enumerated, or Manu Vaivasvata, who, according to verse 63, must have created the existing world. But if such be the case, it does not appear why the creation of Manu Sväyambhuva, with which the present race of mortals can have little to do, should have been by preference related to the rishis in vv. 33 ff. It must, however, be observed that in v. 33 Manu Sväyambhuva described himself as the former of "this" (ie, the existing) universe, and there is no doubt that the whole code of laws prescribed in the sequel of the work is intended by the author to be observed by the existing race of Indians (see verses 102 ff. of the first book). We must, therefore, suppose that the creations of the later Manus are substantially identical with that of the first; or that there is some confusion or inconsistency in the accounts which I have cited. Perhaps both suppositions may be correct.

In vv. 81-86, the four Yugas (or great ages of the world) the Krita, Tretā, Dvāpara, and Kali, their gradual deterioration, and the special duties peculiar to each, are described. **

⁶⁵ In v. 86 these predominant duties are said to be austere fervour in the Krita age, knowledge in the Tretā, sacrifice in the Dvāpara, and liberality alene in the Kali (tapah param Krita-yuge tretāyūm jnānam uchyate | dvēpare yajnam evāhur dānam kalm kalau yuge). This, as remarked in Weber's Indische Studien, 282 f., note, is not quite in conformity with the view of the Mundaka Upanishad, i. 2, 1, which states

At verse 87, Bhrigu recurs to the four castes:

87. Sarvasyāsya tu sargasya gupty-artham sa mahādyutiķ | mukhabāhūru-paj-jānām prīthak karmāny akalpayat |

"For the preservation of this whole creation, that glorious being (Brahmā) ordained separate functions for those who sprang from his mouth, his arms, his thighs, and his feet."

These functions are then dotailed (vv. 88-92). In verse 93, the grounds of the Brāhmans' pre-eminence are stated:

93. Uttamāngobhavāj jyaishthyād brahmaṇas chawa dhāraṇāt | sarvasyawāsya sargasya dharmato brāhmaṇah prabhuh | 94. Tam hi svayambhūh svād āsyāt tapas taptvā "dīto "srijat |

Since the Brahman sprang from the most excellent organ, since he is the first-born and possesses the Veda, he is by nature the lord of this whole creation. Him, the self-existent (Brahmā) after exercising fervid abstraction, formed at the first from his own mouth."

But as there are grades of excellence among created things, and among men themselves (96), so are there also among Brahmans:

97. Brāhmaneshu cha vidiāmso vidvatsu krita-buddhayah | krita-buddhishu karttārah karttrishu brahma-iedinah |

"Among Brāhmans the Icarned are the most excellent, among the loarned the resolute, among the resolute those who act, and among them who act they who possess divine knowledge."

In a subsequent part of the work (xii. 40 ff.) we find men in general, the castes, and indeed all existing things, from Brahmā downwards, classified according to their participation in different degrees in the three gunas, or qualities (sattra, "goodness," rajas, "passion," and tamas, "darkness").

39. Yena yüns tu gunenaishäm samsärän pratipadyate | tän samäsena ealshyämi sariasyäsya yathälramam | 40. Devatvam sättvilä yänti manushyatvam cha räjasäh | tiryahtvam tümasä nityam ity eshä tri-

tat etat satyam mantreshu karmāṇi kavayo yāny apaiyams tāni tretāyām bahudhā santalāni | "This is true the rites which sages beheld in the hymns, are in great variety celebrated in the Tretā." In the same way the M. Bh. in. v 11,248, says that sacrifices and rites prevail in the Tretā (tato yamāh pravaritante dharmās cha wwidhāḥ kriyāh | tretāyām ityādi). See also M. Bh. xii. 13,090. The word krita, as the name of the first yuga is thus explained in a previous verse of the former of these two passages (11,235) kritam eta na karttacyām tamin kāle yugottame | "In the time of that most excellent Yuga (everything) has been done, (and does) not (remain) to be " -"

vidhā gatiķ | . . . 43. Hastinas oha turangās cha sūdrā mlochhās cha garhitāk | sīmhā vyāghrā varāhās cha madhyamā tāmasī gatiķ | . . . 46. Rājānaķ kshattriyās chaiva rājnas chawa purokitāķ | vāda-yuddha-pradhānās cha madhyamā rājasī gatīķ | . . . 48. Tāpasā yatayo viprā ye cha vaimānikā gaṇāķ | nakshatrāni cha daityās cha prathamā sāttrīkī gatīķ | 49. Yajvāna rīshayo devā vedā jyotīmski vatsarāķ | pītaras chaiva sādhyās cha dvītīyā sāttvīkī gatīķ | 50. Brahmā visvasrijo dharmo mahān avyaktam eva cha | uttamām sāttvīkīm etām gatīm āhur manīshinaķ |

"39. I shall now declare succinctly in order the states which the soul reaches by means of each of these qualities. 40. Souls endowed with the sattva quality attain to godhead; those having the rajas quality become men; whilst those characterized by tamas always become beasts—such is the threefold destination . . . 43. Elephants, horses, Südras and contemptible Michhas, hons, tigers, and boars form the middle dark condition . . . 46. Kings, Kshattriyas, a king's priests (purohitāh), and men whose chief occupation is the war of words, compose the middle condition of passion . . . 48. Devotees, ascetics, Brāhmans, the deities borne on aerial ears, constellations, and Daityas, constitute the lowest condition of goodness. 49. Sacrificing priests, rishis, gods, the vedas, the celestial luminaries, years, the fathers, the Sādhyas, form the second condition of goodness. 50. Brahmā, the creators, "righteousness, the Great One (mahat), the Unapparent One (avualta), compose the highest condition of goodness."

⁶⁶ These "creators" (visvasryah) are thus mentioned in Taitt. Br in. 12, 9, 2, Adarsam Agnim chinvānāh pūrve visvasrijo 'mritah | satam varsha-sahasrāni dikshitāh satram āsata | 3 tapah āsīd grihapatir Brahma brahmā 'bhavat svayam | satyam ha hotaishām āsīd yad visvasrija ūsata | amritam ebhya udagöyat sahasram parivatsaran | bhūtam ha prastotaisham asīd bhavishyat prati chāharat | prano adhveryur abhavad ıdam survam sıshasatam | . . . 7. Visvasyyah prathamah satram asata | el tato ha jajne bhuvanasya gopāh hiranmayah sakunir Brahma nāma | yena sūryas tapati tejaseddhah | 8 Etena vai visvasrijah idam visvam asrijanta | yad visvam asrijanta tasmād visvasrijah | visvam enān anu prajāyate | "2. Tho ancient and immortal ereators of the universe, keeping fire kindled till they saw the new moon, and consecrated, were engaged in a sacrifice for 100,000 years 3. Austere fervour was the householder; Prayer itself (brahma) was the brahma priest; Truth was their hotpi, when the creators were so occupied. Immortality was their udgütri for a thousand years. The Past was their prastotri, the Future their pratihartri; Breath was the adhvaryn, whilst they were seeking to obtain all this." After a good deal more of this allegory, the author proceeds in para.. 7. "These first

It will be observed that the different parts of this account of the mode in which the three qualities are distributed, are not quite in harmony. From v. 40 it would appear that all souls having the quality of passion become mon; and yet we find from vv. 43, 48, and 49, that Südras belong to the tāmasa class, and Brāhmans, of different descriptions, to two of the Sāttvika grades. According to the rule enunciated in v. 40, the latter ought to have been born as gods.

It is, further, remarkable that in this enumeration Sudras are found in the same category with Mlechhas (v. 43), that the Vaisyas are not accommodated with a position in any of the classes, that Kshattriyas and kings' domestic priests, who are of course Brahmans, and others (who must be Brahmans) fond of disputation on learned questions et (though not stated to be heretical) are ranked together as "passionate" (v. 46). while other Brahmans of different characters are placed in two of the higher grades, Brühmans simply so called (viprüh) being regarded as "good" in the lowest degree (v. 48), and sacrificing priests (vaivānah) sharing with rishis, gods, the vedas, etc., the honour of the middle condition of goodness. It is not clear whether the devotees, and ascetics, mentioned in v. 48, belong to the same caste as the Brahmans with whom they are associated, or may also be men of the inferior classes. Nor is it evident for what reason the sacrificing priests (yapranah), specified in v. 49, are so much more highly estimated than the king's priests (rajnah purchitah) in v. 46, since the latter also officiate at sacrifices. The honourable position assigned to Daityas in the lowest class of "good" beings (v. 48) is also deserving of notice. We shall see in the following chapter that the Puranas variously describe mankind as belonging entirely to the "passionate" class (see v. 40, above) and as characterized by the three other "qualities," according to their easte.

creators were engaged in sacrifice . . . Thence was born the preserver of the world, the golden bird called Brahma, by whom the sun glows, kindled with light. . . . 8. . . . Through this the creators created this universe as they created the universe, they are called vis vasilyah. . Everything is created after them." See above the reference made to rishayo bhūta-kṛitah in p. 36. The allegory in this extract from the Taitt. Br resembles in its character that in the sixth verse of the Purusha Sūkta.

⁸⁷ Saretarthakalaha- priyai cha | Comm.

Sect. VI.—Account of the System of Yugas, Manvantaras, and Kalpas, according to the Vishmu Purana, and other authorities.

I shall in the next section adduce the description given in the Vishnu Purāna of the creation of hving creatures, and the origin of the four castes, after first supplying in the present some explanation of the great mundane periods, the Yugas, Manvantaras, Kalpas, etc.

The computations of these great periods are stated in the third chapter of the first book, and in the first chapter of the sixth book, and are clearly explained by Professor Wilson in his notes to page 50 of his translation.

One year of mortals is equal to one day of the gods.68

12,000 divine years are equal to a period of four Yugas, which is thus made up, viz.:

Kṛita Yuga with its mornings and evenings.....4,800 divine years
Tretā Yuga ,, ,, ,, ,,3,600 ,, ,,
Dvāpara Yuga ,, ,, ,, ,,2,400 ,, ,,
Kali Yuga ,, ,, ,, ,,1,200 ,, ,,

making... 12,000 divino years.69

As a day of the gods is = to one year of mortals, the 12,000 divine years must be multiplied by 360, the assumed number of days in a year, to give the number of the years of mortals in this great period of four yugas, thus: 12,000 divine years \times 360 = 4,320,000 years of mortals. 1000 of these periods of 12,000 divine, or 1,320,000 human, years—1.e, 4,320,000,000 human years are = 1 day of Brahmā, 70 and his night is of the same duration. Within that period of a day of Brahmā, 14 Manus reign, 71 and a Manvantara, or period of Manu,

48 Vishnu P. vi 1, 4 ahorātram pitrīnām tu māso'bdas tridivaukasām | See also Manu i 66 and 67 The Taitt Br. 111. 9, 22, 1, too, states ekam vai etad devānām ahar yal samvatsarah | "This period of a year is one day of the gods."

⁶⁹ i. 3, 10. Dwyair varsha-sahasraistu krita-tretādi-sanjnitam ļ ohaturyugam dvādasabhis tad-vibhāgam nibodha me | 11. chatvāri trīni dve chaikum kritādishi yathākramam | dwyābāānām sahatāri yugesho āhur purāvidah | 12. Tat-pramānaih sataih sandhyā pūrvā tatrābhidhīyate | sandhyāmšakaš cha tat tulyo yugasyānantaro hi sah | 13. Sandhyā-sandhyāmšayor antar yah kālo muni-sattama | yugākhyah sa tu vyueyah krita-tretādi-sanjnitāh |

⁷⁰ V. P. 1. 3, 14 Kritam treta dvoparaš cha kalis chaiva chaturyugam | prochyets tat-sahasram cha Brahmano divasam mune | See also Manu 1. 72.

⁷¹ V. P. i. 3, 15. Brahmano divase brahmas Manavas cha chaturdasa | bhavanti |

is consequently = the 14th part of a day of Brahmā. In the present Kalpa (= a day of Brahmā) six Manus, of whom Svāyambhuva was the first, have already passed away, the present Manu being Vaivasvata.⁷⁸ In each Manvantara seven rishis, certain deities, an Indra, a Manu, and the kings, his sons, are created and perish.⁷³ A thousand of the systems of 4 Yugas, as has been before explained, occur coincidently with these 14 Manvantaras; and consequently about 71 systems of 4 Yugas elapse during each Manvantara, and measure the lives of the Manu and the deities of the period.⁷⁴ At the close of this day of Brahmā a collapse (pratisancharaḥ) of the universe takes place, which lasts through a night of Brahmā, equal in duration to his day, during which period the three worlds are converted into one great ocean, when the lotus-born god,⁷⁵ expanded by his deglutition of the universe, and contemplated by the yogis and gods in Janaloka, sleeps on the sorpent Sosha. At the end of that night he awakes and creates anow.⁷⁵

A year of Brahmā is composed of the proper number of such days and nights; and 100 such years constitute his whole life. The period of his life is called Para, and the half of it Parārdāha, or the half of a Para. One Parārdāha, or half of Brahmā's existence, has now expired, terminating with the great Kalpa, called the Pādma Kalpa. The now existing Kalpa, or day of Brahmā, called Vārāha (or that of the boar), is the first of the second Parārdāha of Brahmā's existence." The

⁷² This is stated by Manu i. 62 ff (see above), as well as in the third book of the V. P. 1. 3, which gives the names in the same order Sväyambhuvo Manuh pūrvo Manuh Svärochishas tathā | Auttamis Tāmasas chava Rawatas Chākshushas tathā | shad ete Manavo 'tītāh sāmpratam tu Raveh sutah | Vaivasvato 'yam yasyaitat saptamam varitate 'ntaram |

¹³ V. P. 1. 3, 16 Saptarshayah surāh S'akro Manus tat-sūnovo nripāh | ekakāle hi srnyante samhriyante cha pūrvavat |

¹⁴ Ibid ver. 17. Chaturyuganam sankhyata sadhika hy eka saptatih | manvantaram Manoh kalah suradinam cha sattama | See also Manu i. 79.

¹⁶ The birth of Prajāpati on a lotus-leaf is mentioned in the Taitt. Ārany. 1. 23, 1, quoted above, p. 32.

¹⁰ Ibid 20 Chaturdasa-guno hy esha kālo brāhmam ahah smritam | brāhmo naimutjiko nāma tasņānte pratisancharah | . . . 22. Fkārņave tu trailokye Brahmā Nārāyaņātmakah | bhogi-sayyāqatah sete trailokya-grāsa-evinhitah | 23. Janasthav yogibhir devait chintyamāno 'bju-sanibhacah | tat-pramūnām hi tām rātrim tadants sryate punah | See also V. P 1 2, 50-62, as translated by Wilson, vol. i. p. 41.

⁷⁷ Ibid ver. 24. Evam tu Brahmano varsham eva^m varsha-iatam cha tat | iatam hi tasya varshanām param āyur mahātmanah | 25. Ekam asya vyatīta^m tu parārdāham Brahmano manha | tasyānte 'bhūd mahākalpah Pādmah tiy abhinisrutah | dostīyanya

dissolution, which occurs at the end of each Kalpa, or day of Brahmā, is called *naimittika*, incidental, occasional, or contingent. (See Wilson's Vishnu Purana, vol. i. of Dr. Hall's edition, p. 52, with the editor's note; and vol. ii. p. 269. For an account of the other dissolutions of the universe I refer to the same work, vol. i. p. 113, and to pp. 630-633 of the original 4to. edition.)

Of this elaborate system of Yugas, Manvantaras, and Kalpas, of enormous duration, no traces are found in the hymns of the Rig-veda. Their authors were, indeed, familiar with the word Yuga, 76 which frequently occurs in the sense of age, generation, or tribe. Thus in i. 139, 8, iii. 26, 3; vi. 8, 5; vi. 15, 8; vi. 36, 5; x. 94, 12, the phrase yuge yuge 70 means "in every age" In iii. 33, 8; x. 10, 10, we have uttarā yugāni, "future ages," and in x. 72, 1, uttare yuge, "in a later age;" in vii. 70, 4, pūrvāni yugāni, "former ages," 80 and in i. 184, 3, yuga jūrah, "past ages." In i. 92, 11, i. 103, 4; i. 115, 2; i. 124, 2; i. 144, 4, 11 ii. 2, 2; v. 52, 4; vi. 16, 23; vii. 9, 4; viii. 46, 12; viii. 51, 9; ix. 12, 7, 22 x. 27, 19; x. 140, 6 23 (in all of which places, except i. 115, 2, the word is combined with manushyā, mānushā, manushah, or janānām), yuga seems to denoto "generations" of men, or parārādhava vartamānasya vai dvija | Vārāhah vii kalpo 'yam prathamah parikalpitāh |

⁷⁸ In Professor Willson's Dictionary three senses are assigned to *yuga* (neuter) (1) a pair, (2) an age as the Krita, Tictā, etc., (3) a lustre, or period of five years. When used as masculine the word means, according to the same authority, (1) a yoke; (2) a measure of four cubits, etc.; (3) a particular drug.

79 Sayana, on 111 36, 3, explains it by pratidinam, "every day," on vi. 8, 5; vi. 15, 8, vi. 36, 5, by kale kale, "at every time."

80 Sāyana takes the phrase for former "couples of husbands and wives," mithunāni jāyāpatirūpāni.

81 In 1. 92, 11 and i. 124, 2, Ushas (the Dawn) is spoken of as, praminatī manushyā yugān, "wearing away human terms of existence, or generations" In commenting on the former text Sāyana explains yugān as equivalent to krita-iretūdīm, "the Krita, Tretā, and other ages," whilst in explaining the second, he takes the same word as signifying yugopalakshitān nimeshāis-kāārayavān, "the secoads and other component parts of time indicated by the word," or as equivalent to yugmāni, "the conjunctions of men,"—since the dawn scatters abroad to their several occupations men who had heen previously congregated together" In his note on 1 144, 4, he gives an option of two different senses: manoh sambhandhīni yugāni yāyāpati-rupāṇi hotradhvaryurīpāni vā | "couples consisting of husband and wife, or of the hotri and adhvaryu priests"

⁶² This verse, ix. 12, 7, is also found in Suma V. ii. 552, where, however, $yuy\bar{u}$ is substituted for $yug\bar{u}$.

⁵³ This verse occurs also in Sama V. ii. 1177, and Vaj. S. xii 111,

rather, in some places, "tribes" of men. In v. 73, 3, the phrase nāhushā uugā must have a similar meaning. In i. 158, 6, it is said that the rishi Dirghatamas became worn out in the tenth yuga; on which Professor Wilson remarks (R. V. vol., ii. 104, note): "The scholiast understands guga in its ordinary interpretation; but the guga of five years is perhaps intended, a lustrum, which would be nothing marvollous." Professor Aufrecht proposes to render. "in the tenth stage of life." The first passage of the Rig-veda, in which there is any indication of a considerable mundanc period being denoted, is x. 72, 2 f., where "a first," or, "an earlier age (yuga) of the gods" is mentioned (devanam parrye yuge; devanam prathame yuge) when "the existent sprang from the non-existent" (asatah sad ajāyata); but no allusion is mado to its length. In the same indefinite way reference is made in x. 97, 1, to certain "plants which were produced before the gods,three ages (vugas) earlier" (vāh oshadhīh pārvāh jātāh devebhyas triyugam purā). In one verso of the Atharva-veda, however, the word yuga is so employed as to lead to the supposition that a period of very long duration is intended. It is there said, viii 2, 21: satam te ayutam hayanan die guge trini chatiari krimmah | " we allot to thee a hundred. ten thousand, years, two, three, four ages (yugas)." As we may with probability assume that the periods here mentioned proceed in the ascending scale of duration, two yugas, and perhaps even one yuga, must be supposed to exceed 10,000 years.

The earliest comparison between divine and human periods of duration of which I am aware is found in the text of the Taitt. Br. quoted above in a note to p. 42: "A year is one day of the gods. But so far as that passage itself shows, there is no reason to imagine that the statement it contains was anything more than an isolated idea, or that the conception had, at the time when the Brahmanas were compiled, been developed, and a system of immenso mundane periods, whether

⁸⁴ For the context of this line see Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1866,

^{**} An analogous idea is found in the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa xiv. 7, 1, 33 ff. (= Brihadāraṇyaka Upanishad pp 817 ff of Cal. ed.) atha ye satam manushyāṇām ānandāḥ sa ekah pitrīnām jitalokāṇām ānandah | "now a hundred pleasures of men are one pleasure of the Pitris who have conquered the worlds". And so on in the same way; a hundred pleasures of the Pitris equalling one pleasure of the Karmadevas (or gods who have become so by works), a hundred pleasures of the latter equalling one pleasure of the gods who were born such, etc.

human or divine, had been elaborated. That, however, the authors of the Brāhmenas were becoming familiar with the idea of extravagantly large numbers is clear from the passage in the Taitt. Br. iii. 12, 9, 2, quoted above, p. 41, in the note on Manu xii. 50, where it is said that the erecators were engaged in a sacrifice for 100,000 years.

Professor Roth is of opinion (see his remarks under the word Kṛita in his Lexicon) that according to the earlier conception stated in Manu i. 69, and the Mahābhārata (12,826 ff.), the four Yugas—Kṛita, Trotā, Dvāpara, and Kali, with their mornings and evenings, consisted respectively of no more than 4,800; 3,600; 2,400; and 1,200 ordinary years of mortals; and that it was the commentators on Manu, and the compilers of the Purāṇas, who first converted the years of which they were made up into divine years. The verse of Manu to which Professor Roth refers (1. 69), and the one which follows, are certainly quite silent about the years composing the Kṛita age being divine years:

Chatvary āhuh sahasrāni varshānam tu kritam yugam | tasya tāvach chhatī sandhyā sandhyā mácha cha tathā i idhah | 70. Itareshu sasandhyeshu sasandhāmseshu cha trishu | elāpāyena varttante sahasrāni satāni cha | "They say that four thousand years compose the krita yuga, with as many hundred years for its morning and the same for its evening. 70. In the other three yugas, with their mornings and evenings, the thousands and hundreds are diminished successively by one."

Verse 71 is as follows: Yad etat parisankhyātam ādāv eva chaturyugam | etad drādaśa-sāhasram devānām yugam uchyate | which, as explained by Medhātithi, may be thus rendered: "Twelve thousand of
these periods of four yugas, as above reckoned, are called a Yuga of
the gods." Medhātithi's words, as quoted by Kullūka, are these:
Chaturyugan eva dvādaśa-sahasra-sankhyair diryam yugam | "A divine
Yuga is formed by four yugas to the number of twelve thousand."
Kullūka, however, says that his predecessor's explanation is mistaken, and must not be adopted (Medhātither bhramo nādarttavyah).
His own opinion is that the system of yugas mentioned in vv. 69 and
71 are identical, both being made np of divine years. According to
this view, we must translate v. 71 as follows: "The period of four
yugas, consisting of twelve thousand years, which has been reckoned
above, is called a Yuga of the gods." This certainly appears to be the

preferable translation, and it is confirmed by the tenor of verse 79. Verse 71, however, may represent a later stage of opinion, as it is not found in the following passage of the Mahābhārata, where the previous verso (69) is repeated, and verse 70 is expanded into three verses, though without any alteration of the sense:

M. Bh. iii. 12826 ff. — Ādīto manuja-vyāghra kritsnasya jagataḥ kshaye | chatvāry āhuḥ sahasrāṇi varshāṇāñ tat krītañ yugam | tasya tāvachchhatī sandhyā sandhyāñscha tathāvīdhaḥ |

"In the beginning, after the destruction of the entire universe, they say that there are four thousand years: that is the Krita Yuga, which has a morning of as many hundred years, and an evening of the same duration." And then, after enumerating in like manner the other three Yugas with their respective thousands and hundreds successively diminished by one, the speaker (the sage Mārkandeya) proceeds in verse 12831: Eshā dvādasahasrī yugākhyā parikirttitā) etat sahasraparyantam aho brāhmam udāhrītam | "This period of twelve thousand years is known by the appellation of the Yugas. A period extending to a thousand of these is called a day of Brahmā."

Nowhere, certainly, in this passage is any mention made of the years being divine years.

The earliest known text in which the names of the four Yugas are found is a verse occurring in the story of Sunahsepha in the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa vii. 15: Kaliḥ śayāno bhavatī sanjihānas tu diāparaḥ uttīshthams tretā bhavatī kritam sampadyate charan u "A man while lying is the Kali; moving himself, he is the Dvāpara; rising, he is the Tretā; walking, he becomes the Krita." But this brief allusion leaves us

by Weber and Roth (Ind Stud. i 286 and 460), once into Latin by Streiter (see Ind. Stud. ix. 316), and three into English, by Wilson (Journ. R. A. S. for 1861, p. 99), Muller (Ane Sansk. Lit. p. 412), and Haug (Ait. Br. ii. 464). All these authors, except the last, concur in considering the verse as referring to the four Yugas. Dr Haug, however, has the following note "Sayana does not give any explanation of this important passage, where the names of the Yugas are mentioned for the first time. These four names are, as is well known from other sources, . . . names of duce, used at gambling. The meaning of this Güthä is, There is every snocess to be hoped; for the unlucknest die, the Kali is lying, two others are slowly moving and half fallen, but the lucknest, the Krita, is in full motion. The position of dice here given is indicatory of a fair chance of winning the game" Both Dr Haug's translation and note are criticised by Professor Weber (Ind Stud ix. 319). Of the following verses, which occur in Manu ix. 301 ft, the second is a paraphrase of that in the Attarya Bräh-

quite in the dark as to the duration which was assigned to these yugas in the age when the Brāhmana was compiled.

Sict. VII.—Account of the different creations, including that of the eastes, according to the Vishau Purana.

I commence with the following general account of the cosmogony of the Vishqu Purāṇa, extracted from Professor Wilson's Preface to his translation of that work, vol. i. p. xcui:

"The first book of the six, into which the work is divided, is occupied chiefly with the details of creation, primary (sarga), and secondary (pratisarga); the first explains how the universe proceeds from Prakriti, or eternal crudo matter; "the second, in what manner the forms of things are developed from the elementary substances proviously evolved, or how they re-appear after their temporary destruction." Both these creations are periodical; but the termination of the first occurs only at the end of the life of Brahmā, when not only all the gods and all other forms are annihilated, but the elements are again merged into primary substance, besides which only one spiritual being exists. The latter takes place at the end of every Kalpa or day of Brahmā, and affects only the forms of inferior creatures and lower worlds, leaving the substances of the universe entire, and sages and gods unharmed." **

mana Kritam tretā-yugam chawa dvāparam kalir eva cha | rājno erittāni sarvāni rājā hi yieram uchyate | 302 Krith pravapto bhavati sa jāgrat dvāparam yugam | kaimasv abhyudyatas tretā vicharams tu kritam yugam | "301. The Krita, Tietā, Dvāpara, and Kali yiejis are all modes of a king's action, for a king is called a yuga. 302 While asleep he is the Kali, waking he is the I)vāpara age, intent upon action he is the Tretā, moving shout he is the Krita" The former of these two verses of Manu is reproduced nearly verbatim in the M. Bh. xii 3408, and the same idea is expanded in the same book of the same poem, vv. 2674 ff., 2682, 2684, 2686, 2698 ff. The words krita, tretā, dvāpara, and kali, are found in the Vāj.-Sanhītā, xix. 18, and in the Taitt Brūhmana, ii. 4, 1, 16; but in both places they denote dice, as does also the word krita in the Chhāndogya Upan iv 1, 4 (where see the commentary). On the Yugas the reader of German may also consult Weber's Indische Studien, i. pp. 39, 87 f, 282 ff

er [See Book 1. chapter 11]

88 [See the fourth and following chapters of Book 1.]

⁶⁹ See Book i. at the close of chapter vii. p. 113 of vol i of Professor Wilson's translation, 2nd edition, and also p. 621 and 630 of the original 4to, edition. As regards,

I proceed with the details of the creation which took place in the Varaha Kalpa, as described in book i. chapter 4, vv. 2, ff::

Atita-kalpavasane niśa-suptotthitah prabhuh | sattvodriktas tato Brahmā śūnyam lokam araikshata | 3. Nūrāyanah paro 'chintyah paresham api sa prabhuh | Brahma-svarupi bhatagun anudih sartasambhavah | . . . 6. Toyantah sa mahim jnatva jagaty ekarnave prabhuḥ | anumānād tad-uddhāram karttu-kāmaḥ prajāpatɪḥ | 7. Akarot sa tanum anyum kalpudishu yathu puru | matsya-kurmudilum tadrad varāham vapur āsthitah | 8. Veda-vajnamayam rūpam asesha-jagatah sthitau | sthitah sthiratma sarvatma paramatma prajapatih | 9. Janaloka-gataih siddhair Sanakadyair abhishthutah | praisessa tada toyam ātmādhāro dharā-dharah | 45. Evam śamstuyamānastu paramātmā mahīdharah | ujjahāra mahīm kshipram nyastavāms cha mahāmbhasi | 46. Tasyoparı jalanghasya mahati naur ıva sthitü | vitatatatvät tu dehasya na mahī yūts samplavam | tatah kshītim samām krita prīthivyām so 'chinod girīn | yathā-ribhāgam bhagavān anādih puzushottamah 47. Prak-sarga-dagdhan akhilan parvatan prithiittale | amoghena prabhävena sasarjämogha-vämchhitah | 48. Bhuri bhägam tatah kritvä sapta-dvīpān yathātathā | bhūr-ādyāms chaturo lokān pūrvavat samakalpayat | 49. Brahma-rūpadharo deias tato 'sau rajasā "iritah | chakara srishtim bhagarams chatur-raktra-dharo Harih | 50, nimittamūtram etāsau srījyānām sarga-karmaņām | pradhāna-kāraņībhūtā yato rai srijya-saktayah | 51. Nimitta-matram muktvaikam nanyat kınchid apekshyate | nīyate tapatām śreshtha sva-śaktyā vastu vastutām | "2. At the end of the past (or Padma) Kalpa, arising from his night slumber, Brahma, the lord, endowed predominantly with the quality of goodness, beheld the universe void. 3. Ho (was) the supreme lord Narayana, who cannot even be conceived by other beings, the deity without beginning, the source of all things, existing in the form of Brahma." [The verse given in Manu i. 10, regarding the derivation of the word Nārāyana (see above p. 35) is hore quoted]. "6. This lord of creatures, discovering by inference, - when the world had become one ocean,-that the earth lay within the waters, and being desirous to raise it up, (7) assumed another body. As formerly, at the beginnings of the Kulpas, he had taken the form of a fish,

however, the statement with which the paragraph concludes, compare vol. i. p. 50, as well as vol. ii. p. 269, of the same work.

a tortoise, and so forth, 90 (so now) entering the hody of a hoar (8),a form composed of the vedas and of sacrifice, -the lord of oreatures, who, throughout the entire continuance of the world, remains fixed, the universal soul, the supreme soul, self-sustained, the supporter of the earth (9),-being hymned hy Sanaka and the other saints, who had (at the dissolution of the lower worlds) proceeded to Janaloka,entered the water." [He is then addressed by the goddess Earth in a hymn of praise, as Vishnu, and as the supreme Brahma, vv. 10-24. The boar then rises from the lower regions, tossing up the carth with his tusk, and is again lauded by Sanandana and other saints in a second hymn, in the course of which he himself is identified with sacrifice, and his various members with its different instruments and accompaniments, vv. 25-44], "45. Being thus lauded, the supreme soul, the upholder of the earth, lifted her up quickly and placed her upon the great waters. 46. Resting upon this mass of water, like a vast ship, she does not sink, owing to her expansion. Then, having lovelled the carth, the divine eternal Purushottasna heaped together mountains according to their divisions. 47. He whose will cannot be frustrated, by his unfailing power, created on the surface of the earth all those mountains which had been burnt up in the former creation. 48. Having then divided the earth, just as it had been, into seven dvīpas, he formed the four worlds Bhūrloka and others as before. Becoming next pervaded with the quality of passion, that divine being Hari, assuming the form of Brahma, with four faces, offerted tho creation. 50. But he is merely the instrumental cause of the things to be created and of the creative operations, since the properties of the things to be created arise from Pradhana as their (material) cause. 51. Excepting an instrumental cause alone, nothing else is required. Every substance (vastu) is brought into the state of substance (vastutā) by its own inherent power." st

⁹⁰ No mention is made in the Brähmanas (as I have already observed) of any such periods as the Kalpas. But here an attempt is made to systematize the different stories scattered through those older works which variously describe the manner in which the creation was effected—with the view, perhaps, of reconciling the discrepancies in those free and artless speculations which offended the critical sense of a later age.

⁹¹ See Professor Wilson's translation of these verses, and the new version proposed by the editor of the second edition, Dr. Hall, p.,66, note. I do not think the phrase

[Before proceeding further with the narrative of the Vishuu Purāna, I wish to quote or refer to some passages from the Taittiriya Sanhıtā and Brāhmana and from the Satapatha Brāhmana, which appear to furnish the original germs of the legends of the boar, fish, tortoise, and dwarf incarnations.

The first of these texts is from the Taittirīva Sanhitī, vii 1, 5, 1 ff:

Āpo vai idam agro salilam āsīt | tasmin Prajāpatir vāyur bhūtvā acharat | sa imām apašyat | tam varāho bhūtvā āharat | tām Višiakarmā bhūtvā iyamārt | sā aprathata | sā prithiy abhavat | tat prithiyan prithivtram | tasyām aśrāmyat Prajāpatih | sa devān asrijata Vasān Rudrān Ādityān | to devāh Prajāpatim abruvan "prajāyāmahai" iti | so 'bravīd "yathā aham yushmāms tapasā asrikshi evam tapasā prajananam ichehhadhram" iti | tebhyo 'gnim āyatanam prāyachhad "etena āyatanena śrāmyata" iti | te 'gninā āyatanenu aśrāmyan | to samvatsaro skām gām asrijanta |

"This universe was formerly waters, fluid. On it Prajapati, becoming wind, moved." He say this (earth) Becoming a boar, he took her up. Becoming Viśvakarman, he wiped (the moisture from) her. She extended. She became the extended one (prithul). From this the earth derives her designation as the extended one. In her Prajapati performed arduous devotion. He created gods, Vasus, Rudras, and Adityas. The gods said to Prajapati, 'let us be propagated.' He answered, 'As I have created you through austere fervour, so do ye seek after propagation in austere fervour.' He gave them Agni as a resting-place (saying), 'With this as a resting-place perform your devotion.' They (accordingly) performed devotion with Agni as a resting-place. In a year they created one cow, etc.''

ero-maktyñ can be properly rendered, as Dr. Hall does, "by its potency" The reading of the MSS in v 50, pradhāna-kāranībhūtāh seems to me doubtful, as it would most naturally mean "have become the Pradhāna-cause" I conjecture pradhāna-kāranodbhūtah, which gives the sense which seems to be required

¹⁸ It is possible that the idea assigned to the word Narayana (see Manu 1 10, above), "he whose place of movement is the waters," may be connected with this passage See also Genesis 1. 2, "And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters."

²³ After having noticed this passage in the Taittiriya Sanhita, I became aware that it had been previously translated by Mr. Colebrooke (Essays 1 75, or p. 44 of Williams & Norgate's edition). Mr. Colebrooke prefaces his version by remarking, "The pre-

The second passage is from the Taittirīya Brāhmaṇa, i. 1, 3, 5 ff. Āpo vai idam agre salilam āsīt | tena Prajāpatir aśrāmyat "katham idam syād" iti | so 'paśyat pushkara-parnam tishthat | so 'manyata "asti vai tad yasminn idam adhitishthati" iti | sa varāho rūpam kritvā upanyamajjat | sa prithivīm adhah ārchhat | tasyā upahatya udamajjat | tat pushkara-parne 'prathayat | yad "aprathata" tat prithiiyai prithivitvam | "abhūd vai idam" iti tad bhūmyai bhūmitvam | tām diśo'nu vātah samaiahat | tām śarkarābhir adrimhat |

"This (universe) was formerly water, fluid. With that (water) Prajāpati praetised arduous devotion (saying), 'how shall this (universe he (developed)?' He beheld a lotus-leaf standing between the thought, 'there is somewhat on which this (lotus-leaf) rests.' He as a boar—having assumed that form—plunged beneath towards it. He found the earth down below. Breaking off (a portion of) her, he rose to the surface. He then extended it on the lotus-leaf. Inasmuch as he extended it, that is the extension of the extended one (the earth). This became $(abh\bar{u}t)$ From this the earth derives its name of $bh\bar{u}m\bar{z}$. Tho wind earried her, to the four quarters. He strengthened her with gravel, etc.

The Satapatha Brahmana, xiv. 1, 2, 11, has the following reforence to the same idea, although here Prajapati lumself is not the boar:

Iyatî ha vav vyam agre prithivy üsa prüdeša-mūtrī | tūm Emūshaḥ sti varāhaḥ uyjaghūna | so 'syūḥ patih Prajūpatis tena eva enam etan-mithunena priyeno dhūmnū samardhayati kritsnam karoti |

"Formorly this earth was only so large, of the size of a span. A boar called Emūsha raised her up. Her lord Prajūpati, therefore, prospers him with (the gift of) this pair, the object of his desire, and makes him complete"

Another of the incarnations referred to in the preceding passage of

sent extract was recommended for selection by its allusion to a mythological notion, which apparently gave origin to the story of the Varāha-avatāra, and from which an astronomical period, entitled Calpa has perhaps been taken."

⁹⁴ The Commentator gives an alternative explanation, viz, that the word salila 15 the same as sarira, according to the text of the Veda, "these worlds are sarira" ("ime vai lokāh sariram" iti siuleh)

^{**} Supported upon the end of a long stalk" (dirghanātāgre'vasthitam), according to the Commentator. In a passage from the Taitt Āranyaka, already quoted (p. 32, above), it is said that Prajāpati himself was born on a lotus-k-l'.

the Vishnu Purana is foreshadowed in the following text from the Satapatha Brahmana, vii. 5, 1, 5:

Sa yat kūrmo nāma | etad vai rūpam̃ kṛitvā Prajāpatiḥ prajāh aṣrijata | yad aṣrijata akarot tat | yad akarot taṣmāt kūrmaḥ | kaṣ́yapo vai kūrmaḥ | taṣmād āhuḥ "sarvāḥ prajāh kāṣ́yapyaḥ" iti | sa yaḥ sa kūrmo sau sa Ādityaḥ |

"As to its being called karma (a tortoise); Prajāpati having taken this form, created offspring. That which he created, he made (akarot); since he made, ho is (called) kūrmaḥ. The word kaśyapa means tortoise; hence men say all creatures are descendants of Kaśyapa. This tortoise is the same as Āditya." 66

The oldest version of the story of the fish incarnation, which is to be found in the Satapatha Brahmana, i. 8, 1, 1 ff., will be quoted in the next chapter.

For the passages which appear to supply the germ of the dwarf incarnation, the reader may consult the fourth volume of this work, pp. 54-58 and 107 f.

It will have been noticed that in the passage above adduced from the Vishnu Purāṇa, the word Nārāyaṇa is applied to Vishnu, and that it is the last named deity who (though in the form of Brahmā) is said to have taken the form of a bear. In the verses formerly cited from Manu (i. 9, 10), however, Nārāyaṇa is an epithet, not of Vishnu, but of Brahmā; and in the following text, from the Rāmāyaṇa, xi. 110, 3, it is Brahmā who is said to have become a bear:

Sarvam salılam evüset prithive tatra nirmita | tatah samabhavad Brahma svayambhar daivataih saha " | sa xarühas tato bhutvu projjahara vasundharam ityadi |

"All was water only, and in it the earth was fushioned. Then arose

With this compare the mention made of a tortoise in the passage cited above, p. 32, from the Taut Aranyaka.

of Such is the reading of Schlegel's edition, and of that which was recently printed at Bombay, both of which, no doubt, present the most ancient text of the Rāmāyaṇa. The Gauda recension, however, which deviates widely from the other, and appears to have modified it in conformity with more modern taste and ideas, has here also introduced a various reading in the second of the lines quoted in the test, and identifies Brahmā with Vishņu in the following manner - tatah samabhavad Brahmā svayambhār Vishņu avyayaḥ ["Then arose Brahmā the self-existent and imperishable lishum."

Brahmā, the self existent, with the deities. He then, becoming a boar, raised up the earth," etc.

I now return to the narrative of the Vishnu Purana.]

The further process of cosmogony is thus described in chapter v. :

Maitreya uvācha | 1. Yathā sasarija devo'sau devarshi-pitri-dānavan | manushya-tıryag-vrikshādin bhū-vyoma-salılaukasah | 2. Yad-gunañi yat-svabhāvam cha yad-rūpam cha jagad dvija | sargādau srishtavān Brahmī tad mamāchakshva vietarāt | Parāsara uvācha | 3. Maitreya kathayamy esha śrinushva susamahitah | yatha sasarjja devo 'sau devadin akhılan vibhuh | srishtim ohintayatas tasya kalpadishu yatha pura | abuddhi-pirtakah sargah pradurbhatas tamomayah | 4. Tamo moho mahāmohas tamisro hy andha-saminitah | avidyā pancha-parvaishā prādurbhūtā mahātmanah | 5. Panchadhā 'vasthītah sargo dhyāyato 'pratibodhaian | vahir-anto-'prakasas cha samvrittatma nagatmakah | 6. Mukhyā nagā yatas choktā mukhya-sargas tatas to ayam | 7. Tam drishtvā 'sādhakan sargam amanyad aparam punah | tasyābhidhyāyatah sargas tıryak-erotü 98 'bhyavarttata | 8. Yasmüt tıryak pravrittah sa tıryakerotas tatah emritah | 9. Paśvādayas te vikliyātās tamah-prayāh hy avedınah | utpatha-grahınas chawa te'mane inana-maninah | 10. Ahamkrıta ahammārā ashtāvimšad-vadhānvitāķ | antah-prakāšās te sarve āiritāš cha parasparem | 11 Tam apy asadhakam matva dhyayato'nyas tato'bhavat | ūrdhvasrotas tritīyas tu sātti ikorddhvam avarttata 90 | 12. Te sukha-prītibahulā bahır antas cha nārritāh 100 | prakāsā bahır antas cha ūrdhvasroto-bhavāh smrītāh | 13. Tushty-ātmakas trītīyas tu deva-surgas tu yah smritah | tasmin sarge 'bharat pritir nishpanne Brahmanae tadā | 14. Tato 'nyam sa tadū dadhyau sūdhakam sargam uttamam | asūdhakūms tu tān jnātvā mukhya-sargādi-sambhavān | 15. Tathā 'bhidhyāyatas tasya satyabhidyayinas tatah | pradurbhatas tada 'vyaktad arvak-srotas tu sādhakah | 16. Yasmād arvāg vyavarttanta tato 'rvāk-srotasas tu te | te cha prakāśa-bahulā tamodriktā 101 rajo dhikā h | tamut te duhkhabahulā bhuyo bhūyas cha kūrinah | prakūšā bahir antas cha manushyū sādhakās tu te | 23. Ity ete tu samākhyātā nava sargāh Prajā-

⁹⁸ sti sandhir arshah -- Comm

⁹⁹ The reading of the Vüyu P, in the parallel passage, is tasyābhidhyāyato nityam sāttvikah samavarttata | ūrdhvasrotas tritlyas tu sa chawordhvam vyavasthitah | The combination sāttvikordhvam in the text of the Vishnu P. must be ūrsha.

¹⁰⁰ For nevestah the Vayu P. reads samvestah.

¹⁰¹ Its sandhirarshah | Comm. But there is a form tama. The Vayu P. has tamah-

pateh | prākritā vaikritās chaira jagato mūla-hetavah | srijato jagadīsasya kim anyach chhrotum ichhasi | Maitreya urācha | 24. Samkshepāt kathıtah sargo devādīnām trayā mune | vistarāch chhrotum ichhāmi tratto municarottama | Purăsara uvăcha | karmabhir bhăvitāḥ pūrvaiḥ kuśalākuśalais tu tāh | khyātyā tayā hy anirmuktāh samhāre hy upasamhritah | 25. Sthai arantah suradyascha praja brahmams chaturvidhah | Brahmanah kurvatah srishtim jajnire manasis tu tah | 26. Tato der asurapetren manushanis cha chatushtayam | serrekshur andhanisy etāni sram ātmānam ayūyujat | 27. Yuktālmanas tamomātrā udriktā 'bhūt Prajāpateh | sierikshor jaghanāt pūriam asurāh jajnire tatah | 28. Utsasarja tatas tām tu tamo-mātrātmikām tanum | sā tu tyaktā tanus tena Maitreyabhad ubhaiari | 29 Sisrikshur anya-deha-sthah prītim āpa tatah surāh \ sattvodriktāh samudbhūtāh mukhato Brahmono drija | 30. Tyaktā sā 'pi tanus tena sattia-prāyam abhūd dinam | tato hi balıno ratrav asura devata dıla | 31. Sattvamatratmıkam e a tato 'nyam yagrilis tanum | pitrijad manyamanasya pitaras tasya jamire | 32 Utsasarja pitrīn srishtiā tatas tām api sa prabhuh | sā chotsrishta 'bharat sandhya dina-naktantara-sthitih | 33. Rajo-matratmilam anyam jagrihe sa tanum tatuh | rajo-matrotlata jata manushya dvija-sattoma | tām apy ūśu sa tutyāja tanum ūdyah Prajāpatih | jyotenā samabhavat sā 'pı prāk-sandhyā yā 'bhīdhīyate | 34. Jyotenodgame tu balino manushyāh pitaras tathā | Maitreya sandhjā-samaye tasmād etc bharanti vai | 35. Jyotsnā-rātry-ahanī sandhyā chatvāry etāni vas vibhoh | Erahmanas tu šarītāns trigunāpāstayāni cha | 36. Rajo-mātrātmikām era tato 'nyām jagrihe tanum | tatah kshud Brahmano jātā jajne kopas tayā tatah | 37. Kshut-khāmān andhakāre 'tha so 'srijad bhagaiāms tatah | Virāpāh smasrulā jātās te'bhyadhāvams tatah prabhum | 38. "Mawam bho rakshyatām esha" yair uktam rākshasās tu te | ūchuh "khādāma" sty anye ye te yakshās tu yakshanāt | "Muitreya said: 1. Tell me in detail how at the beginning of the creation that deity Brahmā formed the gods, rishis, fathers, dānavas, men, beasts, trees, etc., dwelling respectively on the earth, in the sky. and in the water; 2. and with what qualities, with what nature, and of what form he made the world. Parasara replied: 3. I declare to thee, Maitreya, how that deity created the gods and all other beings: listen with attention. While he was meditating on creation, as at the beginnings of the (previous) Kalpas, there appeared an insentient crea-

tion, composed of gloom (tamas). 4. Gloom, illusion, great illusion, darkness, and what is called utter darkness-such was the five-fold ignorance, which was manifested from that great Being, 5. as he was meditating—an insensible creation, 102 under five conditions, devoid of feeling either without or within, 103 closed up, motionless. 6. And since motionless objects are called the primary objects, this is called the primary (mukhya) creation. 104 7. Beholding this creation to be ineffective, ho again contemplated another. As he was desiring it the brute (tirualsrotas) election came forth. 8. Since (in its natural functions) it acts horizontally it is called Turyaksrotas. 9. The (creatures composing it) are known as cattle, etc., distinguished mainly by darkness (tamas) ignorant, following irregular courses, 105 while in a state of ignorance having a concert of knowledge, (10) self-regarding, self-esteeming, affected by the twenty-eight kinds of defects, endowed with inward feeling, and mutually closed. 11. As Brahma, regarding this creation also as inteffective, was again meditating, another creation, tho third, or urdhvasrotas, which was good, rose upward. 12. They (the creatures belonging to this creation) abounding in happiness and satisfaction, being unclosed both without and within, and possessed both of external and internal feeling, are called the offspring of the Urdhvasrotas creation. 13. This third ereation, known as that of the gods, was one full of enjoyment. When it was completed, Brahmā was pleased. 14. He then contemplated another ereation, effective and most excellent, sinco he regarded as meffective the beings sprung from the pumary and other creations. I5. While he, whose will is efficacious, was so desiring, the Arvaksrotas, an effective ereation, was manifested. 16. They

¹⁰² The Väyu P. here inserts an additional line, sarvatas tamasā chawa dīpaḥ kumbha-vad āvritah i "and covered on all sides with darkness, as a lamp by a jar"

¹⁰³ Vahir-anto'prakūśascha appears to be the true reading, as the Commentator renders the last word by prakrishta-nāna-tūnyah, "devoid of knowledge." But if this be the correct reading, it is ungrammatical, as antah and aprakūśa would properly make antar-aprakūśa, not anto'prakūśa. But the Purāṇas have many forms which are irregular (ūrska, "peculiar to the rishis," "vedic," or "antiquated" as the Commentators style them) The Taylor MS. of the Vūyu Purāṇa reads in the parallel passage bahir-antah-prakūsascha

¹⁰¹ See Dr. Hall's note p 70 on Professor Wilson's translation; and also the passage quoted above p. 16 from the Taitt. Sanh. vii. 1, 1, 4, where the word mukhya is otherwise applied and explained.

¹⁰⁵ Bhalshyāds-vvockak-hīnāh | "Making no distinction in food, etc., etc." Comm.
106 Compare M. Bh. xiv. 1038

(the creatures belonging to it) are called Arvāksrotas, because (in their natural functions) they acted downwardly. And they abound in sensation (prakāša) and are full of darkness (tamas) with a preponderance of passion (rajas). Hence they endure much suffering, and are constantly active, with both outward and inward feeling. These beings were men, and effective." 107

In the next following verses, 17-22, the names of the different creations, described in the first part of this section, and in the second chapter of the first book of the Vishnu Purāṇa, are recapitulated, and two others, the Anugraha and the Kaumāra, are noticed, but not explained. 105

The speaker Parāśara then adds: "23. Thus have the nine creations of Prajapati, both Prakrita and Vaikrita, the radical causes of the world, been reconnted. What else dost thou desire to hear regarding the creativo lord of the world? Maitreya replies: 24. By thee, most excellent, Muni, the creation of the gods and other beings has been summarily narrated: I desire to hear it from thee in detail. Parasars rejoins: Called into (renewed) existence in consequence of formor actions, good or bad, and unliberated from that destination when they were absorbed at the (former) dissolution of the world, (25) the four descriptions of creatures, beginning with things immovable and ending with gods, were produced, o Brahman, from Brahma when he was creating, and they sprang from his mind 26. Being then desirous to create these streams (ambhāmsı)100-the four classes of Gods, Asuras, Fathers, and Men, he concentrated himself. 27. Prajapati, thus concentrated, received a body, which was formed of the quality of gloom (tamas); and as he desired to create, Asuras were first produced from his groin. 28. He then abandoned that body formed entirely of gloom; which when abandoned by him became night. 29. Desiring to create, when he had occupied another body, Brahma experienced pleasure; and then gods, full of the quality of goodness, sprang from his mouth. 30. That body

in The Vüyu P. adds here Lakshanais tärakadyaischa ashtadhä oha vyovasthitäh siddhätmäno manushyäs te gandharva-saha-dharminah | sty esha tayasah sargo hy arväksiotäh prakärttitah | "Constituted with preservative(?) characteristics, and in an eightfold manner These were men perfect in their essence, and in nature equal to Gandharvas. This was the lustrous creation known as Arväksrotas."

¹⁰⁸ See Dr. Hall's edition of Wilson's V. P. pp 32 ff.; and pp. 74 ff.

¹⁰⁹ This word is borrowed from the passage of the Taittiriya Brāhmana, ii. 3, 8, 3, quoted above, p. 23. Most of the particulars in the rest of the narrative are imitated from another passage of the same Brāhmana, ii. 2, 9, 5 ff., also quoted above, p. 28.

also, being ahandoned by him, became day, which is almost entirely good. Hence the Asuras are powerful by night110 and the gods by day. 31. He then assumed another hody formed of pure goodness; and the Fathers were horn from him, when he was regarding himself as a father. 111 32. The Lord, after creating the Fathers, abandoned that body also; which, when so ahandoned, became twilight, existing between day and night. 33. He next took another hody ontirely formed of passion; and men, in whom passion is violent, were produced. The primeval Prajapati speedily discarded this hody also, which hecame faint light (jyotsnā), which is called early twilight. 34. Hence, at the appearance of this faint light, men are strong, while the fathers are strong at evening-twilight. 35. Morning-twilight, night, day, and evoning-twilight, these are the four bodies of Brahmā, and the receptacles of the three qualities. 36. Brahmā next took another 'hody entirely formed of passion, from which sprang hungor, and through it angor was produced. 37. The Divine Being then in darkness created heings emaciated with hunger, which, hidcous of aspect, and with long beards, rushed against the lord. 38. Those who said, 'Let him not be preserved' (rakshyatām) were called Rākshasas, whilst those others who cried, 'Let us eat (him)' were called Yakshas from 'eating' (yakshanāt).112

It is not necessary for my purpose that I should quote at length the conclusion of the section. It may suffice to say that verses 39 to 51 describe the creation of serpents from Brahmā's hair; of Bhūtas, of Gandharvas; of birds (vayāms) from the ercator's life (vayas), of sheep from his hreast, of goats from his mouth, of kinc from his belly and sides, and of horses, is elephants, and other animals from his feet; of plants from his hairs; of the different metres and vedas from his eastern, southern, western, and northern mouths. Verses 52 ff. contain a rocapitulation of the creative operations, with some statement of the

¹¹⁰ In the Rāmāyanā, Sundara Kānda 82, 13 f. (Gorresio's edit) we read Rakshasām rajamī-kālah sumyugeshu prašasyats | 14. Tusmād rūjan nisā-yuddhe jayo 'smākam na samsayah | "Night is the approved time for the Rakshases to fight. Wo should therefore undoubtedly conquer in a nocturnal conflict."

¹¹¹ This idea also is borrowed from Taitt Br ii. 3, 8, 2.

¹¹² See Wilson's V. P. vol. i. p. 83, and Dr. Hall's note.

¹¹² See the passage from the Taitt. Sanh. vii. 1, 1, 4 ff. quoted above, p. 16, where the same origin is ascribed to horses.

principles according to which they were conducted. Of these verses I quote only the following: 55. Teshām ye yāns karmāni prāk-srusthyām pratipedire | tāny eva pratipadyante srijyamānāh punah punah | . . . 60. Yathārtāv ritu-lingāni nānārāpāni paryaye | drisyante tāni tānyeva tathā bhāvā yugādishu | 61. Karoty evamindhām srishtim kalpādau sa punah punah | sisrikshāsakti-yukto 'sau srijya-sakti-prachoditah | "These creatures, as they are reproduced time after time, discharge the same functions as they had fulfilled in the previous creation . . . 60. Just as, in each season of the year, all the various characteristics of that season are perceived, on its recurrence, to be the very same as they had been before, so too are the beings produced at the beginnings of the ages 114 61. Possessing both the will and the ability to create, and impelled by the powers inherent in the things to be created, the deity produces again and again a creation of the very same description at the beginning of every Kalpa."

The sixth section of the same book of the V P, of which I shall cite the larger portion, professes to give a more detailed account of the creation of mankind.

V. P. i. 6, 1. Martreya wācha | Arrāks otas tu kathīto bharatā yas tu mānushah | brahman vistarato brūhi Brahmā tam asrijad yathā | 2. Yathā cha varnān asrejad yad-guņāms cha mahāmune | yacheha teshām smrtam karma uprādīnam tad uchyatām | Parāšara urācha | 3. Satyābhīdhyāyīnas tasya sīsrīkshor Brahmano jagat | ajāyanta dvījašreshtha sattı odriktü mukküt prajüh | 4 Vakshaso rajasodriktüs tatha 'nyü Brahmano 'bharan | rajusă tamasă chawa samudriktās tathorutah | 5. Padbhyām anyāh prajā Bruhmā sasarija drīja-sattama | tamah-pradhānās tāh sarrāš chāturrarnyam idam tatah | brāhmanāh kshattriyā vaišyāh śādrāscha derja-sattama | pādoru-vakshah-sthalato mukhataś cha samudgatāh | 6 Yajna-nishpattaye sarvam etad Brahmā chakāra vai | chāturi ai nyam makabkaga yajna-sadhanam uttamam | 7. Yajnair apyayıta deva vrishty-utsurgena var prajah | apyayayante dharma-jna yāmāh kalyāna-hetarah | 8. Nishpadyante narais tais tu sia-kaimābhirataih sadā | tiruddhācharaṇāpetaih sadbhih sanmārga-gāmibhih | 9. Stargāparaigau mānushyāt prāpnutanti narā mune | yach chābhiruchitam sthanam tud yantı manuja diya \ 10. Prajas tah Brahmana srishtäs chāturi arnya-i yai asthitau | samyak sruddhā-samāchāra-pra-114 Ver . " to occur Manu 30. " e Ma'-1"

vanā muni-sattama | 11. Yathechhā-vāsa-nīratāh sarvābādha-vivarjitāh | śuddhāntuh-karanāh śuddhāh sarı ānushthāna-nırmalāh | 14.115 Suddhe cha tāsām manasi śuddhe 'ntah-samsthite Harau | śuddha-jnānam prapasyantı Vishnv-ükhyam yena tatpadam | 15. Tatah külütmako vo 'sau sa chāmsah kathīto Hareh | sa pātayaty agho ghoram alpam alpālpasārarat | 16. Adharma-vīja-bhūtam̃ tu tamo-lobha-samudbharam | prajāsu tāsu Maitreya rāgādīkam asādhakam | 17. Tatah sā sahajā sīddhīs tāsām nātīva jāyate \ rasollāsādayas chānyāh siddhayo'shtau bhavanti yāh | 18. Tāsu kshīnāsv ašeshāsu varddhamāns cha pātaks | dvandvādibhava-duhkhārttās tā bhavantī tatah prajāh | 19. Tato durgānī tāś chakrur vārkshyam pārvatam audakam | kritimam cha tathā durgam purakarvatakādi yat | 20. Grihāni cha yathānyāyam teshu chakruh purādıshu | sītātapādı-bādhānām prasamāya mahāmate | 21. Pratikāram smam kritvā sītādes tāh prajāh punah | vārttopāyam tutas chakrur hasta-sıddham cha karma-jam | . . . 26. Grāmyāranyāh smrītā hy etā oshadhyas cha ohaturdasa | yajna-nishpattaye yojnas tatha "sam hetur uttamah | 27. Etā's cha saha yajnena prajānām karāṇam param | parāpara-tidaķ prājnās tato yajnān vitanvats | 28. Ahany ahany anushthanam yajnanam munisatlama | upakara-karam pumsam kriyamānāch cha śānti-dam | 29. Teshām tu kāla-srishto'sau pāpa-vindur mahāmate | chetassu varridhe chakrus te na yajneshu mānasam | 30. Veda-vādāms tathā derān yajnakarmādikam cha yat | tat sarvam nindamānās te yajna-vyāsedha-kāriṇah | 31. Pravritti-mārga-vyuchchittikārīno veda-nindakāh | durātmāno durāchārā babhūvuḥ kuṭīlāśayāḥ | 32. Samsıddhayam tu vartlayam prajah srishtva Prajapatih | maryadām ethāpayāmāsa yathā-sthānam yathā-guṇam | 34. Varnānām āśramānām cha dharmān dharma-bhritām vara | lokāms saria-varnānām samyag dharmānupālinām | 35. Prājāpatyam brāhmanānām smritam sthanam kriyavatam | sthanam avndram kshattriyanam sangrameshv anwarttinām | 36. Vaisyānām mārutam sthānam sva-dharmam anuvarttınam | gandharvam südra-jätinam parıcharyasu varttınam | J" Maitreya says: 1. You have described to me the Arvaksrotas, or human, creation: declare to me, o Brahman, in dotail the manner in which Brahma formed it. 2 Tell me how, and with what qualities, he created the castes, and what are traditionally reputed to be tho

¹¹⁵ There are no verses numbered 12 and 13, the MSS, passing from the 11th to

functions of the Brahmans and others. Parasara roplies: 3. When, true to his design, Brahmā became desirous to create the world, creatures in whom goodness (sattva) prevailed sprang from his mouth; (4) others in whom passion (rajas) predominated came from his hreast; others in whom both passion and darkness (tamas) were strong, proceded from his thighs; (5) others he ereated from his feet, whose chief characteristic was darkness. Of these was composed the system of four castes, Brahmans, Kshattrivas, Vaisvas, and Südras, who had respectively issued from his mouth, breast, thighs, and feet. 6. Brahma formed this 116 entire fourfold institution of classes for the performance of sacrifice, of which it is an excellent instrument. 7. Nourished by sacrifices, the gods nourish mankind by discharging rain. Sacrifices, the causes of prosperity. (8) are constantly celebrated by virtuous men, devoted to their duties, who avoid wrong observances, and walk in the right path. 9. Men, in consequence of their humanity, obtain heaven and final liboration; and they proceed to the world which they desire. 10. These creatures formed by Brahma in the condition of the four castes, (were) perfectly inclined to conduct springing from religious faith, (11) loving to dwell wherever they pleased, free frem all sufferings, pure in heart, pure, spotless in all observances. 14. And in their pure minds,—the pure Hari dwelling within them,—(there existed) pure knowledge whereby they beheld his highest station, called (that of) Vishnu.117 15. Afterwards that which is described as the portion of Hari consisting of Time 116 infused into those beings direful sin, in the form of desire and the like, ineffective (of man's end), small in amount, but gradually increasing in force, (16) the seed of unrighteousness, and sprung from darkness and eupidity. 17. Thenceforward their inuate perfectness was but slightly evolved: and as all the other eight perfections called rasollasa and the rest (18) declined, and sin increased, these creatures (mankind) were afflicted with suffering arising

^{**}B How does this agree with the statements made in the Twitt. Sanh. vii. I, 1, \$ ft. as quoted above, p 16, and in the Taitt. Br in 2, 3, 9, p. 21, that the S'ūdra is incapacitated for sacrifice, and that anything he milks out is no oblation?

¹¹⁷ Thus alludes to an expression in the Rig-reda, i. 22, 20. See the 4th vol. of this work, p. 54.

¹¹⁸ În regard to Kāla, "Time," see Wilson's V. P. vol. i. p. 18 f., end the passages from the Atharva-veda, extracted in the Journal of the Royal Assatzc Society for 1865, pp. 380 ff.

ont of the pairs (of susceptibilities to pleasure and pain, etc., etc.) 19. They then constructed fastnesses among trees, on hills, or amid waters, as well as artificial fortresses, towns, villages, etc. 20. And in these towns, etc., they built houses on the proper plan, in order to counteract cold, heat, and other discomforts. 21. Having thus provided against cold, otc., they devised methods of livelihood dopending upon labour, and executed by their hands." The kinds of grain which they cultivated are next described in the following verses 22 to 25. The text then proceeds, verso 26: "These are declared to be tho fourteen kinds of grain, cultivated and wild, fitted for sacrifice; and sacrifice is an eminent cause of their existence. 27. These, too, along with sacrifice, are the most efficacious sources of progeny. Hence those who understand cause and effect celebrate sacrifices. 28. Their daily performance is beneficial to men, and delivers from sins committed. 29. But that drop of sin which had been created by time increased in men's hearts, and they disregarded sacrifice. 30. Reviling the Vedas, and the prescriptions of the Vedas, the gods, and all sacrificial rites, etc., obstructing oblations, (31) and cutting off the path of activity,110 they became malignant, vicious, and perverse in their designs. 32. The means of subsistence being provided, Prajapati, having created living beings, established a distinction according to their position and qualities (see verses 3 to 5 above), (and fixed) the duties of the castes and orders, and the worlds (to be attained after death) by all the castes which perfectly fulfilled their duties. 33. The world of Prajapati is declared to be the (future) abode of those Brahmans who are assiduous in religious rites; the realm of Indra the abode of those Kshattriyas who turn not back in battle; (34) that of the Maruts the abode of those Vaisvas who fulfil their duties; and that of the Gandharvas the abode of the men of Sudra race who abide in their vocation of service." In the remaining verses of the chapter (35 to 39) the realms of blessedness destined for the reception of more eminent saints are briefly noticed, as well as the infernal regions, to which the wicked are doomed.

¹¹⁹ Pracritti-mārga-vyuchchhitti-kāriṇaḥ. The Commentator ascribes this to the human race being no longer sufficiently propagated, for he adds the explanation. yaṇāṇanuahṭhāne devair avarshaṇād annābhāvena prajā-vriddher asiddheh! "because population did not increase from the want of food caused by the gods ceasing to send rain in consequence of the non-celebration of sperifice."

At the beginning of the seventh section, without any further enquiry on the part of Maitreya, Parāsara proceeds as follows:

V. P. i. 7, 1. Tato 'bhidhyayatas tasya jajnire manasih prajah | tachchharira-samutpannash karyais tash karanash saha \ 2. Kshettrajnah samararttanta gütrebhyas tasya dhimatah | te sarve samavarttanta ye mayā prāg udāhritāh ! 3. Derādyāh sthāvarāntās cha trasguņyavishaye sthifüh | ei am bhūfūni srishtūni charāni sthūi arūni cha | 4. Yadā 'sya tāh prajāh sarrā va vyavarddhanta dhīmatah | athānyān mānasān putrān sadrišān ātmano 'srijat | 5. Bhrigum Pulastyam Pulaham Kratum Angerasam tatha | Marichem Daksham Atrem cha Vasishtham chana mūnasūn \ nara brahmūna ity etc purāne niśchayam gatāh \ 6. Sanandanādayo ye cha purvam srishtās tu Vedhasā | na te lokeshv asayanta nırapekehah prajasu te | sarve te chagataynana vita-raga vimatsarāh | 7 Teshv evam nirapelsheshu loka-srishtau makātmanah | Brahmano 'bhūd mahākrodhas trailokya-dahana kshamah | & krodhāt samudbhūta-yrālā-mālā-ridīpitam | Brahmano 'bhūt tadā sariam trailokuam akhilam mune | 9 Bhrūkuţī-kutilāt tasya lalātāt krodhadīpītāt | samutpannas tadā Rudro madhyāhnāi ka-sama-prabhah | ardhanārī-nara-tapuh prachando 'tisarīravān | vibhajūtmānam ity ukti ā tam Brahmā 'ntardadhe punah | 10. Tatholto 'sau dvidhā strīti am purushatvam tatha 'karot | bibheda purushtvam cha dasadha chaikadha cha sah | 11. Saumyūsaumyais tatkā šāntāšāntaih strītvam cha sa prabhuh | bibheda bahudhā derah svarūpair asitaih sitaih | 12. Tato Bruhmā "tmasambhūtam pūrvam siāyambhui am prabhum \ ātmānam eva kritaiān prazāpālam Manum dvija | 13. Satarūpām cha tām nārīm tapo-nirdhūtakalmasham | svayambhuro Manur derah patnyartham jagrike vibhuh | 14. Tasmāch cha purushād devī Satarūpā vyajāyata | Prvyavralottānapādau Prasūtyākūti-sanjnītam | kanyā-di ayam cha dharma-jna rūpaudarya-gunanvitam | 15. Dadau Prasūtim Dakshayathakutim Ruchayo purā ityādi |

"1. Then from him, as he was desiring, there were born mental sons with effects and causes 190 derived from his body. 2 Embodied spirits sprang from the limbs of that wise Being. All those creatures sprang forth which have been already described by me, (3) beginning

¹²⁰ The Commentator explains these words Laryau tash Laranash saha to mean

with gods and ending with motionless objects, and existing in the condition of the three qualities. Thus were created beings moving and stationary. 4. When none of these creatures of the Wise Being multiplied, he next formed other, mental, sons like to himself, (5) Bhrigu, Pulastya, Pulaha, Kratu, Angiras, Marīchi, Daksha, Atri, and Vasishtha, all born from his mind. These are the nine Bruhmas who have been determined in the Puranas, 6. But Sanandana and the others who had been previously created by Vedhas (Brahmā) had no regard for the worlds, and were indifferent to offspring. They had all attained to knowledge, were freed from desire, and devoid of envy. 7 As they were thus indifferent about the creation of the world, great wiath, sufficient to burn up the three worlds, arose in the mighty Brahma. 8. The three worlds became entirely illuminated by the wreath of flame which sprang from his anger. 9. Then from his forehoad, wrinkled by frowns and inflamed by fury, arose Rudra, luminous as the midday sun, with a body half male and half female, fiery, and huge in bulk. After saying to him, 'Divide thyself,' Brahma vanished. 10. Being so addressed, Rudra severed himself into two, into a male and a female form. The god next divided his male body into eleven parts, (11) beautiful and hideous, gentle and ungentle; and his female figure into numerous portions with appearances black and white 12 Brahmā then made the lord Svayambhuva, who had formerly sprung from himself, and was none other than himself, to be Mann the protector of creatures. 13. The god Manu Svāyambhuva took for his wife the temale Sitarūpā, who by austere fervour had become fixed from all defilement. 14. To that Male the goddess Satarūpā bore Priyavrata and Uttānapāda, and two daughters called Prasuti and Akuti, distinguished by the qualities of beauty and magnanimity. 15. He of old gave Prasuti in marriage to Daksha, and Akūtī to Ruchi."

From a comparison of the preceding narratives of the creation of mankind, extracted from the fifth and sixth chapters of the First Book of the Vishnu Purāṇa, it will be seen that the details given in the different accounts are not consistent with each other. It is first of all stated in the fifth chapter (verse 16) that the arvāksrotas, or human creation was characterized by the qualities of durkness and passion. In the second account (verse 33) we are told that Brahmā assumed a body composed of passion, from which men, in whom that quality is power-

ful, were produced.121 In neither of these narratives is the slightest al-Iusion made to there having been any primeval and congenital distinction of classes In the third statement given in the sixth chapter (verses 3 to 5) the human race is said to have been the result of a fourfold ereation, and the four eastes, produced from different parts of the creator's body, are declared to have been each especially characterized by different qualities (gunas), viz., those who issued from his mouth by goodness (sattra), those who proceeded from his breast by passion (rajas), those who were produced from his thighs by both passion and darkness (tamas), and those who sprang from his feet by darkness. In the sequel of this account, however, no mention is made of any differences of conduct arising from innate diversities of disposition having been manifested in the earliest age by the members of the different classes. On the contrary, they are described (verses 10 ff) in language applicable to a state of perfection which was universal and uniform, as full of faith, pure-hearted and devout. In like manner the declension in purity and goodness which ensued is not represented as peculiar to any of the classes, but as common to all. So far, therefore, the different eastes seem, according to this account, to have been undistinguished by any variety of mental or moral constitution. And it is not until after the deterioration of the entire race has been related, that we are told (in verses 32 f) that the separate duties of the several castes were fixed in accordance with their position and qualities. This sketch of the moral and religious history of mankind, in the earliest period, is thus deficient in failing to explain how beings, who were originally formed with very different ethical characters, should have been all equally excellent during their period of perfection, and have also experienced an uniform process of decline.

In regard to the variation between the two narratives of the creation found in the fifth chapter of the Vishuu Purāṇa, Professor Wilson remarks as follows in a note to vol i p 80 "These reiterated, and not always very congruous, accounts of the creation are explained by the Purāṇas as referring to different Kalpas or renovations of the world, and therefore involving no incompatibility. A better reason for their appearance

¹²¹ Compare the passage given above at the close of Sect. V. pp. 41 ff., from Mana xii 'iff 'a' i' r the'

is the probability that they have been borrowed from different original authorities."123

As regards the first of these explanations of the discrepancies in question, it must be observed that it is inapplicable to the case before us, as the text of the Vishnu Purana itself says nothing of the different accounts of the creation having reference to different Kalpas: and in absence of any intimation to the contrary we must naturally assume that the various portions of the consecutive narration in tho fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh chapters, which are connected with each other by a series of questions and answers, must all have reference to the ereation which took place at the commencement of the existing or Vārāha Kalpa, as stated in the opening verse of the fourth chapter. Professor Wilson's supposition that the various and discrepant accounts "have been borrowed from different original authorities" appears to have probability in its favour. I am unable to point out the source from which the first description of the creation, in the early part of the fifth chapter, verses 1 to 23, has been derived. But the second account, given in verses 26 to 35, has evidently drawn many of its details from the passages of the Taittuiya Biāhmana n. 2, 9, 5-9, and n. 3, 8, 2 f., and Satapatha Brāhmana xr 1, 6, 6 ff which I have quoted above. And it is possible that the references which are found in the former of theso descriptions in the Vishuu l'urana to different portions of the creation

123 The discrepancies between current legends on different subjects are occasionally noticed in the text of the Vishuu Purana. Thus in the eighth chapter of the first book, v 12, Maitreya, who had been told by Parasara that S'rī was the daughter of Dhrigu and Khyati, enquires Kshir abdhau S'rih piv otpanna srivyate 'mrita-manthane | Bhrigoh Khyātyām samutpannety etad āha katham bhavān | "It is reported that S'rī was produced in the occan of milk when ambrosia was churned. How do you say that she was born to Bhrigu by Khyāti?" He receives for answer: 13. Nityawa so jaganmātā Vishnoh S'rīr anapāyini (another MS reads anuyāy nī) yathā sarvagato Vishnus tathaucyum dvyottama | "Sri, the mother of the world, and wife of Vishnu, is eternal and undecaying" (or, according to the other reading, "is the eternal follower of Vishnu"). "As he is omnipresent, so is she," and so on The case of Daksha will be noticed further on in the text. On the method resorted to by the Commentators in cases of this description Professor Wilson observes in a note to p. 203 (4to edition), "other calculations occur, the incompatibility of which is said, by the Commentators on our text and on that of the Bhagavata, to ariso from reference being made to different Kalpas, and they quote the same stanza to this effect Kvachit krachit puraneshu virodho yadi lakshyate | kalpa-bhedadibhis tatra virodhah sudbhir ishyate | Whenever any contradictions in different Puranas are observed, they are ascribed by the pious to differences of Kalpas and the like."

being ineffective may have been suggested by some of the other details in the Brāhmaṇas, which I shall now proceed to cite. At all events some of the latter appear to have given rise to the statement in the fourth verse of the seventh chapter of the Vishuu P. that the creatures formed by Brahmā did not multiply, as well as to various particulars in the narratives which will be quoted below from the Vāyu and Mārkaṇdeya Purāṇas. The Brāhmanas describe the creative operations of Prajāpati as having been attended with intense effort, and often followed by great exhaustion; and not only so, but they represent many of these attempts to bring living creatures of various kinds into existence, to sustain them after they were produced, and to ensure their propagation, as having been either altogether abortive, or only partially successful. The following quotations will afford illustrations of these different points:

Taitt. Br. i. 1, 10, 1. Prayāpatih prayāh asrijata \ sa ririchāno 'manyata \ sa tapo 'tapyata \ sa ātman vīryam apasyat tad avardihata \

"Prajapati created living heings He felt himself emptied. He performed austere abstraction He perceived vigour in himself It increased, etc."

Tuitt. Br. i 2, 6, 1. Prajūpatih prajūh srishtiā vritto 198 'šayat | tom deiāh bhūtānām rasam tejuh sambhritya tena enam abhishajyan "mahān avavurtti" iti |

"Prajapati after ereating living beings lay exhausted. The gods, collecting the essence and vigour of existing things, eured him therewith, saying he has become great, etc."

Taitt. Br. ii. 3, 6, 1. Prajāpatih prajāh srishļi ā vyasramsata | sa hridayam bhūto 'šayat |

"Prajapati, atter creating living beings, was paralysed. Becoming a beart, he slept"

S. P. Br. ni 9, 1, 1. Prajāpatir vai prajāh sasrijāno ririchānah iva amanyata | tasmāt parāchyah prajāh āsuh | na asya prajāh šriye 'nnād-yāya jajaire | 2. Sa aikshata "arīkshy aham asmai (? yasmai) u kāmāya asrīkshi na me sa kāmah samārdhi parāchyo mat-prajāh abhūian na me prajāh śriye 'nnādyāya asthishata" iti | 3. Sa aikshata Prajāpatih "katham nu punar ātmānam āpyāyāyeya upa mā prajāh samāvartterams tiehtheran me prajāh śriye annādyāya" iti | so 'rehhan śrāmyams' cha-

chāra prajā-kāmah | sa etām ekādaśinīm apašyat | sa ekādaśinyā ishṭrā Prajāpatih punar ātmānam āpyāyayata upa enam prajāh samārarttanta atishṭhanta asya prajāh śrīye 'nnādyāya sa rasīyān era ishṭiā 'bhaiat |

"Prajāpati when creating living beings felt himself as it were emptied. The living creatures went away from him. They were not produced so as to prosper and to eat food. 2. Ho considered: 'I have become emptied: the object for which I created them has not been fulfilled: they have gone away, and have not gained prosperity and food.' 3. He considered: 'how can I again replenish myself; and how shall my creatures return to me, and acquire prosperity and food?' Desirous of progeny, he went on worshipping and performing religious rites. Ho beheld this Ekādaśinī (Eleven); and sacrificing with it, ho again replenished himself, his creatures returned to him, and gained prosperity and food. Having sacrificed, he became more bulliant."

S. P. Br. x. 4, 2, 2 So'yam samutsarah Prajūpatih sarvāni bhūtāni sasrije yach cha prāni yach cha aprānam ubhayūn deva-manushyān | sa sarvāni bhūtāni srishtvā ririchāna va mene | sa mrityor bibhiyānchakāra | 2. Sa ha īkshānchakre " katham nv aham imāni sarvāni bhūtāni punar ātmann āvapeya punar ātman dadhīya katham nv aham eva eshām sarveshām bhūtānām punar ātmā syām" iti |

"This Year, (who is) Prajūpati, created all beings, both those which breathe and those that are without breath, both gods and men. Having created all beings he felt limself as it were emptied. He was afraid of death. 2 He reflected, 'How can I again unite all these beings with myself, again place them in myself? How can I alone be again the soul of all these beings?"

S. P. Br. x. 4, 4, 1. Prajapatım vai prajāḥ srijamānam pāpmā mrityur abhiparijaghāna | sa tapo 'tapyata sahasram samī atsarān pāpmānam vijihāsan |

"Misery, death, smote Prajāpati, as he was creating living beings. He performed austere abstraction for a thousand years, with the view of shaking off misery."

S. P. Br. ii. 5, 1, 1. Prajāpatīr ha vai sdam agre ekah eva āsa | sa aikshata "katham nu prajāyeya" iti | so 'śrāmyat sa tapo 'tapyata | sa prajāḥ asrijata | tāḥ asya prajāḥ srishtāḥ parābabhūluḥ | tānī imānī vayāmsi | purusho vai Prajāpater nedishṭham | dvipād vai ayam purushaḥ | tasmād dvipādo vayāmsi | 2. Sa aikshata Prajāpatīh | "yathā

nv eva purā eko'bhūvam evam u nv eva apy etarhy eka eva asmi'' iti | sa dvitīyāḥ sasrye | tāḥ asya parā eva babhūvuḥ | tad īdañ kshudram sarī-sripam yad anyat sarpebhyaḥ | trītīyāh sasrije īty āhus tāḥ asya parā eva babhūvuh | te ime sarpāḥ | 3 So'rchhan śrāmyan Prajāpatir īkshānchakre "katham nu me prajāh srīshtāh parābhavanti" iti | sa ha etad eva dadarśa "anaśanatayā vai me prajāḥ parābhavanti" iti | sa ātmanaḥ eva agre stanayoḥ paya āpyāyayānchakre | sa prajāḥ asrijata | tāḥ asya prajāḥ srīshtāḥ stanāv eva abhīpadya tās tatah sambabhūvuḥ | tāḥ imāh aparābhūtāḥ |

"1. Prajāpati alono was formerly this universe. He reflected, 'How can I be propagated?' He toiled in religious rites, and practised austero fervour. He created living beings. After being created by him they perished. They were these birds. Mun is the thing nearest to Prajāpati. This being, man, is two-footed. Hence birds are two-footed creatures. Prajāpati reflected, 'As I was formerly but one, so am I now also only one.' He created a second set of hving beings. They also perished. This was the class of small reptiles other than serpents. They say he created a third set of beings, which also perished. They were these scrpents . . . 3 Worshipping and toiling in religious rites, Prajāpati reflected, 'How is it that my creatures perish after they have been formed?' He perceived this, 'they perish from want of food.' In his own presence he caused milk to be supplied to breasts. He created living beings, which resorting to the breasts were then preserved. These are the creatures which did not pensh."

Taitt. Br. i. 6, 2, 1. Vaisvudevena vai Prajāpatih prajāh asrijata | tāh srishtāh na prājāyanta | so'gnir akāmayata "aham imāh prajanayeyam" iti | sa Prajāpataye sucham adadhāt | so'sochat prajām ichhamānah | tasmād yam cha prajā bhunakti yam cha na tāv ubhau sochatah prajām ichhamānau | tāsv Agnim apy asrijat | tā Aynir adhyait (2) Somo reto'dadhāt Saiitā piājanayat | Sarasvatī vācham adadhāt | Pūshā'poshayat | te vai ete trih samvatsarasya prayuyyante ye devāh pushtipatayah | samiiatsaro vai Prajāpatih | samvatsarena eva asmai prajāh prājanayat | tāh prājāh jātāh Maruto'ghnan "asmān api na prāyukshata" iti | 3. Sa etam Prajāpatir mārutam saptakapālam apasyat | tām niravapat | tato vai prajābhyo'kalpata | . . . sa Prajāpatir asochat "yāh pūrvāh prajāh asrikshi Marutas tāh avadhishuh katham aparāh

erijeya" ıtı | tasya sushma ündam bhūtam niravarttata | tad vyudaharat | tad aposhayat | tat prājāyata |

"Prajāpati formed living ereatures by the vaiśvadeva (offering to the Visvedevas). Being created they did not propagate. Agni desired' 'let me beget these creatures.' He imparted grief to Prajapati. Ho grieved, desiring offspring. Hence he whom offspring blesses, and ho whom it does not bless, both of them grieve, desiring progeny. Among them he created Agni also. Agni desired (?) them Soma infused seed. Savitri begot them. Sarasvatī infused into them speech. Pūshan nour-These (gods) who are lords of nourishment are employed thrice in the year Prajapati is the Year. It was through the year that he generated offspring for him The Maruts killed those creatures when they had been horn, saying 'they have not employed us also. 3. Prajāpati saw this Māruta oblation in seven platters. Ho offered it. In consequence of it he became capable of producing offspring Prajapati lamented, (saying) 'the Maruts have slain the former living beings whom I created. How can I create others?' His vigour sprang forth in the shape of an egg. He took it up. He cherished it. became productive."

Taitt Br. 11 10, 9, 1 Prajāpatīr devān asrijata | te pāpmanā sanditāh ajāyanta | tān vyadyat |

"Prajāpati created gods. They were born bound by misery. He released them"

Tantt. Br. in 7, 9, 1. Prajāpatīh prajāh asrījatā | tāh asmāt spīshtāh parāchīr āyan | sa etam Prajāpatīr odunam apa'yat | so 'nnam bhūto 'tīshthat | tāh anyatra annādyam avītīā Prajāpatīm prajāh upāvarttanta |

"Prajapati created living beings. They went away from him He beheld this odana. He was turned into food. Having found food nowhere else, they returned to him."

Taitt. Br. i. 6, 4, 1. Prajāpatīh Saīītā bhūtīā prajāh asrijata | ta enam atyamanyanta | ta asmād apākrāman | tā Varuņo bhūtvā prajāh Varuņena agrāhayat | tāh prajāh Varuņa-grīhītāh Prajāpatīm punar upādhāran nātham īchhamānāh |

"Prajāpati, becoming Savitri, created living beings. They disregarded him, and went away from him. Becoming Varuna he caused Varuna to seize them. Being seized by Varuna, they again ran to Prajāpati, desiring help."

Taitt. Br. ii. 2, 1, 1. Tato sai sa (Prajāpatsh) prajāh asrijata | tāh asmat srishtā apākrāman |

"Prajāpati then created living beings. They went away from him."

I have perhaps quoted too many of these stories, which are all similar in character. But I was desirous to afford some idea of their number as well as of their tenor.

As regards the legend of Satarāpā, referred to in the seventh chapter of the first book of the Vishnu Purāna, I shall make some further remarks in a future section, quoting a more detailed account given in the Matsya Purāna.

Of the two sons of Manu Sväyambhuva and Satarupa, the name of the second, Uttanapada, seems to have been suggested by the appearance of the word Uttanapad in Rig-veda x 72, 3, 4, as the designation (nowhere else traccable, I believe) of one of the intermediate agents in tho creation 124 A Privavrata is mentioned in the Attareya Brāhmana vii 31, and also in the Satapatha Brāhmana x. 3, 5, 14, (where he has the patronymic of Rauhmayana) but in both these texts he appears rather in the light of a religious teacher, who had lived not very long before the age of the author, than as a personage belonging to a very remote antiquity. Daksha also, who appears in this seventh chapter as one of the mindborn sons of Brahma, is named in R V ii 27, 1, as one of the Adityas, and in the other hymn of the R.V. just alluded to, x. 72, vv. 4 and 5, he is noticed as being both the son and the father of the goddess Aditi. In the S. P 11. 4, 4, he is identified with Prajapati.126 In regard to his origin various legends are discoverable in the Puranas. Besides the passage before us, there are others in the V.P. in which he is mentioned. In iv. 1, 5, it is said that he sprang from. the right thumb of Brahma, and that Adıtı was his daughter (Brahmanaścha dakshināngushtha-janmā Dakshah | Prajūpater Dakshasyāpy Aditih). In another place, V. P. 1. 15, 52, it is said that Daksha, although formerly the son of Brahma, was born to the ten Prachetases by Mārishā (Dašabhyas tu Prachetobhyo Mārishāyām Prajāpatih | jajne Daksho mahābhago yah pūriam Brahmano 'bhaiat |). This double pa-

¹²⁴ See the 4th vol of this work, pp 10 f.

¹²⁸ See the 4th vol. of this work, pp. 10 ff. 24, 101; Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, for 1865, pp. 72 ff., Roth in the Journal of the German Oriental Society, vi. 75.

rentage of Daksha appears to Maitreya, one of the interlocutors in the Purāṇa, to require explanation, and he accordingly enquires of his informant, vv. 60 ff.: Angushṭhād dakshiṇad Dakshaḥ pūriañ jātah śrutam mayā | katham Prāchetaso bhūyah sa sambhūto maḥūmune | esha me saṃśayo brahman sumahūn hridi iarttate | yad dauhitraś cha somasya punah śvaśuratūm gatah | Parūśara uvācha | utpattiś cha nirodhaś cha nityau bhūteshu iai mune | rishayo tra na muhyanti ye chūnyo duyachakshushaḥ | 61. Yuge yuge bhaianty ete Dakshādyā muni-sattama | punaś chawa nirudhyante vidiāms tatia na muhyati | 62. Kānishṭhyam jyaishthyam upy cshām pūrvam nābhūd dvijottama | tapa eva garīyo 'bhūt prabhūvaś chawa kāranam |

"60 I have heard that Daksha was formerly been from the right thumb of Brahmā. How was he again produced as the son of the Prachetases? This great doubt arises in my mind; and also (the question) how he, who was the daughter's son of Soma, 126 afterwards became his father-in-law. Parāsara answered. Both birth and destruction are perpetual among all creatures. Bishis, and others who have celestial insight, are not bewildered by this. In every age Daksha and the rest are born and are again distroyed, a wise man is not bewildered by this. Formerly, too, there was neither juniority nor seniority: austere fervour was the chief thing, and power was the cause (of distinction)"

The reader who desires further information regarding the part played by Daksha, whether as a progenitor of allegorical beings, or as a creator, may compare the accounts given in the sequel of the seventh and in the eleventh chapters of Book I. of the V P. (pp. 108 ff. and 152 ff.) with that to be found in the fifteenth chapter (vol. ii. pp. 10 ff.).

I will mercly add, in reference to Akūti, the second daughter of Manu Svāyambhuva and Satarūpā, that the word is found in the Rig-veda with the signification of "will" or "design;" but appears to be personified in a passage of the Taittinīya Brāhmaṇa, iii. 12, 9, 5 (the context of which has been cited above, p. 41), where it is said: Irā patnī višiasryām ākūtir apinad haviḥ | "Irā (Idā) was the wife of the creators. Akūti kneaded the oblation."

¹²⁶ See Wilsen's V. P. vol. ii. p. 2, at the top.

Sect. VIII.—Account of the different creations, including that of the castes, according to the Vayu and Markandeya Puranas.

I now proceed to extract from the Vāyu and Mārkandeya Purānas the accounts which they supply of the creation, and which are to the same effect as those which have been quoted from the Vishnu Purāna, although with many varieties of detail.

I shall first adduce a passage from the fifth chapter of the Vāyu (which to some extent runs parallel with the second chapter of the Vishnu Purāna 127), on account of its containing a different account from that generally given of the triad of gods who correspond to the triad of qualities (gunas)

Vāyu Purāna, chapter v. verse 11. Ahar-mukhe prairitte cha parah prakriti-sambhaiah | kshobhayāmāsa yogena parena paramesigrah | 12. Pradhānam purusham chan a pransiquendam Mahesnarah | 13. Pradhānāt kshobhyamānāt tu rajo vai samavarttatu (rajah pravarttakañi tatra vîjeshe apı yatha jalam \ 14 Guna-raishamyam üxüdya prasüyante hy adhishthitäh | qunibhyah kihobhyamänibhyas truyo derä vijajnire | 15. Áśritāh 198 paramā guhyāh sariātmānah śarīrinah | rajo Brahmā tamo hy Agnih sattiam l'ishuur ajäyata | 16. Rajah-prakäsako Brahmā srashtriliena vyavasthilah | tamah-prakūšako gnis tu kūlatvena vyavasthitah | 17. Satti a-prakūšako Vishnur audūsīnye vyarasthitah | etc ei a trayo lokā ete eva trayo gunah \ 18. Ete eva trayo veda ete eva trayo 'quayah \ parasparāsi itāh hy ete parasparam anuratāh | 19. Parasparena varttante dharayanti parasparam | anyonya-mithuna hy ete hy anyonyam upajirinah | 20 Kshanam riyogo na hy eshām na tyajanti parasparam | Îśvaro hi paro devo Vishnus tu mahatah parah | 21. Brahmā tu rajosadriktah saigāyeha praiarttato \ paiašeha purusho jneyah prakritišcha para smrita |

"11, 12. At the beginning of the day, the supreme Lord Maheśvara, sprung from Prakriti, entering the egg, agitated with extreme intentness both Pradhāna (= Prakriti) and Purusha. 13. From

¹²⁷ See pp 27 and 41 f. of Wilson's V. P. vol. i.

The Garkowar MS of the India office, No. 2102, reads āsthitāh, instead on āsritāh, the reading of the Taylor MS.

Pradhāna, when agitated, the quality of passion (rajas) arose, which was there a stimulating cause, as water is in seeds. 14. When an inequality in the Gunas arcses, then (the deities) who preside over them are generated. From the Gunas thus agitated there sprang three gods (15), indwelling, supreme, mysterious, animating all things, embodied. The rajas quality was born as Brahmā, the tamas as Agni, 120 the sattva as Vishnu. 16. Brahma, the manifester of rajas, acts in the character of creator; Agni, the manifester of tamas, acts in the capacity of time; 17. Vishnu, the manifester of sattva, abides in a condition of indifference. These deities are the three worlds, the three qualities, (18) the three Vedas, the three fires; they are mutually dependent, mutually devoted. 19. They exist through each other, and uphold each other; they are twin-parts of one another, they subsist through one another. 20. They are not for a moment separated; they nover abandon one another. Isvaia (Mahadeva) is the supremo god; and Vishnu is superior to Mahat (the principle of intelligence); while Brahma, filled with rajas, engages in ereation. Purusha is to be regarded as supreme, as Prakriti is also declared to be."

The sixth section of the Väyu P., from which the next quotation will be made, corresponds to the fourth of the Vishnu P. quoted above.

1. Āpo hy agre samahharan nushte 'gnau prithirī-tale | sūnturālaika-līne 'smin nashte sthāvara-jangume | 2. Ekūimaie tadā tasmin na prājuā-yata kinchana | tadā sa bhagaiān Brahmā suhusiākshah sahasra-pāt | 3. Sahasra-šīrshā Purusho sukma-vaiņo hy atīndriyah | Brahmā Nārā-yaṇākhyah sa sushvāpa sahle tadā | 4. Sattvodrekāt prahuddhas tu śūn-yam lokam udīkshya sah | imam chodāharanty atra ślokam Nārāyaṇam prati | 5. Āpo nārā vai tanavah 130 ity apām nāma śuśruma | apsu śete cha yat tasmāt tena Nārāyaṇah smritah | 6. Tulyam yuga-sahasrasya naiśam kālam upāsya sah | śariary-ante prakuvio biahmaliam sarga-kāraṇāt | 7. Brahmā tu salile tasmin vāyur bhūtvā tadā 'charat | niṣāyām wa khadyotih prāvrit-kāle tatas tatah | 8. Tatas tu salile tasmin vijnā-yāntargatām mahīm | anumāṇād asammūdho bhūmur uddharaṇam prati |

¹²⁹ The Mark P chap. 46, verse 18, has the same line, but substitutes Rudra for Agni, thus. Rajo Brahmā tamo Rudro Vishņuh sattvam jagot-patih | The two aro often identified. See Vol. IV. of this work, 282 ff.

¹³⁰ See Wilson's Vishnu Purana, p 57, with the translator's and editor's notes. Verses 1 to 6 are repeated towards the close of the 7th section of the Vayu P. with

- 9. Akarot sa tanum hy anyām kalpādishu yathā purā \ tato mahātmā manasā duyam rūpam achintayat \ 10. Salilenāplutām bhūmim drishtvā sa tu samantataḥ \ "kim nu rūpam mahat kritvā uddhareyam aham mahām" \ 11. Jala-krīdā-suruchiram vārāham rūpam asmarat \ adhrishyam sari a-bhūtānām vāmayam dharma-sanjnitam \
- "1. When fire had perished from the earth, and this entire world motionless and moving, together with all intermediate things, had been dissolved into one mass, and had been destroyed-waters first were produced. As the world formed at that time but one ocean, nothing could be distinguished. Then the divine Brahma, Purusha, with a thousand eyes, a thousand feet, (3) a thousand heads, of golden hue, heyond the reach of the senses-Brahmā, called Nārāyana, slept on the water. 4 But awaking in consequence of the predominance (in him) of the sattva quality, and beholding the world a void-: Here they quote a verse regarding Nārāvaņa: 5 'The waters are the bodies of Nara: such is the name we have heard given to them; and because he sleeps upon them, he is called Nārāyana' 6 Having so continued for a nocturnal period equal to a thousand Yugas, at the end of the night he takes the character of Brahma in order to create. 7. Brahma then becoming Vayu (wind) moved upon that water, 181 hither and thither, like a firefly at night in the rainy season. 8 Discovering then by inference that the earth lay within the waters, but unbewildered, (9) ho took, for the purpose of raising it up, another body, as he had done at the heginnings of the (previous) Kalpas. Then that Great Being devised a celestial form. 10 Perceiving the earth to be entirely covered with water, (and asking himself) 'what great shape shall I assume in order that I may raise it up?'-he thought upon the form of a hoar, brilliant from aquatic play, invincible by all creatures, formed of speech, and bearing the name of righteousness"

The body of the boar is then described in detail, and afterwards the elevation of the earth from beneath the waters, and the restoration of its former shape, divisions, etc. 139—the substance of the account being

¹³¹ This statement, which is not in the corresponding passage of the Vishnu P., is evidently borrowed, along with other particulars, from the text of the Taituriya Sanhita, vii. 1, 5, 1, quoted above p. 52

¹³³ Following the passage of the Taittiriya Sanhita, quoted above, the writer in one werse ascribes to Brahma as Visvakarman the arrangement of the earth, tatas teshu viārneshu lokodadhi-girushv atha | Vivakarmā vibhajate kalpāduhu punah punah |

much the same, but the particulars different from those of the parallel passage in the Vishuu Purana.

Then follows a description of the creation coinciding in all essential points ¹⁵⁸ with that quoted above, p. 55, from the beginning of the fifth chapter of the Vishnu Purāṇa.

The further account of the ercation, however, corresponding to that which I have quoted from the next part of the same chapter of that Purāṇa, is not found in the same position in the Vāyu Purāṇa, 184 but is placed at the beginning of the ninth chapter, two others, entitled Pratisandhi - kīrttana and Chaturāśi ama - vibhāga, being interposed as the seventh and eighth. With the view, however, of facilitating comparison between the various cosmogonies described in the two works, I shall preserve the order of the accounts as found in the Vishṇu Purāṇa, and place the details given in the ninth chapter of the Vāyu Purāṇa before those supplied in the eighth.

The ninth chapter of the Vāyu Purāṇa, which is fuller in its details than the parallel passage in the Vishnu Purāṇa, begins thus, without any specific reference to the contents of the preceding chapter:

Sūta uvūcha | 1. Tato 'bhidhuāyatas tasya jajnine mūnasīh prajūḥ | tach - chharīra - samutpannaih kūryais taih kūranaih sahu | 2. Kshetrajnāh samavarttanta gūtrchhyas tasya dhīmataḥ | tato devāsura-pitrīn mūnavam cha chatushtayam | 3. Sirrikshur ambhūmsy etām svātmanā samayūyujat | yuktūtmanas tatas tasya tamomūtrā svayambhuvaḥ | 4. Tum abhidhyūyatah sargam prayatno 'bhūt Prajūpateh | 'ato 'sya jaghanāt pūrvam asurā jajniro sutāḥ | 5. Asuḥ prūnah smrito viprais taj-janmūnas tato 'surāḥ | yayā srishtūsurās tanvā tām tanum sa vyapohata 185 | 6. Sū 'paiiddhā tanus tena sadyo rūtrir ajūyata | sū tamo-bahulā yasmāt tato rūtris triyāmikā | 7. Āvritās tamasā rūtrau prajūs tasmāt svapanty uta | drishtiā 'surāms tu devešas tanum anyūm apadyata | 8. Aryaktūm sattia-bahulūm tatas tūm so 'bhyayūyujat | tatas tūm yunjatas tasya priyam ūsīt prabhoḥ kila | 9 Tato mukhe samutpannā dīvyatas tasya devath | yato 'sya dīvyato jūtūs tena devāh

¹³³ This is also the case with the details given in the Mark P. xlvn. 15-27 and ff.

¹³⁴ The Mark, P. however observes the same order as the Vishnu P.

¹³⁶ The reading in the passage of the Taitt Br. 11. 2, 9, 6, from which this narrative is borrowed (see above, p. 28), is apāhata,—which, however, does not prove that that verb with vi prefixed should necessarily he the true reading here, as the Taylor and Gaikowar MSS. have eyapohata throughout, and in one place eyapohata.

prakîrttitāh | 10. Dhatur dwits yah proktah kridayan sa vibhavyate | tasmāt († yasmāt) tanī ām tu diryāyām jamire tena devatāķ | 11. Devān srishtvā 'tha devesas tanum anyām apadyata | sattva - mūtrūtmikām devas tato 'nyūm so 'bhyapadyata 138 | 12. Pitrivad manyamūnas tūn putran pradhyayata prabhuh | pitaro hy upapakshabhyam 187 ratry-ahnor antarā 'srijat | 13 Tusmāt to pitaro devāh putratcam tena teshu tat | yayā srishtās tu pitaras tām tanum sa vyapohata | 14. Sā 'paiiddhā tanus tena sadyah sandhyū prajūyata | tasmūd ahas tu devānām rūtrīr yā sā "surī smrītā ! 15. Tayor madhye tu var paitrī yā tanuh sā garīyasī | tasmād derāsurāh sarve rishayo manaras tathā | 16. Te yuktās tām upāsante rātry-ahnor 188 madhyamām tanum | tato 'nyām sa punar Brahmā tanum var pratyapadyata | 17. Rajo-mātrātmikām yām tu manasū so'srijat prabhuh | rajah-prājjān tatah so 'tha mānasān asrijat sutūn | 18. Manasas tu tatas tavya mānasā jajnīre prajāh | drishtvā punah prajūš chūpi svūm tanum tām apohata | 19. Sā 'paridilhā tanus tena pyotenā sadyas tr ajāyata | taemād bluvanti sašihrishtā zyotenāyām udbhave prajūh | 20 Ity etūs tanavas tena vyapaviddhū mahatmanū | sadyo rātry-ahanī chawa sandhyā pyotsaā cha jajnire | 21. Jyotsnā sandhyā tathā 'hascha sattva-mātrātmakam svayam | tamo-mātrātmikā rātrih sā vai tasmāt triyāmikā | 22. Tasmād devā divya taniā 189 drishtāh srishtā mukhāt tu var | yasmāt teshām divā janma balinas tena to dirā | 23. Tanrā yad asurān rātrau jaghanād asrijat punah | prānebhyo rātri-janmāno hy asahyā nisi tena te | 24 Etāny eram bhavishyānām devānām asuraih saha | pitrīnām mānaiānām cha atītūnāgateshu vai | 25. Maniantareshu sarveshu nimittäni bhaianti hi \ jyotsna ratry-ahani sandhyā chatvāry ambhāmsi tāns rai \ 26 Bhānti yasmāt tato 'mbhāmsi bhā-śabdo 'yam manīshibhih | cyāpti-dīptyām nigadīto pumām's chāha Prajāpatīh | 27. So 'mbhāmsy etānī drishtīā tu deva-dānara-mānavān | pitriinis chaivāsrijat 80 'nyān ātmano vividhān punah | 28. Tām utsrijya tanum kritsnām tato nyām asrijat prabhuh | mūrttim rajas-tama-prāyām punar er abhyayuyujat | 29. Andhakare kuhudharishtas tato'nyam srijate punah | tena erishtah kehudhatmanae te 'mbhamey adatum udyatah | 30. "Ambhūmsy etūni rakshāma" uktai antašcha teshu ye | rūkshasās te emritah loke krodhatmano nisacharah

¹²⁶ This line is omitted in the Gaikowar MS.

un The Gaikowar MS seems to read upaparteabhyam.

¹³⁸ The Garkowar MS reads Brahmano madhyamām tanum.

¹³⁹ T' G owar MS r ' ' ' ' ' a.

"Suta says: 1. Then, as he was desiring, there sprang from him mind-born sons, with those effects and eauses derived from his body. 2. Embodied spirits were produced from the bodies of that wise Being. 3. Then willing to ereate these four streams (ambhamsi) gods, Asuras Fathers, and men, he fixed his spirit in abstraction. As Svayambhū was thus fixed in abstraction, a body consisting of nothing but darkness (invested him). Y. While desiring this creation, Piajapati put forth an effort. Then Asuras were first produced as sons from his groin. 5. Asu is declared by Brahmans to mean breath. From it these beings were produced, hence they are Asuras 140 He cast aside the body with which the Asuras were created. 6 Being cast away by him, that body immediately became night. Inasmuch as darkness predominated in it, night consists of three watches. 7 Hence, being enveloped in darkness, all creatures sleep at night. Beholding the Asuras. however, the Lord of gods took another body, (8) imperceptible, and having a predominance of goodness, which he then fixed in abstraction. While he continued thus to fix it, he experienced pleasure. 9. Then as he was sporting, gods were produced in his mouth. As they were born from him, while he was sporting (dieyatah), they are known as Devas (gods). 10 The root div is understood in the sense of sporting. As they were born in a sportive $(dnya)^{131}$ body, they are called Devatās. 11 Having created the deities, the Lord of gods thon took another body, consisting entirely of goodness (sattra). 12. Regarding himself as a father, he thought upon these sons: he created Fathers (Petris) from his aimpits in the interval between night and day. 13. Hence these Fathers are gods therefore that sonship belongs to them. He cast aside the body with which the Fathers were created. 14. Being cast away by him, it straightway became twilight. Hence day belongs to the gods, and night is said to belong to the Asuras 15. The body intermediate between them, which is that of the Fathers, is the most important. Hence gods, Asuras, Fathers, and men (16) worship intently this intermediate body of Brahma. He then took again another body. But from that body, composed altogether of passion (rajas),

¹⁴⁰ This statement, which is not found in the parallel passage of the Vishau Parana, is borrowed from Taitt Br. 11. 3, 8, 2, quoted above.

¹⁴¹ Dwya properly means "celestral." But from the play of words in the passage, the writer may intend it to have here the sense of "sportive."

which he created hy his mind, he formed mind-horn 142 sons who had almost entirely a passionate character. 18 Then from his mind sprang mind-born sons. Beholding again his creatures, he cast away that hody of his. 19. Being thrown off by him it straightway hecame morning twilight. Hence living beings are gladdened by the rise of early twilight. 20. Such were the bodies which, when east asido by the Great Being, became immediately night and day, twilight and early twilight. 21. Early twilight, twilight, and day have all the character of pure goodness. Night has entirely the character of darkness (tamas); and hence it consists of three watches. 22. Hence the gods are beheld with a celestial hody, and they were created from the mouth. As they were created during the day, they are strong during that period. 23. Inasmuch as he created the Asuras from his grouu at night, they, having been born from his breath, during the night, are unconquerable during that season. 24, 25. Thus these four streams, early twilight, night day, and twilight, are the causes of gods, Asuras, Fathers, and men, in all the Manyantaras that are past, as well as in those that are to come. 26. As these (streams) shine, they are called ambhams: This root bha is used by the intelligent in the senses of pervading and shining, and the Male. Prajapati, declares (the fact). 27. Having beheld these streams (ambhamst), gods, Danavas, men, and fathers, he again created various others from himself. 28. Abandoning that entire hody, the lord created another, a form consisting almost entirely of passion and darkness, and again fixed it in abstraction. 29. Being possessed with hunger in the darkness, he then ereated another. The hungry beings formed by him were bent on seizing the streams (ambhamsı). 30. Those of them, who said 'let us preserve (rakshāma) these streams,' are known in the world as Rākshasas, wrathful, and prowling about at night."

This description is followed by an account of the further creation corresponding with that given in the same sequence in the Vishnu Purāna; and the rest of the chapter is occupied with other details which it is not necessary that I should notice. I therefore proceed to make some quotations from the eighth chapter, entitled Chaturāśramavibhāga, or "the distribution into four orders," which corresponds, in

¹⁴³ Mānasān. We might expect here however, mānavān or mānushān, "human," in conformity with the parallel passages both in the Vishnu Purānu (see above, p. 56), and the Mārkandeya Purāna, xlvii. 11,

its general contents, with the sixth chapter of the Vishnu Purana, book i., but is of far greater length, and, in fact, extremely prolix, as well as confused, full of repetitions, and not always very intelligible.

The chapter immediately proceding (*e. the seventh), entitled Pratisandhi-kirttanam, ends with the words: "I shall now declare to you the present Kalpa; understand." Sūta accordingly proceeds at the opening of the eighth chapter to repeat some verses, which have been already quoted from the beginning of the sixth chapter, descriptive of Brahmā's sleep during the night after the universe had been dissolved, and to recapitulate briefly the elevation of the earth from beneath the waters, its reconstruction, and the institution of Yugas. At verse 22 the narrative proceeds:

Kulpasyādau kritayuge prathame so 'srijat prajāḥ | 23. Prāg uktā yā mayā tubhyam pūria-kāle prajās tu tāh \ tasmin samvai ttamāne tu kalpe dağdhas tada 'gnına | 24. Aprapta yas tapo-lokanı jana-lokanı samasritāb | pravarttatī punah sarge vijartham tā bhavantī hi | 25. Vijārthena sthitus tatra punah sargasya karanat | tatas tah sryyamanas tu santānārtham bharanti hi | 26 Dharmārtha-kāma-mokshānām iha tāh sādhikah smritah | deras cha pitaraschaiva rishayo manaras tatha | 27. Tatas te tapasā yuktāh sthānāny āpārayanti hi | Brahmano mānavās to var siddhütmüno bhavanti hi | 28. Ye sangudieshu-yuhtena karmana te diram gatāh | ārarttamānā iha to sambharanti yuge yuge | 29. Svakarma-phala-seshena khyātyā chawa tathātmikā (? tathātmakāh) | sambhavantı janal loküt karma-samsaya-bandhanat | 30 Asayah karanam tatra boddhar yani karmana tu sah | tarh karmabhes tu jayante janal lokat śubhāśubhath | 31. Grihnanti te śarīrāni nānā-rūpāni yonishu | devādyāh sthāvarāntās cha utpadyante parasparam (? paramparam) | 32. Teshām ye yani karmani prak-erishtau matipedire | tany eva pratipadyante spijyamānāh punah punah | 33. Himsvāhimsre mpidu-krāje dharmādharme ritanrite | tadbhāvitāh prapadyante tasmāt tat tasya rochate | 34. Kalpeshv āsan vyatīteshu rūpa-nāmānī yānī cha | tāny evānāgate kāle prāyasah pratipedire | 35. Taemāt tu nāma-rūpāni tāny eva pratipedire | punah punas te kalpeshu jayante nama-rapatah | 36. Tatah sarge hy avashtabdhe sesrekshor Brahmanas tu var | 37.148 Prajās tā dhyāyatas

¹⁴³ The narrative in the 49th chapter of the Markandeya Purana (verses 3-13) begins at this verse, the 37th of the Vayu Purana, and coincides, though with verbal differences, with what follows down to verse 47. After that there is more variation.

tasya satyabhidhyayinas tada | mithunanam sahasram tu so'srijad rai mukhāt tadā | 38. Janās te hy upapadyants sattvodriktāh suchetasah 144 | sahasram anyad vakshasto mithunanam sasarja ha | 39. Te sarve rajasodriktāh sushminas chāpy asushminah 145 | srishtiā sahaeram anyat tu dvandvānām ūrutah punah | 40. Rajas-tamobhyām udriktā īhāśīlās tu te smritah | padbhyam sahasram anyat tu mithunanam sasarja ha | 41. Udriktās tamasā sarve niķirīkā hy alpa-tojasāh | tato vai harshamānās te drandrotpannās tu prāninah | 42. Anyonya-hrichhayāvishtā marthunāyopachakramuh | tatahprabhriti kalpe 'smin maithunotpattir uchyate | 43. Mūsi mūsy arttavam vat tu na tadā "sīt tu yoshitam 160 | tasmāt tadā na sushuvuh sevitair api maithunaih | 41 Ayusho'nts prasūyante mithununy era tah sakrit | kunthakah kunthikas chawa utpadyante mumu shatām 147 | 45. Tatah prabhriti kalpe 'smin mithunānām hi sambhavah | dhyāne tu manasā tāsām prajānām jāyate sakrīt | 46. Sabdādi-vishayah suddhah pratyekam pancha-lakshanak | ity evam manasi 148 purpam praksrishtir ya Prajapateh | 47. Tasyanvavaye sambhuta yair idam purilam jagat | sarit-sarah samudrāms cha serante parratūn api | 48 Tadā nātyanta-śītoshījā yuge tasmin charanti iai | prithiī-rasodbhavam nāma āhāram hy āharanti vai 149. Tāh piajāh kāma-chārinyo mānasîm siddhim asthitah | dharmadharmau na tarv astam niiviseshah prajas tu tāḥ | 50. Tulyam ayuḥ sukham rūpam tāsām tasmin krite yuge | dharmādharmau na tāsv āstām kalpādau tu krite yuge | 51. Siena svenādhikārena jajnire te krite yuge | chatrāri tu sahasrāni varshānām divyasankhyaya | 52. Adyam krita-yugam prahuh sandhyanam tu chatuhšatam | tatah sahasrašas tāsa prajūsu prathitāsv api | 53.150 Na tāsām pratighāto 'sti na dvandvam nāpi cha klamah | parvatodadhi-sevinyo hy aniketāsrayās tu tāh | 54. Visolāh sattva-bahulāh hy ekanta-sukhitāh prajūh | tūh vai nishkāma-chārinyo nityam mudita-mānasāh | 55. Paśa-

¹⁴⁴ For suchetasah the Mürk. P. reads suterasah.

¹⁴⁵ For as ushminah the Mark. P. reads amar shinah, "irascible."

¹⁴⁶ I have corrected this line from the Märkandeya Puruna, 49, 9 8. The reading of the MSS. of the Väyu Puruna cannot be correct. It appears to be: mass mass 'rttavam yad yat tat tadasid h. yoshitam | The negative particle seems to be indispensable here.

¹⁴⁷ This half verse is not found in the Mark. P.

¹⁴⁶ The Mark. P. has manushi, "human," instead of manasi, "mental."

¹⁴⁸ This verse is not in the Mark. P., and after this point the verses which are common to both Paranas do not occur in the same places.

¹⁵⁰ Verses 53-56 coincide generally with verses 14-18 of the Mark. P.

vah pakshinas chaiva na tadāsan sarīsripāh | nodbhijjā nārakas 1811 chaiva te hy adharma-prasūtayah | 56. Na mūla-phala-pushpam oha nārttavam ritaro na cha | sarva-kāma-sukhaḥ kālo nātyartham hy ushna-śītatā 182 | 57. Manobhilashitah kamas tasam sarvatra sarvada | uttishthanti prithivyam var tabhir dhyata rasolvanah | 58. Balavarna-karī tasam siddhih sā roga-nāsinī | asamskāryyaih sarīrais cha prajās tāh sthirayauvanāh | 59. Tāsām visuddhāt sankalpāj jāyants mithunāh prajāh | samam janma cha rūpam cha mriyante chaiva tāh samam | 60. Tadā satyam alobhaś cha kshamā tushtih sukham damah | nirvišeshās tu tāh sarvā rūpāyuhśila-cheshtitaih | 61. Abuddhipūrvalam vrittam prajūnūm jūyato evayam | aprairittih krita-yuge karmanoh subhapapayoh | 62. Vainasrama-ryavasthās cha na tadā "san na sankarah | anichhādresha-yuktās te varttayantı parasparam | 63. Tulya-rūpāyushah sarrāh adhamottama-varjitāh 158 | sukha-prāyā hy aśokāś cha udpadyante krite yuge | 64. Nityaprahrishta manaso mahasattva mahabalah | labhalabhau na tusv astam mitrāmitre priyapriye \ 65. Manasā vishayas tāsām nirīhānām pravarttate | na lipsanti hi ta'nyoyani nanugrihnanti chawa hi | 66. Dhyanam param krita-yuqe tretayam manam uchyate | pravrittam dvapare yamam danam kalı-yuge varam | 67. Sattvam kritam rajas tieta dvaparam tu rajas-tamau | kalau tamas tu vijneyam yuga-vritta-vasena tu | 68. Kalah krite yuge tv esha tasya sankhyām nibodhata | chatvārī tu sahasrāni varshānām tat kritam yugam | 69. Sandhyāmsau tasya divyāni satāny ashtau cha sankhyaya | tada tasam babhuvayur na cha kleśa-vipattayah 154 | 70. Tatah kritayuge tasmin sandhyamise hi gate tu iai | padavasishto bhavati yuga-dharmas tu sarrasah | 71. Sandhyayam apy atītuyam anta-kale yugasya var | padasas ohavasishte tu sandhya-dharme yugasya tu | 72. Evam krite tu nihšeske siddhis tv antardadke tadā | tasyām cha siddhau bhrashtāyām mānasyām abhavat tatah | 73. Siddhir

¹⁸¹ The Mark, P has nakrah, "crocodiles," in its enumeration.

¹⁵² The Mark. P. here inserts some other lines, 18b-21a, instead of 57 and 58a of the Vavu P.

las The Mürk, P. inserts here the following verses: 24. Chateāri tu sahasrāni varshānām mānushāni tu ļāyuh-pramānim jīvanti na cha klešād vipattayah | 25. Kvachit kvachit punah sā bhūt kshtir bhāgyena sarvašah | kūlena gachhatā nūšam upayānti yathā prajāh | 26. Tathā tāh kramsiah nūšam jagmuh sarvatra siddhayah | tāsu sarvāsu mashāsu nabhasah prachyutā narāh (latāḥ in one MS.) | prāyaśaḥ kalpuvikshās te sambhūtā griha-samsthītāh |

¹⁸⁴ Instead of babhūvāyuh, etc., the Gaikowst MS. has prayuktāns na cha kleio babhūva ha l

anyā yuge taemime tretāyām antare kritā | sargādau yā mayā 'ehţau tu mānasyo vai prakīrttītāh | 74. Ashtau tāh krama-yogena siddhayo yanti eankshayam | kalpūdau mānasī hy elā siddhir bhavati sā krite | 75. Manvantareshu sarveshu chatur-yuga-vıbhāgasah | ıarnāsramāchāra-kṛitaḥ karma-sıddhodbhavah (karma-sıddhyudbhavah?) smritah | 76. Sandhyü kritasya padena sandhya padena chamsatah | krita-sandhyamsaka hy ete trīms trīn pādān parasparam | 77. Hrasanti yuga-dharmais te tapahśruta-balayusharh | tatah kritūmśc Lshine tu babhūra tad-anantaram | 78. Tretā-yugam amanyanta kritāmsam rishi-sattamāh | tasmin kshīne kritāmise tu tach-chhishtāsu prajāsviha | 79. Kalpādau sampravrittūyās tretāyāh pramulhe tadā | pranasyatı tadā siddhih kāla-yogena nānyathā | 80. Tasyām siddhau pranashtūyām anyā siddhir avarttata (apām saukshmys pratigate tadā meghātmanā tu vai \ 81. Meghebhyah stanayitnubhyah pravrittam vrishti-sarjianam | sakrid eva tayā vrishtyā samyukte prithivi-tale | 82. Pradurasams tada tasam irikshas tu girla-samsthitāh 155 | sarva-pratyupabhogas tu tāsām tebhyah prajāyate 4 83. Farttayantı hı tebhyas tüs tretü-yuga-mukhe prajüh | tatah külena mahatü tāsām eva viparyayāt | 84. Rūgalobhūtmako bhāvas tadā hy ūkasmiko 'bhavat | yat tad bhavatı narinam jiritante tad artavam | 85. Tada tad vai na bhavati punar yuga-balena tu | täsäm punah prairitte tu mäse mäse tad arttavam (-ve?) | 86. Tatas tenawa yogena varttatum marthune tada | tāsām tāt-kāla-bhāriti ād māsi māsy upayachhatām | 87 Akāls hy ārttavotpattır garbhotpattır ajäyala (ciparyyayena tüsüm tu tena külena bhüvinü! 88. Pranašyanti tatah sarie vrikshās te grihasamsthitāh | tatas teshu pranashteshu vibhranta vyakulendriyah | 89. Abhidhyayanti tam siddhim satyābhidhyāyinas tadā \ prādurbabhūius tāsām tu iņikshās te grīhasamsthitah | 90.156 Vastrani cha prasayante phaleshe abharanani cha | teshv era jäyate täsäm gandha-rarna-rasänvitam | 91. Amäkshikam mahaviryam putako putake madhu | tena ta varttayanti ema mukhe tretayugasya rat | 92. Hrishta-tushtas taya siddhya praja vai vigata-jvarah | punah kalantarenawa punar lobhavritas tu tah | 93. Vrikshams tan parvagrshnanta madhu chāmākshikam balāt | tāsām tenāpachārena punar lobha-kritena vai \ 94. Pranashta madhuna sardham kalpa-vrikshah kva-

Me Verses 27-35 of the Mark. P. correspond more or less to this and the following verses down to 98.

¹⁵⁴ This and the following verses correspond more or less closely to the Mark. P. 30 ff

chit krachit | tasyām ovālpa-śishţāyām sandhyā-kāla-vaśāt tadā | 95. varttatām tu tadā tāsām dvandvāny abhyutthitāni tu | šītavātātapais tīrais tatas tāh duhlhitā bhrisam | 96. Dvandvais tāh pīdyamānās tu chalrur āvaraṇāni cha | kritvā dvandia-pratīkāram niketāni hi bhejire | 97. Pūrvam nikāma-chārās te aniketāsi ayā bhrisam | yathā-yogyam yathu-priti niketeshv arasan punah | 98. Maru-dhanvasu nimneshu parrateshu darīshu cha 157 | saīnšrayantı cha durgānı dhanvānam šāšvatodakam | 99 Yathā-yogam yathā-kāmam sameshu vishameshu cha | ārabdhās te neletā var karttum sītoshņa-pāraņam | 100. Tatas tā māpayāmāsuh khetanı cha puranı cha | gramams charra yatha-bhagam tatharrantahpurani cha 1 . . . 123 158 Kriteshu teshu sthaneshu punas chakrur grihāni cha | vathā cha pūrvam āsan iai irikshās tu griha-samsthitāh | 124 Tathā karttum samārabdhās chintayiteā punah punah | iridahās cha:≥a gatāh śākhā natāš charrāparā gatāh | 125. Ata ūrdhvam gatās chānuā enam tīrvaggatāh parāh | buddhyā 'nvīshya tathā 'nyā vā vrīksha-śākhā yathā gatāh | 126 Tuthā kritās tu taih śākhās tāsmāch chhālās tu tāh smrītāh | evam prasiddhāh śākhābhyah śālāś chaiva grihanı cha | 127 Tasmat ta var smritah salah salatvam chana tāsu tat | prasīdati manas tāsu manah prāsādayams cha tāh | 128. Tasmād grihāmi śālāś cha prāsādāś chaira saninitāh (kritvā diandiopaghātāms tān tārttopāyam achintayan | 129.160 Nashteshu madhunā sārddham kalpa-iriksheshu vai tadā | vishāda-vyākulās tā vai prajās trishnā-kshudhāni ilāh | 130. Talah prādurbabhuu tāsāñ siddhis treta-yuge punah | iarttartha-sadhika hy anya vrishtis tasam hi kāmatah | 131. Tāsām vrishty-udakāniha yani nunnair gatāni tu | rıshtya nımna(3) nıjabhavan srotah-khatanı nımnugah | 132. Evam nadyah pravrittūs tu dvitīye vrishti-sarjans | ye purastād apām stokā āpannāh prithivītale | 133. Apām bhāmes cha samyogād oshadhyas tāsu chābharan | pushpa-mūlaphalinyas tv oshadhyas tāh prajajnire | 134. Aphāla-krishtās chānuptā grāmyāranyas chaturdasa | ritu-pushpa-phalāśchawa vrīkshāḥ gulmāś cha jajnīre | 135. Prādurbhavaš cha tretāyām ādyo'yam aushadhasya tu | tenaushadhena varttante praias tretāvuoc tadā | 136. Tatah punar abhūt tūsūm rūgo lobhas cha sarvašah | avasyam-

¹⁵⁷ I have corrected this line from Mark, P. xlıx. 35.

¹⁵⁶ Verses 52-54 of the Märk. P. correspond in substance to verses 123-128 of the Väyu P.

¹⁵⁰ Verses 55-62 of the Mark. P. correspond to verses 129-137 of the Vayu P.

bhāvinā 'rthena tretā-yuga-vašena tu | 137. Tatas tāh paryagrihnanta nadīh kshetrāni parvatān | vrikshān gulmaushadhīs chawa prasahya tu yathā-balam | 138. Siddhatmanas tu ye pariam vyakhyatah prak krite mayā | Brahmano mānasās te var utpannā ye janād iha | 139. Santās cha sushminas charra karmino duhkhinas tadā ! tatah pravarttamānās te tretāyām jamire punah | 140. Brahmanah kshattriyā vaisyāh sūdrā drohuanās tathā | bhāvitāh pārva-jātīshu karmabhis cha subhāsubhai! | 141. Itas tebhyo 'bala ye tu satyasila hy ahimsakah | vita-lobha jetatmāno nivasanti sma teshu vai | 142. Pratigrihnanti kurvanti tebhyaś chanyo'lpa-tejasah | evam vipratipanneshu prapanneshu parasparam | 143. Tena doshena tesham ta oshadhyo mishatam tada 100 | pranashta hrayamānā vai nushtibhyām silatā yathā | 144 101 Agrasad bhūr yuga-balūd grāmyāranyās chaturdasa | phalam grihnanti pushpaischa phalaih patraih punah punah | 145.102 Tatan tānı pranashtasu vibhrantas tah prajas tadā | Svayambhuvam prabhum jagmuh kshudhāvishtāh prajāpatim | 146. rıtty-artham abhılıpsantah üdau tretü-yugasya tu | Brahmü Svayambhür bhagarān inātvā tāsām manīshitam | 147. Yuktam pratyaksha-drishtena darsanena vichāryya cha I grastāh prithii yā oshadhyo mātvā pratyaduhat punah | 148, Kritvā i atsam sumerum tu dudoha prithivim imām | dugdheyanı gaus tada tena vijanı prethevi-tale | 149. Jamire tani vijanı gramyaranyās tu tāh punah | oshadhyah phala-pākāntāh saṇa-saptadaśās tu tāh | 155. Utpannāḥ prathamam hy etā ādau tretā-yugasya tu | 156. Aphāla-krishtā oshadhyo grāmyāranyās tu sarvašah | vrikshā gulmalatā-vallyo vīrudhas trina-jātayah | 157. Mūlaih phalais cha rohinyo 'grihnan pushpais cha yah phalam | prithvī dugdhā tu vījani yani parvam Si ayambhurā | 158. Ritu-pushpa-phalas tā vai oshadhyo jajnire tv tha | 105 yadā prasrishtā oshadyo na prarohanti tāh punah | 159. Tatah sa tāsām vritty-artham vārttopāyam chakāra ha | Brahmā Svayambhūr bhagavan hasta-suddham tu karma-jam | 160. Tatah-prabhrity athaushadhyah krishta-pachyas ta jajnire | samsiddhayam tu varttayam tatas tāsām Svayambhurah | 161. Maryādāh sthāpayāmāsa yathārabdhāh parasparam | 104 ye car parigrihītāras tāsām āsan badhātmahāh | 162. Itareshām krita-trāņān sthāpayāmāsa kehattriyān | upatishthanti ye tān

¹⁶⁰ Märk. P. verse 63a. 101 Märk. P. verse 68b.

¹⁶² Verses 64-67 of the Mark. P. correspond to verses 145-149 of the Vayu P.

¹⁸³ Verses 73-75 of the Mark P. correspond to verses 1586-160a of the Vayu P.

This with all what follows down to verse 171 is o that a e Mar. P.

vai yavanto nerbhayas tatha | 168. Satyam brahma yatha bhatam bruvanto brahmanas tu te | ys chanye'py abalas tesham raisasam karma samsthitāh | 164. Kīnāšā nāšayanti sma prithiryām prāg atandritāh | vaisyan eva tu tan ahuh kinasan rritti-sadhakan | 165. Sochantas cha dravantas cha paricharyyani ye ratah | nistejaso 'lpa-i îryyas cha sudran tăn abravīt tu saḥ | 166. Teshām karmānı dharmāms cha Brahmā'nuvyadadhāt prabhuh | samsthītau prakrītāyām tu chāturvarnyasya sarvasah | 167. Punah prajās tu tā mohāt tān dharman nanvapālayan | varna-dharmair aj ivantyo vyarudhyanta parasparam | 168. Brahma tam artham buddhia tu yathatathyena var prabhuh | kshattriyanam balam dandam yuddham ajiram adisat | 169. Yajanadhyayanam chawa tritiyan cha parıqraham | brühmanananın rıbhus tesham karmany etany athadisat | 170. Pāsupālyam vănijyam cha kriehim chaica visam dadau | śilpājīvam bhritim chana śūdrūnām vyadadhāt prabhuh | 171. Sāmānyāni tu karmānı brahma-kshattra-ı isam punah \ yajanadhyayanam danam sāmānyāni tu teshu var | 172. Karmājīram tato datrā tebhyas charva parasparam | lokantareshu sthanani tesham siddhyay 104 adat prabhuh | 173.106 Prajapatyam brahmananam smritam sthanam kriyai atam | sthanam aındram kshattrıyanam sangrameshr apalayınam | 174. Vaisyanam mārutam sthānam sva-dharmam upajīvinām | gāndharvam śūdra-jātīnām praticharena (paricharena?) tishthatam | 175 Sthanany etani rarnanam vyasyāchāraratām svayam | tatah sthiteshu varņeshu sthāpayāmāsa chāśramān | 176. Grihastham biahmachāritiam vanaprastham sabhilshukam | āśramāms chaturo hy etan pariam asthapayat prabhuh | 177. Farna-karmāni ye kechit teshām iha na kuriate | krita-karmakshitih(2) prāhur āśrama-sthāna-vāsinah | 178. Brahmā tān sthāpāyāmāsa ūši amūn nāma nāmatah | nerdisartham tatas tesham Brahma dharman prabhashata | 179. Prasthānāni cha teshām vai yamāmscha niyamāms cha ha | chaturvarnyātmakah pūrvam grihasthas tv ūśramah smritah | 180. Trūyūnām ūśramāṇām cha pratishthā yonir era cha \ yathākramam pravakshyāmi yamais cha niyamais cha taih | 190 Vedāh sāngās cha yajnās oka vratāni niyamās cha ys | 191. Na siddhyanti prādushtasya bhāvadoshe upāgate | bahıh-karmanı sarı anı prasıddhyanti (na sıddhyantı?) kadachana |

¹⁶⁵ I conjecture siddhyāy adāt to be the proper reading. The MSS. have siddhyā-dadāt, or siddhyādadāt, etc.

¹⁶⁶ Verses 173 f. are found in the Mürk. P. verses 77 f., but all that follows down

192. Antar-bhāva-pradushtasya kurvato'hi parūkramāt | sarvasvam api yo dadyat lalushenantaratmana | 193. Na tona dharma-bhak sa syad bhava era hi karanam | 199. Evam varnasramanam vai pratibhage krite tadā | 200. Yadā 'sya na vyavardhanta prajā varnūśramatmikāh | tato 'nyā mānasīh so 'tha tretā-madhye 'srijat prajāh | 201. Ātmanas tāh sarīrāchcha tulyās chariātmanā tu var | tasmin tretā-yuge prapte madhyam prapte kramena tu | 202. Tato 'nya manasis tatra prajüh srashtum prachakrame | tatah satva-rajodriktüh prajüh so'thüsrijat prabhuh | 203. Dhai martha-kamn-mokshanam vai ttayas chaiva sadhikāh | devās cha pitaras chaira rishayo manaras tathā | 204. Yugānuropā dharmena yair imā vichitāh prajāh | upasthite tadā tasmin prajādharme (-sarge?) Svayambhurah | 205 Abhidadhyau prajūh sarvū nānūrūpās tu mānasīh | pārvoktā yā mayā tubhyam jana-lokam samāsrītāh | 206. Kalpe'tite tu tā hy āsan devādyās tu prajā iha | dhyāyatas tasya fāh sarvāh sambhūty artham upasthītāh | 207. Manvantara-krameneha kanishthe prathame matāh | khyūtyā 'nubandhais tais tais tu 'sarvārthair tha bhavitah | 208 Kusalakusala-prayath karmabhis tath sada prajah | tat-karma-phala-seshena upashtabdhah projajnire | 209 Devasura-pitritrais tu pasu-pakshi-sarisripaih | vrik-hu-naraka-kitatrais tais tair bhavair upasthitūh į ūdhīnūi thum prajūnūm cha ūtmanū vai i inirmame |

"22. At the beginning of the Kalpa, in the first Krita age, he created those living beings (23) which I have formerly described to thce; but in the olden time, at the close of the Kalpa, those creatures were burnt up by fire 24 Those of them who did not reach tho Tapoloka took refuge in the Janaloka; and when the creation again commences, they form its seed 25. Existing there as a seed for the sake of another creation, they then, as they are created, are produced with a view to progeny. 26. These are declared to accomplish, in the present state (the four ends of human life, viz), duty, the acquisition of wealth, the gratification of love, and the attainment of final liberation, - both gods, Fathers, Rishis, and Manus. 27. They, then, filled with austere fervour, replenish (all) places. These are the mental sons of Brahma, perfect in their nature Those who ascended to the sky by works characterized by devotion to external objects, but not by hatred, return to this world and are born in every age. 29. As the result of their works, and of their destination, (returning) from the Janaloka, they are born of the same character (as

before), in consequence of the (provious) deeds by which they are bound. 167 30. It is to be understood that the cause of this is their tendency (or fate), which itself is the result of works. In consequence of these works, good or bad, they return from Janaloka and are born. (31) and receive various bodies in (different) wombs. They are produced again and again in all states, from that of gods to that of motionless substances. 32. These ereatures, as they are born time after time, receive the same functious as they had obtained in each previous creation. 33. Destructiveness and undestructiveness, mildness and cruelty, rightcousness and unrighteousness, truth and falsehood-actuated by such dispositions as these, they obtain (their several conditions); and hence particular actions are agreeable to particular creatures. 34 And in succeeding periods they for the most part obtain the forms and the names which they had in the past Kalpas, 35. Hence they obtain the same names and forms. In the different Kalpas they are born with the same name and form. 36. Afterwards, when the creation had been suspended, as Brahmā was desirous to create, (37) and, fixed in his design, was meditating upon offspring,he created from his mouth a thousand couples of living beings, (38) who were born with an abundance of goodness (sattra) and full of intelligence.108 He then created another thousand couples from his breast: (39) they all abounded in passion (rajas) and were both vigorous and destitute of vigour 109 After creating from his thighs another thousand pairs, (40) in whom both passion and darkness (tamas) prevailed, and who are described as active, -he formed from his feet yet another thousand couples (41) who were all full of darkness, inglorious, and of little vigour. Then the creatures sprung from the couples (or thus produced in couples) rejoicing, (42) and filled with mutual love, began to cohabit. From that period sexual intercourse is said to have ansen in this Kalpa. 43. But at that time women had no monthly discharge: and they consequently bore no children, although cohabit-

¹⁸⁷ Karma-samiaya-bandhanāt. I am unable to state the sense of samiaya in this compound.

¹⁸⁸ Suchetasah. The reading of the Mark. P. suterasah, "full of vigour," is recommended, as an epithet of the Brahmans, by its being in opposition to alpa-terasah, "of hittle vigour," which is applied to the Südras a few lines below

¹⁶⁹ The reading of the Mürk. P amarshmah, "trascible," gives a better sense than asisshminah, "devoid of virour," which the Vayu P. has.

ation was practised. 44. At the end of their lives they once bore twins. Weak-minded boys and girls were produced when (their parents) were on the point of death. 45. From that period commenced, in this Kalpa, the birth of twins; and such offspring was once only born to these creatures by a mental effort, in meditation (46), -- (offspring which was) receptive (?) of sound and the other objects of sense, pure, and in every case distinguished by five marks. Such was formerly the early mental creation of Prajapati. 47. Those creatures by whom the world was replemshed, born as the descendants of this stock, frequented rivers, lakes, seas, and mountains. 48. In that age (yuga) they lived unaffected by excessive cold or heat, and appropriated the food which was produced from the essences of the earth. 49. They acted according to their pleasure, existing in a state of mental perfection. They were characterized neither by righteousness nor unrighteousness; were marked by no distinctions. 50. In that Krita yuga, in the beginning of the Kalpa, their age, happiness, and form were alike: they were neither righteous nor unughteous 51 In the Krita age they were produced each with authority over himself Four thousand years, according to the calculation of the gods, (52) and four hundred years for each of the morning and evening twilights, are said to form the first, or Krita, age. 170 Then, although these creatures were multiplied by thousands, (53) they suffered no impediment, no susceptibility to the pairs of opposites (pleasure and pain, cold and heat, etc.) and no fatigue. They frequented mountains and seas, and did not dwell in houses never sorrowed, were full of goodness (sattra), and supremely happy: acted from no impulse of desire,171 and lived in continual delight. There were at that time no beasts, birds, reptiles, or plants, 172 (for these things are produced by unrighteousness), 173 (56) no roots, fruits,

¹¹⁰ The first of the verses, which will be quoted below, in a note on verse 63, from the Märk 1', seems to be more in place than the description of the Krita age given here, of which the substance is repeated in verses 68 and 69.

³⁷¹ Perhaps we should read here mkāma-chārīŋyo instead of mikkāma- if 80, the sense will be, "they moved about at will."

¹⁷³ The text adds here narakah or narakah, which may mean "bellish creatures"

¹⁷³ This, although agreeing with what is said further on in verses 82, 133, and 155, does not seem in consonance with what is stated in the Vishnu Purāns, verse 45, where it is declared oshadhyah phala-mūlinyo romabhyas tasya jajnine | tretū-yuga-mukhe Brahmā kalpasyidau devottasia | sruhfvā pase-oshadhih samyag yuyoje sa tadā 'dheare | "Plants bearing roots and fruits sprang from his hairs. At the com-

flowers, productions of the seasons, nor seasons. The time brought with it every object of desire and every enjoyment. There was no excess of heat or cold. 57. The things which these people desired sprang up from the earth everywhere and always, when thought of, and had a powerful relish. 58. That perfection of theirs both produced strength and beauty, and annihilated disease. With bodies, which needed no decoration, they enjoyed perpetual youth. 59. From their pure will alone twin children were produced. Their form was the same. They were born and died together. 60 Then truth, contentment. patience, satisfaction, happiness, and self-command provailed. They were all without distinction in respect of form, term of life, disposition and actions. 61. The means of subsistence were produced spontaneously without forethought on their parts. In the Krita age they engaged in no works which were either virtuous or sinful. 62. And there were then no distinctions of castes or orders, and no mixture of castes acted towards each other without any feeling of love or hatred. 63. In the Krita age they were born alike in form and duration of life, without any distinction of lower and higher, 176 with abundant happiness, free from grief, (64) with hearts continually exulting, great in dignity

mencement of the Treta age Brahma-having at the beginning of the Kalpa created animals and plants - employed them in sacrifice" Although the order of the words renders the sense in some degree uncertain, it appears to be that which Prof. " Pson assigns in his translation (1 84), "Brahma, having created, in the commencement of the Kalpa, various [animals and] plints, employed them in sacrifices in the beginning of the Treta age" This interpretation is supported by the Commentator, who remarks Tad eram kalpasyador era pasun oshadhis cha srushtva nantaram treta-yuqa-mukhe prapte sati samyag gramyaranya-ryasthaya tada 'dhvare sanataya (samyaktaya?) yuyoja krita-yuge yomasyoprairitteh | "Having then thus at the very beginning of the Kalpa created animals and plants, he afterwards, when the commencement of the Treta age arrived, employed them properly, according to the distinction of doinestic and wild, in sacrifice, -since sacrifice did not prevail in the Krita age." This agrees with the course of the preceding parrative which makes no allusion to plants and animals having been produced in a different Yuga from the other beings whose creation had been previously described. (See Wilson 1, 82-84.) The parallel passage in the Vavu P. x. 44-46, is confused.

174 The Mark. P xhx. 24 meets here the following lines. "They lived for four thousand years of mortals, as the measure of their existence, and suffered no calamities from distress. 25. In some places the earth again enjoyed prosperity in every respect. As through lapse of time the creatures were destroyed, so too those perfections everywhere gradually perished. 26. When they had all hear destroyed, creeping-plants fell from the sky, which had nearly the character of Kalpa-trees (1 c. trees which yield all that is desired), and resembled houses."

and in force. There existed among them no such things as gain or loss, friendship or enmity, liking or dislike. 65. It was through the mind (alone, i.e. without passion?) that these disinterested beings acted towards each other. They neither desired anything from one another; nor shewed any kindness to each other. 176 Contemplation is declared to be supreme in the Krita age, knowledge in the Treta; sacrifice began in the Dvapara; liberality is the highest merit in the Kali. 67. The Krita age is goodness (sattva), the Treta is passion (rajas), the Dvapara is passion and darkness (tamas), in the Kali it is to be understood that darkness (prevails), according to the necessary course of these ages. 68. The following is the time in the Krita age: understand its amount, Four thousand years constitute the Krita; (69) and its twilights endure for eight hundred divine years. Then their life was (so long?)176 and no distresses or calamities befel them. 70. Afterwards, when the twilight in the Krita was gone, the righteousness peculiar to that age was in all respects reduced to a quarter (of its original sum). 71. When further the twilight had passed, at the close of the Yuga, and the righteousness peculiar to the twilight had been reduced to a quarter, (72) and when the Krita had thus come altogether to an end, - then perfection vanished. When this mental perfection had been destroyed, there arose (73) another perfection formed in the period of the Treta age. The eight mental perfections, which I declared (to have existed) at the creation, (74) were gradually extinguished. At the beginning of the Kalpa mental perfection alone (existed), viz., that which existed in the Krita age. 75. In all the Manvantaras there is declared to arise a perfection proceeding from works, produced by the discharge of the duties belonging to eastes and orders, according to the fourfold division of Yugas. 76 The (morning) twilight (deteriorates) by a quarter of the (entire) Krita,—and the evening twilight by (another) quarter;-(thus) the Krita, the morning twilight, and the evening

¹⁷⁵ This representation of the condition of mankind during the Krita age, the period of ideal goodness, was no doubt sketched in conformity with the opinions which prevailed at the period when the Purana was compiled, when dispassion was regarded as the highest state of perfection.

¹⁷⁶ It would soom as if the writer here meant to state that the period of life was that which in the verso of the Mark. P. (xlix 24), quoted in the note on verse 63, it is declared to have been. But the expression here is, from some cause or or error and the content of the co

twilight (together) deteriorate successively to the extent of three quarters, in the duties peculiar to the Yuga, and in austere fervour, sacred knowledge, strength, and length of life.177 Then after the evening of the Krita had died out, (78) the Treta age succeeded,— (which) the most excellent rishis regarded as the evening of the Krita. But when the evening of the Krita had died out, (79) from the influence of time, and for no other reason, perfection disappeared from among the creatures who survived at the commencement of the Treta age which ensued at the beginning of the Kalpa. 80. When that perfection had perished, another perfection arose. The subtile form of water having returned in the form of cloud (to the sky), 178 (81) rain began to be discharged from the thundering clouds. The earth having once received that rain, (82) trees resembling houses 179 were provided for these creatures. From them all means of enjoyment were produced. 83. Men derived their subsistence from them at the beginning of the Treta. Subsequently, after a great length of time, owing to their ill fortune, (84) the passions of desire and eovetousness arose in their hearts uncaused. The monthly discharge, which occurred at the end of women's lives, (85) did not then take place: but as it commenced again, owing to the force of the age (yuga), (86) and as the couples, in consequence of it, began to cohabit, and approached each other monthly, from necessity occasioned by the time, -(87) an unseasonable 170 a production of the monthly discharge, and of pregnancy ensued. Then through their misfortune, and owing to that fated time, (88) all those house-like trees perished. When these had been destroyed, men disturbed and agitated, (89) but genuine in their desire, longed after that perfection (which they had lost). Then those houselike trees appeared to them; (90) and among their fruits yielded clothes and jewels. On these trees too, in the hollow of every leaf. there was produced, (91) without the aid of bees, honey of great potency, having scent, colour, and flavour. By this means they subsisted at the beginning of the Treta, (92) delighted with this per-

¹⁷⁷ Such is the only sense I can extract from these rather obscure lines.

¹⁷⁸ Such is the only sense of the words here rendered which occurs to me.

¹⁷⁰ Griba-samethiah. Professor Wilson, in his Dictionary, gives "like, resembling," among the meanings of samethia.

¹⁷⁹a Instead of ákūle, "out of season," Professor Aufrecht suggests ākūle, "in season," as the proper reading.

fection and free from trouble. Again, through the lapse of time, becoming greedy, (93) they seized by force those trees, and that honey produced without bees. And then, owing to that misconduct of theirs, occasioned by cupidity, (94) the Kalpa trees, together with their honey, were in some places destroyed. As but little of it 180 remained, owing to the effects of the period of twilight, (95) the pairs (of opposites, as pleasure and pain, etc.) arose in men when existing (in this state); and they became greatly distressed by sharp cold winds, and heats. 96. Being thus afflicted by these opposites, they adopted means of shelter: and to counteract the opposites they resorted to houses. Formerly they had moved about at their will, and had not dwelt at all in houses: but subsequently they abode in dwellings, as they found suitable and pleasant, (98) in barren deserts, in valleys, on mountains, in caves; and took refuge in fortresses,-(in a) desert with perpetual water.181 99. As a protection against cold and heat they began to construct houses on even and uneven places, according to opportunity and at their pleasure. 100. They then measured out towns, cities, villages, and private apartments, according to the distribution of each." [The following verses 101-107 give an account of the different measures of length and breadth, which is followed, in verses 108-122, by a description of the various kinds of fortresses, towns, and villages, their shapes and sizes, and of roads. The author then proceeds in verse 123:7 "These places having been made, they next constructed houses; and as formerly trees existed, formed like houses, 189 (124) so did they (now) begin to erect them, after repeated consideration. (Some) boughs are spread out, others are bent down, (125) others rise upwards, while others again stretch horizontally. After examining thus by reflection how the different boughs of trees branch out, (126) they constructed in like manner the apartments (sākhāh) (of their houses): hence they

^{180 &}quot;Perfection" seems to be here intended. If so, it would seem as if this line had been separated from its proper context.

¹⁸¹ Dhanvānam sāśvatodakam. Perhaps we should read here with the Mārk. P. xlix. 35, vārkshyam pārvatam audakam "(fortresses) protected by trees, built on mountains, or surrounded by water."

¹⁸² Whatever may be thought of this rendering of the phrase, erikshāh grihasamsthiāh, the Mürk. P. (xlix. 62), at least, is quite clear grihākārā yathā pūrvam tahām āsan mahīrihāh | tathā samsmritya tat sarvam chakrur vešmāni tāh prajāh | "As they had formerly had trees with the shape of houses, so recalling all that to mind, these people built their dwellings."

are called rooms (\$\dalah).185 In this way rooms and houses derive their appellation from branches. 127. Hence rooms are called śālā, and in that their character as rooms (śālātvam) eonsists. And inasmuch as the mind takes pleasure in them, and as they have gladdened (prāsādayan), the mind, (128) houses, rooms, and palaces are termed respectively griha, śālā, and prāsāda. Having adopted these means of defence against the 'opposites,' they devised methods of subsistence. 129. The kalpa-trees having been destroyed along with their honey, those creatures, afflicted with thirst and hunger, became disquieted by dejection. 130. Then again another perfection arose for them in the Treta age, -which fulfilled the purposo of subsistence, -viz., rain at their pleasure. 131. The rain-water, which flowed into the hollows, burst out in the form of springs, water-courses, and rivers, 184 through the rain. 132. Thus at the second fall of rain rivers began to flow. When the drops of water first reached the ground, then (133) from the eonjulaction of the waters and the earth plants sprang up among them, which bore both flowers, roots, and fruits. 134. Fourteen kinds of plants, cultivated and wild, were produced without ploughing or sowing, as well as trees and shrubs which bore flowers and fruit at tho proper season. 135. This was the first appearance of plants in the Treta age, and by them men subsisted at that period. 136. Then there again arose among them, universally, desire and cupidity, through a neeessary process, and as a result of the Treta age. 137. They then appropriated to themselves, by force and violence, rivers, fields, hills, trees, shrubs, and plants. 138. Those perfect beings, who were described by me as existing formerly in the Krita, - the mind-born ehildren of Brahma, who had been produced in this world whon they came from the Janaloka,-(139) who were (some) tranquil, (some) fiery, (some) active, and (others) distressed,—were again born in the Treta, (140) as Brāhmans, Kshattriyas, Vaisyas, Sūdras, and injurious men. governed by the good and bad actions (performed) in former births. 141. Then those who were weaker than they, being truthful and innocent, dwelt among them, free from eupidity, and self-restrained; (142) whilst

 $^{^{183}}$ The reasoning here does not seem very cogont, as the two words $\delta \bar{a}kh\bar{a}$ and $\delta \bar{a}k\bar{a}$ do not appear to have any close connection. But such unsuccessful attempts at etymology are frequent in Sanskrit works.

¹⁸⁴ The text here does not seem to be in a satisfactory state. The Calc. edition of the Mark. P. reads vrishtyavaruddhair abhavat, etc.

others, less glorious than they, took and did. When they had thus become opposed to each other,—(143) through their misconduct, while they struggled together, the plants were destroyed, being scized with their fists like gravel. 144. Then the earth swallowed up the fourteen kinds of cultivated and wild plants, in consequence of the influence exerted by the Yuga: for men had seized again and again the fruit, together with the flowers and leaves. 145. After the plants had perished, the famished people, becoming bewildered, repaired to Svayambhū the lord of creatures, (146) in the beginning of the Tretā age, seeking the means of subsistence. Learning what they desired, (147) and determining by intuition what was proper to be done, the Lord Brahmā Svayambhū, knowing that the plants had been swallowed up by the earth, milked them back. 148. Taking Sumeru as a calf, he milked this earth. When this earth (or cow) was milked by him, roots were

185 It is difficult to extract any satisfactory sense out of this line.

¹⁸⁶ The S'. P. Br. ii. 4, 2, 1, also speaks of different classes of creatures approving to the creator for food Prajapatiin vas bhūtany upasīdan | prajāh vas bhūtans | "vs no dhehi yatha jivama" iti | tato deva yajnopavitino bhutva dakshinam janv achya upasīdan | tān abravīd "yojno vo 'nnam amritatvam va ūrg vah sūryo vo jyotir" iti | 2. Atha enam petarah prachinavitenah savyam junv achya upusidan | tan abravid "māsi māsi vo 'sanām svadhā vo manojavo vas chandi amā vo jyotir" iti | 3. Atha enam manushyah pravritah upastham kritva upasidan | tan abravit "sayam pratar vo'sanam prajah vo mrityur vo'gnir vo jyotir" sti | 4. Atha enam pasavah upasadan | tebhyah svarshanı eva chakara "yada eva yüyam kadacha labhadhvar yadı kale yady anākāle atha eva ainātha" iti | tasmād ete yadā kadūcha labhante yadi kāle yady anakale atha eva asnanti | 5. Atha ha enam sas'vad any asurah unasedur itu ahuh | tebhyas tamas cha māyām cha pradadāu | asty aha evu asura-māyā iti iva | parābhūtā ha to eva tah prajah (tah imah prajas tathawa upajivanti yathawa abhyah Prajapater adadāt | "All beings resorted to Prajāpati, -(creatures are beings), -(saying) 'provide for us that we may live.' Then the gods, wearing the sacrificial cord, and hending the right kace, approached him. To them he said, 'let sacrifice be your food. your immortality your strength, the sun your light.' 2. Thon the Fathers, wearing the sacrificial cord on their right shoulders, and bending the left knee, approached him. To them he said, 'you shall eat monthly, your oblation (svadha) shall be your rapidity of thought, the moon your light.' 3. Then men, clothed, and inclining their hodies, approached him To them he said, 'ye shall cat morning and evening, your offspring shall be your death, Agm your light.' 4. Then cattle repaired to him. To them he accorded their desire, (saying), 'Whensoever ye find anything, whether at the proper season or not, eat it.' Hence whenever they find anything, whether at the proper season or not, they eat it. 5 Then they say that the Asuras again and again resorted to him. To them he gave darkness (tamas) and illusion. There is, indeed, such a thing as the illusion, as it were, of the Asuras. But those creatures succumbed. These creatures subsist in the very manner which Prajapati allotted to them." 187 G ' -- - bo**

produced again in the ground,—(149) those plants, whereof hemp is the seventeenth, which end with the ripening of fruits." [The plants fit for domestic use, and for sacrifice are then enumerated in verses 150-155.] "155. All these plants, domestic and wild, were for the first timo 188 produced at the beginning of the Treta age, (156) without cultivation, trees, shrubs, and the various sorts of creepers and grasses, both those which produce roots as their fruits, and those which bear fruit after flowering. The seeds for which the earth was formerly milked by Svayambhū (158) now became plants bearing flowers and fruits in their season. When these plants, though created, did not afterwards grow, (159) the divine Brahmā Svayambhū devised for the people means of subsistence depending on labour effected by their hands. 160. From that time forward the plants were produced and ripened through cultivation. The means of subsistence having been provided, Svayambhū (161) established divisions among them according to their tendencies. 180 Those of them who were rapacious, and destructive. (162) he ordained to be Kshattrivas, protectors of the others. 100 As many mon as attended on these, fearless, (163) speaking truth and propounding sacred knowledge (brahma) with exactness, (were made) Brāhmans. Those others of them who had previously been feeble, engaged in the work of slaughter, 191 who, as oultivators (Līnāśāk), had been destructive, and were active in connection with the ground, were called Vaisyas, husbandmen (kīnāśān), providers of subsistence. 165. And he designated as Sudras those who grieved (sochantah), and ran (dravantah), 192 who were addicted to menial tasks, inglorious and feeble.

¹⁶⁶ Sec the note on verse 55, above.

¹⁸⁹ Yathārarabhāḥ. The Mark. P. has yathā-nyāyam yathā-gunam, "according to fitness and their qualities."

¹⁹⁰ Itaroshām krita-trāṇān. The M. Bh xii. 2247, thus explains the word Kshattriya brāhmaṇānām kshata-trāṇāt tatah kshattriya uchyate | "(a king) is called Kshattriya because he protects Brāhmans from injuries."

¹⁹¹ Vaisasam karma. The former word has the senses of (1) "hindrance, impediment," and (2) "slaughter," assigned to it in Wilson's Dictionary.

The reader who is familiar with the ctymologies given in Yāska's Nirukta, or in Professor Wilson's Dictionary on Indian authority, will not be surprised at the absurdity of the attempts made here by the Purāṇa-writer to explain the origin of the words Kshattriya, Vassya and S'ādra. To account for the last of these names he combines the roots such, "to grieve," and dru, "to run," dropping, however, of necessity the last letter (ch) of the former. The word kshattriya is really derived from kshattra, "reyal power;" and vaisys comes from vis, "people," and means "a man of the people."

166. Brahmā determined the respective functions and duties of all these persons. But after the system of the four castes had been in all respects established, (167) those men from infatuation did not fulfil their several duties. Not living conformably to those class-duties, they came into mutual conflict. 168. Having become aware of this fact, precisely as it stood, the Lord Brahma prescribed force, criminal justice, and war, as the profession of the Kshattriyas. 169. He then appointed these, viz., the duty of officiating at sacrifices, sacred study, and the receipt of presents, to be the functions of Brahmans. 170. The care of cattle, traffic, and agriculture, he allotted as the work of the Vaisyas; and the practice of the mechanical arts, and service, ho assigned as that of the Südras. 171. The duties common to Brahmans, Kshattriyas, and Vaisyas were the offering of sacrifice, study, and liberality. 172. Having distributed to the classes their respective functions and occupations, the Lord then allotted to them abodes in other worlds for their perfection. 173. The world of Prajapati is declared to be the (destined) abode of Brāhmans practising rites; Indra's world that of Kshattriyas who do not fice in battle; (174) the world of the Maruts that of Vaisyas who fulfil their proper duty; the world of the Gandharvas that of men of Südra birth who abide in the work of service. Having allotted these as the future abodes of (the men of the different) classes, who should be correct in their conduct, he ordained orders (asramas) in the classes which had been established. 176. The Lord formerly instituted the four orders of householder, religious student, dweller in the woods, and mendicant. 177. To those of them who do not in this world perform the duties of their castes, the men who dwell in hermitages apply the appellation of 'destroyer of works.' 178. Brahmā established these orders by name, and in explanation of them he declared their duties, (179) their methods of procedure, and their various rites. First of all there is the order of householder, which belongs to all the four classes, (180) and is the foundation and source of the other three orders. I shall declare them in order with their several obserservances." [The following verses 181-189, which detail these duties, need not be cited here. I shall, however, quote verses 190 ff. for their excellent moral tone.] "190. The Vedas, with their appendages, sacrifices, fasts, and ceremonies, (191) avail not to a depraved man, when his disposition has become corrupted. All external rites are

fruitless (192) to one who is inwardly debased, however energetically he may perform them. A man who bestows even the whole of his substance with a defiled heart will thereby acquire no merit-of which a good disposition is the only cause." [After giving some further particulars about the celestial abodes of the righteous, verses 194-198, the writer proceeds:] "199. When-after the division into oastes and orders had thus been made—(200) the people living under that system did not multiply. Brahmā formed other mind-born creatures in the middle of the Treta (201) from his own body and resembling himself. When the Trcta age had arrived, and had gradually reached its middle, (202) the Lord then began to form other mind-born creatures. He next formed creatures in whom goodness (sattva) and passion (rajas) predominated, (203) and who were capable of attaining (the four objects of human pursuit) righteousness, wealth, love, and final liberation, together with the means of subsistence. Gods, too, and Fathers, and Rishis, and Manus (were formed), (204) by whom these creatures were classified (2) according to their natures in conformity with the Yuga. When this character(?) of his offspring had been attained, Brahmā (205) longed after mental offspring of all kinds and of various forms. Those creatures, whom I described to you as having taken refuge in Janaloka. (206) at the end of the Kalpa, all these arrived here, when he thought upon them, in order to be reproduced in the form of gods and other beings. 207. According to the course of the Manvantaras the least were esteemed the first (?), being swayed by destmy, and by connections and circumstances of every description. 208. These creatures were always born, under the controlling influence of, and as a rocompence for their good or bad deeds. 209. He by himself formed those creatures which arrived in their several characters of gods, asuras, fathers, cattle, birds, roptiles, trees, and insects, in order that they might be subjected (anew) to the condition of creatures."198

The substance of the curious speculations on the origin and primeval condition of mankind contained in the preceding passage may be stated as follows: In verses 22-34 we are told that the creatures, who at the close of the preceding Kalpa had been driven by the mundane conflagration to Janaloka, now formed the seed of the new creation, which took place in the Krita Yuga, at the commencement of the present 103 I confess that I have had great difficulty in attaching any sense to the last words.

Kalpa. These were mind-born sons of Brahma, perfect in nature, and they peopled the world. As a rule, we are informed, those beings who have formerly been elevated from the earth to higher regions, return again and again to this world, and, as a result of their previous works, are born in every age, in every possible variety of condition, exhibiting the same dispositions and fulfilling the same functions as in their former states of existence. It is next stated, verses 35-40, that when creation had, in some way not explained, como to a stand-still, four classes of human beings, consisting each of a thousand pairs of males and females, oharacterized respectively by different qualities, physical and moral, were produced from different members of the Creator's body. 194 These creatures sought to propagate the race, but abortively, for the reason specified (43). Children however were produced by mental effort (45 and 59), and in considerable numbers (52). The state of physical happiness, absolute and universal equality, moral perfection, and complete dispassion, in which mankind then existed, is depicted (45-65). The means of subsistence and enjoyment, which they are said to have drawn from the earth (48 and 57), were not of the ordinary kind, as we are informed (55 f.) that neither animals nor plants, which are the products of unrighteousness, existed at that period. No division into castes or orders prevailed during that age of perfection (62). A gradual declension, however, had been going on, and at the end of the Krita Yuga, the perfection peculiar to it had altogether disappeared (70-79). Another kind of perfection, peculiar to the Treta, however, subsequently arose (73 and 80), and in the different Yugas there has existed a perfection springing from the performance of the duties belonging to each caste and order (75). The perfection described as prevailing in the Treta was of a physical kind, consisting in the production of rain and the growth of trees, shaped like houses, which at the same time yielded the materials of all sorts of enjoyments (80-82). Passion. however, in its various forms began to take the place of the previous dispassion (84). The constitution of women, which had formerly incapacitated them for effective impregnation, became ultimately so modified as to ensure the successful propagation of the species, which

¹⁹⁴ This statement agrees with that in the Mārk. P. xlix 3 ff. but differs from that already given from the Vishuu P. in so far as the latter does not specify the numbers created, or say anything about pags being formed.

accordingly proceeded (84-87).196 We have then the destruction, and subsequent reproduction of the trees, formed like houses, described (88-91). These trees now produced clothes and jewels, as well as honey without bees, and enabled mankind to live in happiness and enjoyment. Again, however, the trees disappeared in consequence of the cupidity which led to their misuse (92-94). The absence of perfection occasioned suffering of various kinds, from moral as well as physical causes, and men were now driven to construct houses, which they had hitherto found unnecessary (96-99 and 123), and to congregate in towns and cities (100). Their houses were built after the model furnished by trees (123-128). The hunger and thirst which men endured from the loss of the trees which had formerly yielded all the means of subsistence and enjoyment, were relieved by means of a new perfection which appeared in the shape of rain, and the streams thereby generated, and by the growth of plants, which now sprang up for the first time as a result of the conjunction of water and earth (130-135 and 155). Desire and cupidity, however, now again arose and led to acts of violent appropriation (136 f.). At this juncture the perfect mindborn sons of Brahma, of different dispositions, who had formerly existed in the Krita age, were reproduced in the Treta as Brahmans, Kshattriyas, Vaisyas, Sūdras, and destructivo men, as a result of their actions in their former existence (138-140). But in consequence of their disscusions and rapacity, the earth swallowed up all the existing plants (142-144). Under the pressure of the distress thus occasioned the inhabitants of the carth resorted to Brahma, who milked the earth. through the medium of mount Sumeru acting as a calf, and recovered the plants which had disappeared (145-149). As, however, these plants did not propagate themselves spontaneously, Brahmā introduced agriculture (158-160). Having thus provided the means of subsistence. he divided the people into classes according to their characteristics (160-165). But as these classes did not perform their several duties. and came into mutual conflict, Brahmā prescribed their respective functions with greater precision (166-171); and assigned the future celestial abodes which the members of each class might attain by their fulfilment (172-174). He then ordained the four orders of householder, religious

198 It is not quite clear, however, what is intended by the word akāle, "out of scason," in verse 87. See the emendation proposed above in the note on that verse.

student, etc. (175-190). After a few verses in praise of moral parity (190-193), the abodes and destinies of the eminently righteous are set forth (194-199). Just when we had arrived at a point in the narrative, from which we might have imagined that it had only to be carried on further to afford as a sufficient explanation of the state of things existing up to the present age, we are suddenly arrested (199-202) by being informed that the people distributed according to the system of castes and orders did not multiply, and are introduced to a new mindborn creation, which took place in the Treta age, to remedy this failure. We are next told (203) of what appears to be another creation of beings endowed with goodness and passion. And, finally, a yet further re-incorporation of previously existing souls is described as having taken place (205-209). It would thus seem that after all we are left without any account of the origin of the system of castes which prevailed when the Purana was compiled. The only suppositions on which this conclusion can be avoided are either (1) that the cessation in the increase of the generation alluded to in verse 200, which led to the new creation, was not universal, that the race than existing did not entirely die out, but that the old blood was re-invigorated by that of the newly created beings; or (2) that the other set of creatures, mentioned in verse 203, as characterized by goodness and passion, were the progenitors of the present race of men. On these points, however, the text throws no light.

The preceding account of the creation of mankind and of the vioissitudes and deterioration of society, is in some places obscure and confused, and its several parts do not appear to be consistent with each other. At the outset the writer describes the creation of four thousand pairs of human beings, of whom each separate set of one thousand is distinguished by widely different innate characters, the first class having the quality of goodness, the second that of passion, the third those of passion and darkness, and the fourth that of darkness. Nevertheless (as in the parallel passage of the Vishnu Purāna) we cannot find in the narrative the least trace of those inherent differences of character having for a long time manifested themselves by producing dissimilarity either of moral conduct or of physical condition; for the perfection, which is described as existing in the Krita age, is spoken of as if it was universal; and not only is no distinction alluded to as prevailing at this period between

the component parts of society, but we are expressly told that no castes or orders then existed. The deterioration also, which ensued towards the end of the Krita age, is described as general, and not peculiar to any class. How is this complete uniformity, first of perfection, and afterwards of declension, which, for anything that appears to the contrary, is predicated of the descendants of the whole of the four thousand pairs, to be reconciled with the assertion that each thousand of those pairs was characterized by different innate qualities? The difficulty is not removed by saying that the writer supposed that these inhorent varieties of character existed in a latent or dormant state in the different classes, and were afterwards developed in their descendants; for he distinctly declares (verse 54) in general terms that mankind were at that period sattva-bahulah, i.e. "possessed the quality of goodness in abundance;" and in the earlier part of the subsequent narrative no allusion is made to the different qualities at first ascribed to the four sets of a thousand pairs being separately developed in the members of the four classes respectively. In verse 74. indeed, it appears to be assumed that the division into castes had existed from the creation; for we there find an assertion that in "all the Manvantaras, according to the division of the four yugas," (including apparently the Krita) "there is declared to have existed a perfection effected by the observances of the castes and orders, and arising from the fulfilment of works;" but how is this to be reconciled with the express statement of verses 60 and 61, that "in the Krita age no works were performed which were either virtuous or sinful," and that "there then existed neither distinctions of caste or order, nor any mixture of castes?" In the Trcta age the state of deterioration continued. but no reference is made of any separation of classes till we come to verse 138, where it is said that the beings who in the Krita age had existed as the perfect mind-born sons of Brahmā, were now, as a consequence of their former actions, recalled into human existence, and in conformity with their previous characters as calm, fiery, laborious, or depressed, became Brāhmans, Kshattriyas, Vaisyas, Sūdras, and men of violence. These creatures, after they had been furnished with the means of subsistence, were eventually divided into classes, according to their varieties of disposition, character, and occupation; and as at first they did not fulfil their proper duties, but encroached upon each others'

provinces, their functions were afterwards more stringently defined and the means of enforcing obedience were provided. Here it is intimated that different sets of beings were born as Brāhmans, Kshattriyas, Vaiśyas, and Südras, on account of the different qualities which they had manifested in a previous existence, and that in conformity with those same characteristics they were afterwards formally distributed into castes. This description is therefore so far consistent with itself. The difference of caste is made to depend upon the dispositions of the soul. But how are we to reconcile this postulation of different characters formerly exhibited with the description given in the previous part of the narrative, where we are informed that, in the earlier parts, at least, of the Krita age, all men were alike perfect, and that no actions were performed which were either virtuous or vicious? If such was the case at that period, how could the beings who then existed have manifested those differences of disposition and character which are asserted to have been the causes of their being subsequently reborn as Brāhmans, Kshattriyas, Sudras, and Vaisyas? It may be admitted that the differences of character, which are attributed in the Purana to the four primeval sets of a thousand pairs of human beings, correspond to those qualities which are described as having subsequently given rise to the division into castes; but the assertion of such a state of uniform and universal perfection, as is said to have intervened between the creation of mankind and the realization of caste, seems incompatible with the existence of any such original distinctions of a moral character.

As regards this entire account when compared with the other two descriptions of the creation given in the previous part of this section, the same remarks are applicable as have been made in the last section, p. 65 f., on the corresponding passages from the Vishnu Purana.

The chapter which I have just translated and examined, is followed immediately by the one of which I have already in a preceding page quoted the commencement, descriptive of the creation of Asuras, Gods, Fathers, etc., from the different bodies assumed and cast off successively by Brahmā.

I shall now give an extract from the following, or tenth chapter, in which the the legend of Satarūpā is related.

Sūta uvācha | 1. Evambhūteshu lokeshu Brahnanā loka-karttrinā ¹⁹⁶ !

186 This form karttrijā (one which, ås is well known, may be optionally employed in

vadā tāh na pravarttante prajāh kenāpi hetunā \ 2. Tamo-mātrāvrito Brahmā tadā-prabhriti duhkhitah | tatah sa vidadhe buddhim arthaniśchaya-gāminīm | 3. Athātmani samasrākshīt tamo-mātrām nijātmikām | rajah-sattvam parājitya varttamānam sa dharmatah | 4. Tanuate tena duhkhena śokam chahre jagat-patih | tamas tu vyanudat tasmād rajas tach cha samāvrinot | 5. Tat tamah pratinuttam vai mithunam samvyajāyata | adharmas charanāj jajne himsā sokād ajāyata | 6. Tatas tasmin samudbhūte mīthunc charaṇātmani | tataś cha bhagavān āsīt prītischainam asistriyat | 7. Svām tanum sa tuto Brahmā tām apohad ahhāsvarām | dvidhā 'karot sa tam deham ardhena purusho 'bhavat | 8. Ardhena nārī sā tasya Satarūpā vyajāvata | prākritām bhūta-dhātrīm tām kāmād vai srishtuvān vibhuh | 9. Sā divam prithivīm charva mahimnā vyāpya dhishthitā | Brahmanah sā tanuh pūrvā divam āvrītya tishthatī | 10. Yā tv ardhāt srījate nārī S'aturūpā vyajāyata | sā devī niyatam taptvā tapah parama-duścharam | bharttāram dīptavasasam Purusham pratyapadyata | 11. Sa vai Svāyambhuvah pūrvam Purusho Manur uchyate | tasyaikasaptati-yugam Manvantaram shochyate | 12. Labdhvā tu purushah patnīm Satarūpām ayonyām | tayā sa ramate sārddham tasmāt sā Ratır uchyate | 13. Prathamah samprayogah sa kalpādau samarafttata | Virājam asrijad Brahmā so 'bhavat Purusho Virāt | 14. Sa samrāt māsarūpāt tu vairājas tu Manuh smritah | sa vairājah prajā-sargah sa sarge purusho Manuh | 15. Vairājāt purushād vīrāch chhatarūpā vyajāyata | Priyavratottānapādau putrau putravatām varau |

"1. When the worlds had thus been formed by Brahmā their creator, but the creatures, for some reason did not engage in action, ¹⁸⁷(2) Brahmā, enveloped in gloom, and thenceforward dejected, formed a resolution tending to ascertain the fact. 3. He then created in himself (a body) of his own, formed of pure gloom (tamas), having overpowered the passion (rajas) and goodness (sattva) which existed (in him) naturally.

4. The Lord of the world was afflicted with that suffering, and la-

the neuter, but not in the masculine) is here used for metrical reasons. Such irregularities are, as we have seen, designated by the Commentators as ārsha. It is unlikely that Brahman should be here used in a neuter sense.

¹⁹⁷ The true reading here may be pravarddhante, in which case the sense will be "did not multiply." Compare the parallel passage in the Vishnu Purana, i. 7, 4, p. 64.

mented.198 He then dispelled the gloom, and covered over the passion. 5. The gloom, when scattered, was formed into a pair. 100 Unrighteousness arose from activity (?), and mischief sprang from sorrow. 6. That active (?) pair having been produced, he became glorious (?) and pleasure took possession of him. 7. Brahmā after that cast off that body of his, which was devoid of lustre, and divided his person into two parts; with the half he became a male (purusha) (8) and with the half a female: it was Satarūpā who was so produced to him. Under the impulse of lust he created her a material supporter of beings. 9. By her magnitude sho pervaded both heaven and earth. That former body of Brahmā invests the sky. 10. This divine fomale Satarūpā, who was born to him from his half, as he was creating, by incessantly practising austere fervour of a highly arduous description, acquired for herself as a husband a Male (purusha) of glorious renown. He is called of old the Male, Manu Svayambhuva; and his period (manvantara) is declared to extend to seventy-one Yugas. This Male, having obtained for his wife, Satarūpā, not sprung from any womb, lived in dallianco with her (ramate); and from this she is called Rati (the female personification of sexual love). 13. This was the first cohabitation practised in the beginning of the Kalpa. Brahmā created Viraj; ho was the Male, Viraj. 14. He is the sovereign (samrāj), from his having the form of a month; and Manu is known as the son of Virāj. 900 This creation of living beings is called that of Virāj. In this creation Manu is the male. 15. Satarūpā bore to the heroio Purusha, son of Viraj, two sons, Privavrata and Uttanapada, the most eminent of those who have sons." This is followed by a further genealogy, into which I will not enter.

By comparing this account with the one extracted above, p. 64 f. from the Vishnu Purāṇa, i. 7, 1 ff., it will be seen that while it makes no allusion to the production of Rudra, as related in the Vishnu Purāṇa (which, as well as the birth of the mental sons of Brahmā, the Vāyu Purāṇa had described in the preceding chapter, verses 67-83), it is somewhat fuller in regard to the legend of Satarūpā; and although it

¹⁹⁸ With this account of Brahma's dejection and grief the accounts quoted above pp. 68 ff. from the Brahmanas may be compared.

¹⁹⁹ Compare the narrative of the Vishnu Purunu i. 7, 9 ff. quoted in p. 64 f.

²⁰⁰ Compare the account given in Manu's Institutes, above, p. 36.

does not allow that Brahmā cohabited with his daughter, and assigns to her another husband, Manu Svāyambhūva, it describes the creator as having been actuated by carnal desire in generating her. I shall give further illustrations of this story in the next section.

Sect. IX.—Legend of Brahmā and his daughter, according to the Aitareya Brāhmana, and of Satarāpā, according to the Matsya Purāṇa.

The story which forms the subject of the present section is noticed at some length in the fourth volume of this work, pp. 38-46, where one of the oldest passages in which it is related, is quoted from the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, i. 7, 4, 1 ff., together with one of a comparatively late age from the Bhāgavata Purāṇa, iii. 12, 28 ff. As however the legend, though repulsive in its character, is not without interest as illustrating the opinions which Indian mythologists have entertained regarding their deities, I shall quote two other texts in which it is narrated.

The first, from the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, iii. 33, has, no doubt (along with the passage of the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa just referred to, and another from the same work, xiv. 4, 2, 1 ff., quoted above, in p. 24 ff.), furnished the ideas which are expanded in the later versions of the story. It is as follows:

Prajāpatir vai siām duhītaram abhyadhyāyat | Dīvam ity anye āhur Ushasam ity anye | tām rīsyo bhūtvā rohītām bhūtām abhyaīt | tam devā apasyan | "akrītam vai Prajāpatiḥ karoti" iti | te tam archhan yaḥ enam ārīshyati | etam anyonyasmin na avindan | teshām yā eva ghoratamās tanvaḥ āsams tāḥ ekadhā samabharan | tāḥ sambhrītāḥ esha devo 'bhavat | tad asya etah bhūtavan-nāma' | bhavati vai sa yo 'sya etah evam nāma veda | tam devā abrīvann "ayam vai Prajāpatir akrītam akar imam vidhya" iti | sa "tathā" ity abravīt | "sa vai vo varam vrīnāi" iti | "vrīnīshva" iti | sa etam eva varam avrīnīta paśūnām ādhipatyam | tad asya etat paśuman-nāma | paśumān bhavati yo 'sya etad evam nāma veda | tam abhyāyatya avidhyat | sa viddhaḥ ūrddhve udaprāpatad ityādi^{na} |

201 See the translation of this passage given by Dr. Haug in his Aitareya Brāhmana

"Prajāpati lusted after his own daughter. Some call her the Sky, others Ushas. Becoming a buck, he approached her after she had become a doe. The gods saw him; (and said) Prajāpati does a deed which was never done (before). They sought some one who should take vengeance on him. Such a person they did not find among themselves. They then gathered together their most dreadful bodies. These when combined formed this god (Rudra). Hence (arises) his name connected with Bhūta (Bhūtapati). That man flourishes so who thus knows this name of his. The gods said to him, 'This Prajāpati has done a deed which was never done before: pierce him.' He replied, 'so be it,' (adding), 'let me ask a boon of you.' They rejoined, 'ask.' He asked for this boon, viz., lordship over cattle. Hence arises his name connected with Paśu (Paśupati). He who thus knows his name, becomes the owner of cattle. He then attacked (Prajāpati) and pierced him. He, when pierced, soared upwards," etc. etc.

The second passage I proposed to cite is from the Matera Purāna, chapter iii. verses 32 ff.: Etad tattvātmakam kritvā jagad dvedhā ajījanat | 33. Sāvitrīm loka-siddhyartham hridi kritvā samāsthitah | tatah sanjapatas tasya bhitvā deham alalmasham | 34. strī-rūpam arddham akarod arddham purusha-rūpavat | Satarūpā cha sā khyātā Sāvitrī cha nigadyate | 35. Sarasvaty atha Gāyatrī Brahmānī cha parantapa | tatah sa Brahmadevās tām ātmajām ity akalpayat | 36. Drishtvā tām vyathitas tāvat kāma-vānārīto vibhuh | "aho rūpam aho rūpam" ity uvācha tadā 'vyayah | 37. Tato Vasishtha-pramuhhā "bhaginīm" iti chukrušuh | Brahmā na kinchid dadrise tan-mukhālo-kanād rīte | 38. "Aho rūpam aho rūpām" iti āha punah punah | tatah pranāma-namrām tām punas tām abhyalokayat | 39. Atha pradakshinām chakre sā pitur varavarnīnī | putrohhyo lajjītasyāsya tad-rūpālokanechhayā | 40. Āvīrbhūtam tato vaktram dakshinam pāndu-gandavat |

vol. ii pp. 218 ff, and the remarks on this translation by Professor Weber, Indische Studien, ix. 217 ff.; and also Professor Roth's explanation of the word bhütavat in his Lexicon.

²⁰² This seems to be imitated in the line of the Bhāgavata Purāṇa iii. 12, 30, quoted in vol. iv. of this work, p. 40 naitat pūrvaih kritam tvad ye na karishyanti chāpare | "This was never done by those before thee, nor will those after thee do it."

²⁰³ Bhavati. In the Brahmayas this verb has frequently the sense of prosporing, as opposed to parābhavati, "he perishes." See Bothlingk and Roth's Lexicon, s.v., and the passages there referred to.

vismaya-sphurad-oshtham cha pāśchātyam udagāt tatah | 41. Chaturthum abhavat paschād vāmam kāma-sarāturam | tato 'nyad abhavat tasya kāmāturatayā tathā | 42. Utpatantyās tadā "kāśe ālokena kutūhalāt | srishty-artham yat kritam tena tapah paramadārunam | 43. Tat sarvam nāšam agamat sva-sutopagamechhayā | tenāšu204 vaktram abhavat panchamam tasya dhīmatah | 44. Āvirbhavaj jaṭābhiścha tad vaktranchāvrinot prabhuh | tatas tān abravīd Brahmā putrān ātma-samudbhavān | 45. "Prajāh, srijadhvam abhitah sa-devāsura-mānushāh" | evam uktās tatah sarve sasrijur vividhah prajah | 46. Gateshu teshu srishtyartham pranāmāvanatām imām | upayeme sa visvātmā S'atarūpām aninditām | 47. Sambabhūva tayā sārddham atīkāmāturo vibhuh | salajjām chakame devah kamalodara-mandire | 48. Yāvad abda-śatam divyam yathā 'nyah prākrito janah | tatah kūlena makatā tasyāh putro 'bhavad Manuh | 49. Svayambhuva iti khyatah sa Virad iti nah srutam | tad-rupa-guna-samanyād adhipūrusha uchyate | 50. Vairājā yatra te jātāh bahavah samsitavratāh + Svāyambhuvā mahābhāgāh sapta sapta tathā 'pare | 51. Svārochishādyāh sarve te Brahma-tulya-svarūpinah | Auttami-pramukhās tadvad yeshām tvam saptamo 'dhunā | (Adhyāya. 4.) Manur uvācha | 1. Aho kashtataram chaitad angajagamanam vibhoh | Katham na dosham agamat karmanā tena Padmajah | 2. Parasparancha sambandhah sagotrāṇām abhūt katham | vaivāhīkas tat-sutānām chhīndi me samsayam vibho | Matsua uvācha | 3. Divyeyam ādi-srishtis tu rajo-guna-samudbhavā | atīndriyendriyā tadvad atīndriya-sarīrikā | 4. Divya-tejomayī bhūpa divya-jnāna-samudbhavā | na chūnyair abhītah śakyā jnūtum vai māmsa-chakshushā | 5. Yathā bhujangāh sarpānām ākūše sarva-pakshinām | vidanti mārgām divyānām divyā eva na mānavāh | 6. Kāryākāryena devāścha śubhāśubha-phala-pradāḥ | yasmāt tasmād na rājendra tad-vichāro nrinām subhah | 7. Anyachcha sarva-devānām adhishthātā chaturmukhah | gāyatrī Brahmanas tadvad anga-bhūtā nigadyate | 8. Amūrtta-mūrttimad vāpi mithunancha prachakshate | Viranchir yatra bhagavāns tatra devī Sarasvatī | 9. Bhāratī yatra yatraiva tatra tatra Prajūpatih | yathātapena rahitā chhāyā vai (? na) drišyate kvachit | 10. Gāyatrī Brahmanah pārśvam tathaiva na vimunchati \ veda-rāśih smrito Brahmā Sāvitrī tad-adhishthitā | 11. Tasmād na kaśchid doshah syāt Sāvitrī-gamane vibhoh i tathāpi lajjāvanatah Prajāpatir abhūt purā | 12. Sva-sutopagamād Brāhmā śaśāpa Kusumāyudham | yasmād mamāpi

204 T d of tenain the G. kowar MS, reads tenerahva.

bhavatā manah samkshobhitam saraih | 13. Tasmāt tvad-deham achirād Rudro bhasmīkarıshyati | tatah prasādayāmāsa Kāmadevas Chaturmukham | 14. "Na mām akāraṇam saptum tvam ihārhasi mām ava | aham ovam-vidhah srishtas tvayaiva chaturānana | 15. Indriya-kshobha-janakah sarveshām eva dehinām | strī-pumsor avichāreṇa mayā sarvata sarvadā | 16. Kshobhyam manah prayatnena tvayaivoktam purā vibho | tasmād anaparādhena tvayā saptas tathā vibho | 17. Kuru prasādam bhagavan sva-sarīrāptaye punah | Brahmā uvācha | 18. Vaivasvate, ntare prāpte Yādavānvaya-sambhavah | Rāmo nāma yadā martyo mat-sattva-balam āśritah | 19. Avatīryyāsura-dhvamsī Dvārakām adhivatsyati | taddhātus tat-samascha 205 tvam tadā putratvam eshyasi ityādi |

"32. Having thus formed the universe, consisting of the principles, he generated a twofold creation, (33) having, with a view to the completion of the world, placed and kept Savitri in his heart. Then as he was muttering prayers, he divided his spotless body (34) and gave to the half the form of a woman, and to the half that of a male. (This female) is called Satarūpā, Sāvitrī, (35) Sarasvatī, Gāyatrī, and Brahmānī. Brahmā then took her for his daughter. 36. Beholding her, the imperishable deity, distressed, tortured with the arrows of love, exclaimed, 'o what beauty! o what beauty!' 37. Then (his sons) headed by Vasishtha, cried aloud, '(our) sister.' Brahmā saw nothing else, looking only at her face; (38) and exclaimed again and again, 'o what beauty! o what beauty!' He then again gazed upon her, as she bend forward in obeisance. 39. The fair woman then made a circuit round her father. As on account of his sons he felt ashamed; from his desire of gazing on her beauty (40) there appeared (on his head) a southern face with pale cheeks; and there was afterwards manifested a western face with lips quivering with astonishment. 41. A fourth was subsequently formed, beautiful, disquieted by the arrows of love. Then another was produced from the disturbing influence of the same passion, (42) and from eagerness in gazing after her as she rose upwards in the sky. That austere fervour, extremely dreadful, which Brahmā had practised with a view to creation, (43) was entirely lost through his desire to approach his daughter (carnally). Through this was produced speedily the fifth face (or, according to one MS., the upper,

205 Such appears to be the reading of the Gaikowar MS. The original reading of the Taylor MS. has been erased, and another substituted, tatas tat-samays tvam cha.

the fifth face) of the wise deity, (44) which appeared with matted hair, and which he covered np. Brahmā then said to the sons who had sprung from him, (45) 'create living beings everywhere, gods, asuras, and men.' They, being thus addressed, created beings of various kinds. 46. When they had gone away for the purpose of creating, he, who is the universe, took for his wife the unblamed Satarūpā. 47. Sickened with love, he cohabited with her: like any ordinary being, he loved her,-though she was full of shame-embowered in the hollow of a lotus, (48) for a hundred years of the gods. A long time after, a son was born to her. Manu (49) called Svayambhuva, who, as we have heard, is Virāj. From their community of form and qualities he is called Adhipurnsha.200 50. From him were sprung those numerous Vairājas, steadfast in religious observances, thoso seven glorious sons of Svayambhū, and those other seven Manus, (51) beginning with Svārochisha and Auttami, in form equal to Brabma, of whom thou 207 art now the soventh. (4th chapter) 1. Manu says: 'Ah! this is most afflicting, this entrance of love into the god. How was it that the lotus-born did not incur guilt by that act? 2. And how did a matrimonial connection take place between persons of the same family who wers sprung from him? Solve this doubt of mine, o Lord. The Fish replied: 3. This primeval creation was celestial, produced from the quality of passion (rajas); it had senses removed beyond the cognizance of sense, and bodies of the same description, (4) was possessed of celestial energy. derived from celestial knowledge, and cannot be perfectly perceived by others with the eye of flesh. 5. Just as serpents know the path of serpents, and (beings living) in the sky know the path of all sorts of birds, so too the celestials alone, and not men, know the way of celestials. 6. And since it is the gods who award the recompence. favourable or unfavourable, according as good or bad deeds have been done,—it is not good for men to examine this (question). 7. Furthermore, the four-faced (Brahma) is the ruler of all the gods, and in like manner the Gayatri is delared to be a member of Brahma. 8. And, as

²⁰⁰ Compare the Purusha Sukts, above p. 8, in the fifth verse of which the words Virājo adhi pūrushah occur. If the last two words are combined they give the name in the text.

 $^{^{307}}$ This account is given by the deity represented as incarnate in a Fish, to Manu V \dot{v}

they say, there is a pair consisting of the formless, and of that which has form. Wherever the divine Viranchi (Brahmā) is, there is also the goddess Sarasvatī. 9. Wherever Bhāratī (a namo of Sarasvatī) is, there is also Prajāpati. Just as shadow is nowhere seen without sunshine. (10) so Gavatri never forsakes the side of Brahma. He is called the collected Veda, and Savitra rests upon him; (11) there can therefore be no fault in his approaching her. Nevertheless, Brahmā, the lord of creatures, was bowed down with shame, (12) because he had approached his own daugther, and cursed Kusumāyudha 208 (Kāma), (in these words) 'As even my mind has been agitated by thy arrows, Rudra shall speedily reduce thy body to ashes.' Kāmadeva then propitiated the four-faced deity, saying, (14) 'Thou oughtest not to curse me without cause: preserve me. It is by thee thyself that I have been created with such a character, (15) an agitator of the organs of sense of all embodied creatures. Tho minds both of men and women must always and everywhere (16) be energetically stirred up by me with out hesitation: this thou thyself hast formerly declared. It is therefore without any fault of mine that I have been thus cursed by thee. 17. Bo gracious, lord, that I may recover my body.' Brahmā answered: 18. 'When the Voivasvata Manvantara shall have arrived, a mortal. named Rāma, sprung from the Yādava race, deriving force from my essence, (19) and, becoming incarnate as a destroyer of Asuras, shall inhabit Dvārakā. Thou shalt then become a son of his substance and like to him," etc.

The narrator of this legend does not hesitate to depict in the strongest colours (though without the least approach to grossness) the helpless subjection of Brahmā to the influence of sexual desire. This illicit indulgence was regarded by the authors of the Satapatha and Aitareya Brāhmanas as in the highest degree scandalous, and they do not attempt to palliate its enormity by any mystical explanation, such as that which we find in the Matsya Purāṇa. Whether this apology proceeded from the original narrator, or from a later writer of a more sensitive disposition, who perceived its inconsistency with any elevated idea of the superior powers, is difficult to say. It is quite possible that the same writer who gave his fancy scope in describing the unbecoming scene, of which the substance had been handed down in works regarded

²⁰⁸ The word means " He whose weapons are flowers."

as authoritative, may also have thought it necessary to discover some device for counteracting the scandal. On the other hand, the original writer seems to cut himself off from the privilege of resorting to any mystical refinements to explain away the offence, by having in the first instance represented Brahma's indulgence as on a level with that of ordinary beings. And even after the apology has been concluded, we are still told that Brahma could not help feeling ashamed of what he had done. The writer of the explanation ought to have perceived that if his defence was of any value, the deity for whom he was apologizing had no ground for humiliation. But he did not venture to expunge the popular features of the story. The grounds on which the apology proceeds are partly of the same character as those which the writer of the Bhagavata Purana assumes in the passage (x. 33, 27 ff.) which is given in the fourth volume of this work, pp. 42 f., viz., that the gods are not to be judged on the same principles as men, -that "the celestials have laws of their own" (sunt superis sua jura). The Bhagavata Purāņa has, however, different measures for Brahmā and for Krishņa; for whilst the adultery of the latter is defended in the verses just referred to, no desire is shown to vindicate the former in the other passage, iii. 12, 28 ff, adduced in the same volume, page 40.

As regards the details of the story according to the different Purāṇas, I may observe that while the Vishṇu, the Vāyu (see above, pp. 65, and 106), and the Mārkandeya Purāṇas, xl. 13 f., represent Satarūpā as the wife of Manu Svāyambhuva, the Matsya Purāṇa, as we have just seen, declares her to have been the spouse of Brahmā himself, and the mother of Manu Svāyambhuva.²⁰⁰ This is repeated in the twenty-sixth verse of the fourth chapter:

Yū sā dehārdāha-sambhūtā Gāyatrī brahma-vādinī | jananī yā Manor devī S'atarūpā S'atendriyā | 27. Ratir Manas Tapo Buddhir mahad-ādi-samudbhavā 100 | tatah sa S'atarūpāyām saptāpatyāny ajījanat | 28. Ye Marīchyādayah putrāh mānasās tasya dhīmatah | teshām ayam abhūl lokah sarva-jnānātmakah purā | 29. Tato 'srijad Vāmadevam trišūlavara-dhārinam | Sanathumārancha vibhum pūrveshām api pūrvajam | 30.

²⁰⁹ Compare the account given in Manu's Institutes (above, p. 36), which does not coincide in all particulars with any of the Purānas here quoted.

²¹⁰ In this line the original readings are in several places erased in the Taylor MS. I have endeavoured to restore it with the help of the Gaikowar MS.

Vāmadevaš tu bhagavān asrijad mukhato dvijān | rājanyān asrijad bāhvor Vit-šūdrāv ūru-pādayoh | 35. Svāyambhuvo Manur dhīmāms tapas taptvā sudušcharam | patnīm avāpa rūpādhyām Anantām nāma nāmatah | Priyavratottānapādau Manus tasyām ajijanat |

"She who was produced from the half of his body, Gayatri the declarer of sacred science, she who was the mother of Manu, the goddess Satarūpā (1.e. having a hundred forms), Satendriyā (i.e. having a hundred senses), (27) (was also) Rati, Mind, Austere Fervour, Intellect, sprung from Mahat and the other principles. He then begot upon Satarūpā seven sons. 28. This world, composed of all knowledge. sprang from Marichi, and the others who were the mind-born sons of that wise Being. He next created Vamadeva (Mahadeva), the wielder of the excellent trident, and the lord Sanatkumara, born before the earliest. 30. Then the divine Vāmadeva created Brāhmans from his mouth, Rajanyas from his breast, the Vis and the Sudra from his thighs and feet." [After describing in the following verses some other creations of Vamadeva, the writer proceeds in verse 35:7 "The wise Manu Svayambhuva, having practised austere fervour of the most arduous kind, obtained a beautiful wife named Ananta. On her he begot Priyavrata and Uttanapada."

Having made Manu the son of Satarūpā, the writer was obliged to give him another female for a wife, as we see he has here done.

It will be observed that in this passage Vāmadeva—and not Brahmā, as in the other Purāṇas—is described as the creator of the four castes.

Sect. X.—Quotations from the Rāmāyana on the Creation, and on the Origin of Castes.

The substance of the first of the following passages has already been stated above in a note on page 36. Part of it is also quoted in p. 54, and it is more fully cited in the fourth volume of this work, p. 29, but for facility of reference I repeat it here.

Rāmāyana (Bombay edition) ii. 110, 1. Kruddham ājnāya Rāmam tu Vasishthah pratyuvācha ha | Jābālir api jānīts lokasyāsya gatāgatim | 2. Nivarttayitu-kāmas tu tvām etad vākyam abravīt | imām loka-samut-

pattim loka-nātha nibodha me | 3. Sarvam salilam svāsīt prithivī tatra nirmitā | tatah samabhavat Brahmā Svayambhūr davvataih saha | 4. Sa varāhas tato bhūtvā projjahāra vasundkarām | asrijach cha jagat sarvam saha putraih kritātmabhih | 5. Ākāšaprabhavo Brahmā šūšvato nitya avyayah | tasmād Marīchih sanjajne Marīcheh Kašyapah sutah | 6. Vivasvān Kašyapāj jajne Manur Vaivasvatah svayam | sa tu prajāpatih pūrvam Ikshvākus tu Manoh sutah | 7. Yasyeyam prathamam dattā samriddā Manunā mahī | tam Ikshvākum Ayodhyāyām rājānam viddhi pūrvakam |

"1. Porceiving Rāma to be incensed ²¹¹ Vasishtha replied: 'Jābāli also knows the destruction and renovation of this world. 2. But he spoke as he did from a desire to induce you to return. Learn from me, lord of the earth, this (account of) the origin of the world. 3. The universe was nothing but water. In it the earth was fashioned. Then Brahmā Svayambhū came into existence, with the deities. He next, becoming a boar, raised up the earth, and created the entire world, with the saints his sons. 5. Brahmā, the eternal, unchanging, and undocaying, was produced from the æther (ākāśa). From him sprang Marīchi, of whom Kaśyapa was the son. 6. From Kaśyapa sprang Vivasvat: and from him was descended Manu, who was formerly the lord of creatures (prajāpati). Ikshvākuⁿ³ was the son of Manu (7) and to him this prosperous earth was formerly given by his father. Know that this Ikshvāku was the former king in Ayodhyā."

The account which I next quote does not agree with the last in its details, as, besides representing the Prajāpatis or sons of Brahmā to be seventeen in number, it places Marīchi, Kaśyapa, and Vıvasvat in the same rank as contemporaries, while the former narrative declares them to have been respectively father, son, and grandson.

Rāmāyaṇa iii. 14, 5. Rāmasya vachanam śrutvā kulam ātmānam eva cha | āchachakshe dvijas tasmai sarva-bhūta-samudbhavam | 6. Pūrvakāle mahābāho ye prajāpatayo 'bhavan | tān me nigadatah sarvān āditah śrinu Rāghava | 7. Kardamah prathamas teshām Vikritas tad-anantaram | S'eshaś cha Samśrayaś chaiva Bahuputraś cha vīryavān | 8.

article in Journ. Roy. As. Soc. for 1866, pp. 451 and 462.

²¹¹ On account of a materialistic and immoral argument which had been addressed to him by Jābāli to induce him to disregard his deceased father's arrangements regarding the succession to the throne. See Journ. Roy. As. Soc. vol. xix. pp. 303 ff. 12 The name Ikshvāku occurs in R. V. x. 60, 4. See Professor Max Muller's

Sthanur Marichir Atris cha Kratus chaiva mahabalah | Pulastyas changirās chaiva Prachetāh Pulahas tathā | 9. Daksho Vivasvān aparo 'rishţanomiś cha Rūghava | Kaśyapaś cha mahatejās teshām āsīch cha paśchimah | 10. Prajūpates tu Dakshasya babhūvur iti višrutāh | shashţir duhitaro Rāma yaśasvinyo mahāyasāh | 11. Kaśyapah pratijagrāha tāsām ashtau sumadhyamāh | Adıtim cha Dıtim chaiva Danum api cha Kālakām | 12. Tūmrūm Krodhavaśūm chaiva Manum 218 chāpy Analām api | tās tu kanyās tatah prītah Kasyapah punar abravīt | 13. Putrāms trailokya-bhartrīn vai janayishyatha mat-samān | Aditis tan-mānāḥ Rāma Dītišcha Danŭr eva cha | 14. Kālakā cha mahābāho šeshās tv amanaso 111 'bhavan | Adityām jujnire devās trayastrimsad arindama | 15. Adıtya Vasavo Rudra Asvinau cha parantapa | 29. Manur manushyan janayat Kasyapasya mahatmanah | brahmanan kshattriyan vaisyān sūdrāňs cha manujarshabha | 30. Mulhato brāhmanā jātāh urasah kehattriyas tatha | urubhyam jajnire vaisyah padbhyam sudra iti śrutih | 31. Sarvān punya-phalān vrikshān Analā 'pi vyajāyata |

"5. Having heard the words of Rāma, the bird (Jatāyus) made known to him his own race, and himself, and the origin of all beings. 6. Listen while I declare to you from the commencement all the Prajāpatis (lords of creatures) who came into existence in the earliest time. 7. Kardama was the first, then Vikrita, Sesha, Samśraya, the energetio Bahuputra, (8) Sthānu, Marīchi, Atri, the strong Kratu, Pulastya, Angiras, Prachetas, Pulaha, (9) Daksha, then Vivasvat, Arishţanemi, and the glorious Kaśyapa, who was the last. 10. The Prajāpati Daksha is famed to have had sixty daughters. 11. Of these Kaśyapa took in marriage eight elegant maidens, Aditi, Diti, Danū, Kālakā, (12) Tāmrā, Krodhayaśā, Manu, 116 and Analū. Kaśyapa, pleased, then said

²¹³ Balam Atsbalam ani.—Gorr. 214 Manoratha-hinah.—Comm.

ns I should have doubted whether Manu could have been the right reading here, hut that it occurs again in verse 29, where it is in like manner followed in verse 31 by Analä, so that it would certainly seem that the name Manu is intended to stand for a female, the daughter of Daksha. The Gauda recension, followed by Signor Gorresio (in. 20, 12), adopts an entirely different reading at the end of the line, viz. Baläm Atibalām api, "Balā and Atibalā," instead of Manu and Analā. I see that Professor Roth s.v. adduces the authority of the Amara Kosha and of the Commentator on Pāṇini for stating that the word sometimes means "the wife of Manu." In the following text of the Mahābhārata 1. 2553, also, Manu appears to be the name of a female 'Anavadyām Manum Vamān Asurām Mārgaṇapriyām | Anūpām Subhagām Bhāsīm in Prādhā vyaṇāyata | "Prādhā (daughter of Daksha) hore Anavadyā, Manu, Vans'a, Asurā, Mārgaṃapriyā, Anūpā, Subhagā, and Bhūsī.

to these maids, (13) 'ye shall bring forth sons like to me, preservers of the three worlds.' Aditi, Diti, Dsnū, (14) and Kālakā assented; but the others did not agree. Thirty-three gods were borne by Aditi, the Ādityas, Vasus, Rudras, and the two Aśvins." [The following verses 15-28 detail the offspring of Diti, Danū, Kālakā, Tāmrā, Krodhavašā, as well as of Kraunchī, Bhāsī, Syenī, Dhritarāshtrī, and S'ukī the daughters of Kālakā, and of the daughters of Krodhavašā. (Compare the Mahābharata, i. 2620-2635; and Wilson's Vishņu Purāṇa, vol. ii. pp. 72 f.) After this we come upon Manu and the creation of mankind.] "29. Manu, (wife) of Kaśyapa, "10 produced men, Brāhmans, Kshattriyas, Vaisyas, and Sūdras. 30. 'Brāhmans were born from the mouth, Kshattriyas from the breast, Vāisyas from the thighs, and Sūdras from the feet,' so says the Veda. 81. Analā gave birth to all trees with pure fiuits."

It is singular to observe that in this passage, after having represented men of all castes as sprung from Manu, the writer next adds a verse to state, on the authority of the Veda, that the different castes were produced from the different parts of the body out of which they issued. Unless Manu's body be here meant, there is a contradiction between the two statements. If Manu's body is meant, the assertion conflicts with the common account. And if the Manu here mentioned is, as appears from the context, a woman, we should naturally conclude that her offspring was born in the ordinary way; especially as she is said to have been one of the wives of Kasyapa.

The next passage from the Uttara Kāṇḍa of the Rāmāyaṇa, 74, 8 f., describes the condition of men in the Krita age, and the subsequent introduction of the caste system in the Tretā. The description purports to have been occasioned by an incident which had occurred just before. A Brāhman had come to the door of Rāma's palace in Ayodhyā, carrying the body of his dead son, in and bewailing his loss, the blame

²⁷⁶ The text reads Kāśyapa, "a descendant of Kāsyapa," who, according to Rām. ii. 110, 6, ought to be Vivasvat. But as it is stated in the preceding part of this passage iii. 14, 11 f. that Manu was one of Kāsyapa's eight wives, we must here read Kāsyapa. The Gauda recension reads (iii. 20, 30) Manur manushyāms cha tathā janayāmāsa Rāghava, instead of the corresponding line in the Bombay eduton.

²¹⁷ The boy is said, in 73, 5, to have been aprāpta-yaucanam bātam pancha-varsha-sahasrakam | "a boy of five thousand years who had not attained to puberty!" The Commentator says that varsha here means not a year, but a day (varsha-sabdo tra

of which (as he was himself unconscious of any fault) he attributed to some misconduct on the part of the king. Rāma in consequence convoked his councillors, when the divine sage Nārada spoke as follows:

8. S'rinu rājan yathā 'kāle prāpto bālasya sankshayah | śrutvā karttavyatām rājan kurushva Raghunandana | 9. purā krita-yuge rājan brāhmanā vai tapasvinah | 10. Abrāhmanas tadā rājan na tapasvī kathanchana | tasmin yuge prajvalite brahmabhūte to anavrite | 11. Amrityavas tadā sarve jajnīre dīrgha-daršinah | tatas tretā-yugam nāma mānavānām vapushmatām | 12. Kshattriyā yatra jāyante pūrvsna tapasā 'nvıtāh | viryyena tapasā chaiva te 'dhikāh pūrva-janmani | mānavā ys mahātmānas tatra tretā-yuge yuge | 13. Brahma kshattram cha tat sarvam yat pürvam avaram cha yat | yugayor ubhayor asit sama-viryyasamanvitam | 14. Apasyantas tu te sarve visesham adhikam tatah | sthapanam chakrıre tatra chaturvaruyasya sammatanı | 15. Tasmin yuge prajvalite dharmabhūts hy anāvrite | adharmah pādam ekam tu pātayat prithivitals | 19. Patite to anrite tasminn adharmena mahitale | śubhany evācharal lokah satya-dharma-parāyanah | 20. Tretā-yuge cha varttante brūhmanāh kehattriyās cha ye | tapo'tapyanta te sarve susrūshām apare janāḥ | 21. Sva-dharmaḥ paramas teshām vaišya-śūdram tadā "gamat | pūjām cha sarva-varņānām sūdrās chakrur vissshatah | 23. Tatah pādam adharmasya dvitīyam arātārayat | tato dvāpara-sankhyā sā yugasya samajāyata | 24. Tasmın dvāparā-sankhys tu varttamāne yuga-kshaye | adharmas chānritam chaiva vavrīdhe purusharshabha | 25. Asmin dvāpara-sankhyāts tapo vaišyān samāvišat | tribhyo yugebhyas trīn varnān kramād vai tapa āvišat | 26. Tribhyo yugebhyas trīn varnān dharmascha parinishthītah | na sūdro labhate dharmam yugatas tu nararshabha | 27. Hina-varno nripa-śreshtha tapyate sumahat tapah | bhavishyachchhūdrayonyām hi tapaś-charyā kalau yuge | 28. adharmah paramo rajan dvapare südra-janmanah | sa vai vishaya-paryants tava rajan mahatapah | 29. Adya tapyati durbuddhis tena bāla-badho hy ayam |

Nārada speaks: 8. "Hear, o king, how the boy's untimely death occurred: and having heard the truth regarding what ought to be

dinaparah),—just as it does in the ritual prescription that a man should perform a sacrifice lasting a thousand years ("sahasra-samvatsaram satram upāsīta" iti vat),—and that thus some interpreters made out the boy's age to be sixteen, and others under fourteen. But this would be a most unusual mode of reckoning age.

done, do it. 9. Formerly, in the Krita age, Brahmans alone practised austere fervour (tapas). 10. None who was not a Brahman did so in that enlightened age, instinct with divine knowledge (or, with Brahma), unclouded (by darkness). 11. At that period all were born immortal, and far-sighted. Then (came) the Treta age, the era of embodied men, (12) in which the Kshattriyas were born, distinguished still by thoir former austere fervour: although those men who were great in the Treta age had been greater, both in energy and austere fervour, in the former birth, 13. All the Brahmans and Kshattriyas, both the former and tho later, were of equal energy in both Yugas. 218 14. But not percoiving any more distinction (between the then existing men) they all 219 next established the approved system of the four castes. 15. Yot in that enlightened age, instinct with righteousness, unclouded (by darkness), unrighteousness planted one foot upon the earth." [After some other remarks (verses 16-18), which are in parts obsoure, the writer proceeds: 1 19. "But, although this falsehood had been planted upon the earth by unrighteousness, the people, devoted to true righteousness, practised salutary observances. 20. Those Brahmans and Kshattriyas who lived in the Treta practised austere forvour, and the rest of mankind obedience. 21. (The principle that) their own duty was the chief thing pervaded the Vaisyas and Sudras among them: and the Sudras especially paid honour to all the (other) classes. 23. Next tho second foot of unrighteousness was planted on the earth, and the number of the Dvapara (the third yuga) was produced. 24. When this deterioration of the age numbered as the Dvapara, had come into existence,

²¹⁸ The Commentator says, this means that in the Krita ago the Brühmans were superior, and the Kshattryas inferior (as the latter had not then the prerogative of practising tapas), but that in the Tretā both classes were equal (ubhayor yugayor madhye krita-yuge brahma pūrvam tapo-vīryābhyām utkrishtum kshattram chāvarum cha tābhyām tapo-vīryābhyām nyūnam āsīt | tat sarvam brahma-kshattra-rūpam ubhayam tretāyām sama-vīrya-samanvitam āsīt | krite kshattriyānām tapasy anadhikārāt tadyugīyebhyo brāhmanebhyas teshām nyūnatā | tretāyām tu ubhuyo rapi tapo-virhikārād ubhāv api tapo-viryābhyām samau | But in the previous verse (12) it is said that the Kshattriyas were born in the Tretā distinguished hy their former tapas. But perhaps they were formerly Brahmans, according to verses 9, 10, and 12.

no Manu and other legislators of that age, according to the Commentator (Manvādayah sarve tātkātkāh dharma-pravarttanādhikṛtāh) He adds that in the Krita age all the castes were spontaneously devoted to their several duties, although no fixed system had been prescribed (krite tu vinawa sthāpanam svayam eva sarve varnāh svasya-dharma-ratāh).

unrighteousness and falsehood increased. 25. In this age, numbered as the Dvāpara, austere fervour entered into the Vaisyas. Thus in the course of three ages it entered into three castes; (26) and in the three ages righteousness (dharma) was established in three castes. But the Sūdra does not attain to righteousness through the (lapse of these three) ages. 27. A man of low caste performs a great act of austere fervour. Such observance will belong to the future race of Sūdras in the Kali age, (28) but is unrighteous in the extreme if practised by that caste in the Dvāpara. On the outskirts of thy territory such a foolish person, of intense fervour, is practising austerity. Hence this slaughter of the boy."

Hero then was a clue to the mystery of the young Brāhman's death A presumptuous Sūdra, paying no regard to the fact that in the age 200 in which he lived the prerogative of practising self-mortification had not yet descended to the humble class to which he belonged, had been guilty of seeking to secure a store of religious ment by its exercise. Rāma mounts his car Pushpaka, makes search in different regions, and at length comes upon a persou who was engaged in the manner alleged. The Sūdra, on being questioned, avows his caste, and his desire to conquer for himself the rank of a god by the self-mortification he was undergoing. Rāma instantly cuts off the offender's head. The gods applaud the deed, and a shower of flowers descends from the sky upon the vindicator of righteousness. Having been invited to solicit a boon from the gods, he asks that the Brāhman boy may be resuscitated, and is informed that he was restored to life at the same moment when the Sūdra was slain. (Sections 75 and 76.)²²¹

The following curious account of the creation of mankind, among whom it states that no distinction of class (or colour) originally existed, is given in the Uttara Kānda, xxx. 19 ff., where Brahmā says to Indra:

Amarendra mayā buddhyā prajāḥ srīshtās tathā prabho | ela-varnāḥ sama-bhāshā eka-rūpāś eha saīvaśaḥ | 20. Tāsām nāstī višesho hī daršane lakshaṇe 'pī vā | tato 'ham ekāgramanās tāḥ prajāḥ samachintayam | 21. So 'ham tāsām višeshārtham strīyam ekām vinirmame | yad yat prajānām pratyangam višishtam tat tad uddhritam | 22. Tato mayā

^{29.} The Treta, according to the Commentator.

²³¹ See the Rev Professor Banerjea's Dialogues on the Hindu philosophy, pp. 44 ff., where attention had previously been drawn to the story.

rūpa-gunair ahalyā strī vinirmetā | halam nāmeha vairūpyañ halyañ tat-prabhavam bhavet | 23. Yasyā na vidyate halyam tenāhalyeti viśrutā | Ahalyety eva cha mayā tasyā nāma prakīrttītam | 24. Nīrmītāyām cha devendra tasyām nāryām surarshabha | bhavīshyatīti kasyaishā mama chintā tato 'bhavat | 25. Tvam tu S'akra tadā nārīm jūnīshe manasa prabho | sthanadhikataya patni mamaisheti purandara | 26. Sa maya nyāsa-bhūtā tu Gautamasya mahātmanah | nyastā bahūni varshāni tena nıryātıtā cha ha | 27. Tatas tasya parıjnāya mahāsthairyam mahāmuneh | jnātvā tapasi siddhim cha patny-artham sparšitā tadā | 28. Sa tayā saha dharmātmā ramate sma mahāmunih | āsan nirāsā devās tu Gautame dattayā tayā | 29. Tram kruddhas tv iha kāmātmā gatvā tasyāśramam muneh | drishţavāmś cha tadā tām strīm dīptām agnišīkhām īta | 30. Sā tvayā dharshītā S'akra kāmārttena samanyunā ; drishtas tvam cha tadā tena āśrame paramarshinā | 31. Tatah kruddhena tenāsi šaptah paramatejasā | guto 'si yena decendra dušā-bhoga-viparyayam | .

J. 19. O chief of the immortals (Indra) all creatures were formed by my will of one class (or colour), with the same speech, and uniform in every respect. 20. There was no distinction between them in appearance, or in characteristic marks. I then intently reflected on these creatures. 21. To distinguish between them I fashioned one woman. Whatever was most excellent in the several members of different creatures was taken from them, (22) and with this (aggregate) I formed a female, faultless in beauty and in all her qualities Hala means 'ugliness,' and halya, 'what is produced from ugliness.' 23. The woman in whom there is no halya, is called Ahalyā. And this was her name to which I gave currency. 24. When this female had been fashioned, I anxiously considered to whom she should belong. 25. Thou, Indra, didst, from the eminence of thy rank, determine in thy mind, 'She must be my spouse.' 26. I, however, gave her in trust to the great Gautama; and after having retained her in charge for many years, he restored her. 27. Knowing then the great steadfastness of that distinguished Muni, and the perfection of his austere fervour, I, in due form, gave her to him for his wife. 28. The holy sage lived with her in the enjoyment of connubial love. But the gods were filled with despair when she had been given away to Gautama. 29. And thou, Indra, angry, as well as inflamed with lust, wentest to the Muni's hermitage,

and didst behold that female brilliant as the flame of fire. 30. She was then corrupted by thee who wert tormented by lust, as well as heated by anger." But thou wert then seen by the eminent rishi in the hermitage, (31) and cursed by that glorious being in his indignation. Thou didst in consequence fall into a reverse of condition and fortune," etc., etc.

SECT. XI.—Extracts from the Mahabharata on the same subjects.

The first passage which I shall adduce is from the Adi Parvan, or first book, verses 2517 ff.:

Vaišampāyana uvācha | hanta to kathayishyāmi namaskritya Svayambhuvo | surādīnām aham samyak lokānām prabhavāpyayam | Brahmano mānasāh putrāh viditāh shan-maharshayah | Marīchir Atry-angirasau Pulastyah Pulahah Kratuh | Marīcheh Kašyapah putrah Kašyapāt tu prajā imāh | prajajnīre mahābhāgā Daksha-kanyās trayodaśa | 2520. Aditir Dutir Danuh Kālā Danāyuh Simhikā tathā | Krodhā Pradhā eha Viśvā cha Vinatā Kapilā Munih | Kadrūś cha manujavyāghra Daksha-kanyava Bhārata | etāsām vīrya-sampannam putra-pautram anantakam |

"Vaisampayana said: I shall, after making obeisance to Svayambhū, relate to thee exactly the production and destruction of the gods and other beings. Six²³⁵ great rishis are known as the mind-born sons

In regard to this story of Indra and Ahalyā, as well as to that of Brahmā and his daughter, above referred to, see the explanation given by Kumārila Bhatta, as quoted by Professor Max Muller in his Hist of Anc. Sansk. Lit p. 529 f. The name of Ahalyā is there allegorically interpreted of the night, to which this name is said to have been given because it is absorbed in the day (ahani Tiyamānatayā). Indra is the sun.

233 Another passage (S'ūnti-p. 7569 ff) raises the number of Brahmā's sons to seven hy adding Vasishtha Ekah Svayambhūr bhagavān ādyo Brahmā sanātanah | Brahmanah sapta vai putrā mahātimānah Svayambhueah | Marīchir Atry-Angrasau Pulastyah Pulahah Kratuh | Vasishṭha'scha mahābhāgah sadriso vai Svayambhuvā | sapta Brahmāna ity ete purāṇa nischayam gatāh | "There is one primeval eternal lord, Brahmā Svayambhū; who had seven great sons, Marīchi, Atri, Angiras, Pulastya, Pulaha, Kratu, and Vasishṭha, who was like Svayambhū. Those are the seven Brahmās who have been ascertained in the Puranic records" In anothsi part of the same S'āntiparvan, verses 12685 ff, however, the Prajāpatis are increased to twenty-one: Brahmā Sthānur Manur Daksho Bhrigur Dharmas tathā Yamah | Marīchir Angirā 'trischa Pulastyah Pulahah Kratuh | Vasishṭhāh Paramestṭhī cha Vivasvān Soma eva cha | Kardama' chāpi yah proktaḥ Krodho Vikrīta eva cha | ekavimsatir utpamās te prajāpatayah smriāh | "There are reputed to have been twenty-one Prajāpatis produced, vis. Brahmā, Sthānu, Manu, Daksha, Bhrīgu, Dharma, Yama, Marīchi,

of Brahmā, viz., Marīchi, Atri, Angiras, Pulastya, Pulaha, and Kratu. Kasyapa was the son of Marīchi; and from Kasyapa sprang these creatures. There were born to Daksha thirteen daughters of eminent rank, (2520) Aditi, Diti, Danu, Kālā, Danāyu, Simhikā, Krodhā, Pradhā, Visvā, Vinatā, Kapilā, and Muni.** Kadrū also was of the number. These daughters had valorous sons and grandsons innumerable."

Daksha, however, had other daughters, as we learn further on in verses 2574 ff., where the manner of his own birth also is related:

Dakshas tv ajāvatāngushthād dakshinād bhagavān rishih | Brahmanah prithivīpāla santātmā sumahātapāh | vāmād ajāyatāngushthād bhāryā tasya mahatmanah | tasyam panchasatam kanyah sa ovajanayad munih | 2577. Dadau cha dasa Dharmāya saptavimsatim Indave | divyena vidhına rajan Kasyapaya trayodasa | 2581. Paitamahah Manur devas tāsya putraķ prajāpatīķ \ tasyāshţau Vasavah putrās teshām vakshyāmi vistaram | 2595. Stanam tu dalshinam bhitvā Brahmano nara-vigrahah | nissrito bhagavan Dharmah sarva-loka-sukhavahah | trayas tasya varāh putrāh sarva-bhūta-manoharāh | Samah Kāmaś oha Harshas cha tejasā loka-dhārinah [. 2610. Ārushī to Manoh kanyā tasya patnī manīshinah | 2614, Dvau putrau Brahmanas tv anyau yayos tishthati lakshanam | loko Dhata Vidhata cha yau ethitau Manunā saha | tayor eva svasā devī Lakshmī padma-gṛihā śubhā | tasyās tu mānasāh putrās turagāh vyoma-chārinah | 2617. Prajānām annakāmānām anyonya-paribhakshanāt | Adharmas tatra sanjātah sarvabhūta-vināsakah | tasyāpi Nirritir bhāryā nairritā yena Rākshasāh | ghorās tasyās trayah putrāh pāpa-karma-ratāh sadā | Bhayo Mahābhayas chaira Mrityur bhūtāntakas tathā | na tasya bhāryā putro vā kaśchid asty antako hi sah |

Angıras, Atri, Pulastya, Pulaha, Kratn, Vasıshtha, Parameshthin, Vivasvat, Soma, the person called Kardama, Krodha, and Vikrīta." (Here, however, only twenty names are specified including Brahmā himself.) Compare this list with those quoted above, p. 116, from the Rāmāyaṇa, iii. 14, 7 ff., from Manu in p. 36, and from the Vishuu P. in p. 65.

That Muni is a name, and not an opithst, is shown (1) by the fact that we have otherwise only twelve names; and (2) by her descendants, both gods and gandharvas, being afterwards enumerated in verses 2550 ff. (ity ets deva-gandharvā Mauneyāḥ parikīrttitāh). Kapīlā, another of the thirteen daughters of Daksha is said to have been the mother of Ambrosia, Brāhmans, kine, Gandharvas and Apsarasas (amritam brāhmanā gāvo gandharvāpsarasas tathā | apatyam kapīlāyās tu purēne parikīrttitam |).

"2574. Daksha, the glorious rishi, tranquil in spirit, and great in austere fervour, sprang from the right thumb of Brahmā, 225 From the left thumb sprang that great Muni's wife, on whom he begot fifty 250 daughters. Of these he gave ten to Dharma, twenty-seven to Indu (Soma).227 and according to the celestial system, thirteen to Kaśyapa." I proceed with some other details given in the verses I have extracted: 2581. "Pitāmalia's descendant, Manu, the god and the lord of creatures, was his (it does not clearly appear whose) son. The cight Vasus, whom I shall detail, were his sons 2595 Dividing the right breast of Brahmā, the glorious Dharma (Righteousness), issued in a human form, bringing happiness to all people. He had three eminent sons, Sama, Kāma, and Harsha (Tranquillity, Love, and Joy), who are the delight of all creatures, and by their might support the world. 2610. Arushī, the daughter of Manu, was the wife of that sage (Chyavana, son of Bhrigu). 2614. There are two other sons of Brahmā. whose mark remains in the world, Dhatri, 228 and Vidhatri, who remained with Manu. Their sister was the beautiful goddess Lakshmi, 229 whose home is in the lotus. Her mind-born sons are the steeds who move in the sky. 2617. When the creatures who were desirous of food, had devoured one another, Adharma (Unrightcousness) was produced, the destroyer of all beings. His wife was Nirriti, and hence the Rākshasas are called Nairritas, or the offspring of Nirriti. She had three dreadful sons, continually addicted to evil deeds. Bhava Mahabhaya (Fear and Terror) and Mrityu (Death) the ender of beings. He has neither wife, nor any son, for ho is the ender."250

The next passage gives a different account of the origin of Daksha; and describes the descent of mankind from Manu:

Adip. 3128. Tejobher udetāḥ sarve maharshi-sama-tejasaḥ | daśa Pra-

²²⁶ See above, p 72 f. The Matsya P. also states that Daksha sprang from Brahmū's right thumb, Dharma from his nipple, Kāma from his heart, etc.

²³⁰ The passage of the Rāmāyana, quoted above, p. 116, affirms that they were sixty in number. Compare Wilson's Vishau P. vol. 1. pp 109 ff, and vol. 11. pp. 19 ff.

²⁷ The Taitt. Sanhitā, u. 3, 5, 1, says Prajāpati had thirty-three daughters, whom he gave to King Soma (Prajāpates trayastrimsad duhitara āsan | tāh Somāya rājne 'dadāt').

²⁸⁸ Dhatri had been proviously mentioned, in verso 2523, as one of the sons of Aduti. See also Wilson's Vishuu P. in 152.

²²⁰ See Wilson's Vishnu P. 1. pp. 109, 118 ff , 144 ff. and 152.

²³⁰ The Vishnu P. (Wilson, 1. 112) says he had five children.

chetasah putrah santah punya-janah smritah | mukhajenagnina yais te pūrvam dagdhā mahaujasah \ tebhyah Prāchetaso jajne Daksho Dakshād imāķ prajāķ | sambhūtāķ purusha-vyāghra sa hi loka-pītāmahaķ | Vīrinyā saha sangamya Dakshah Prāchetaso munih | ātma-tulyān ajanayat sahasram samsıta-vratan | sahasra-sankhyan sambhatan Dakshaputrāms cha Nāradah | moksham adhyāpavāmāsa sānkhya-inānam anuttamam | tatah panchāśatam kanyāh putrikāh abhisandadhe | Prajāpatih prajūh Dakshah sisrikshur Janamojaya | dadau cha dasa Dharmāya Kaśyapāya trayodaśa | kūlasya nayane yuktūḥ saptavimśatim Indave | 3135. Trayodasanam patninam ya tu Dakshayani vara | Marichah Kasyapas tasyām Ādityān samajījunat | Indrādīn vīryya-sampannān Vivasiantam athūpi cha | Vivasvatah suto jajne Yamo Vairasvatah prabhuh | Martandasya Manur dhiman ajayata sutah probhuh | Yamas chāpi suto jajne khyātas tasyānujah prabhuh | dharmātmā sa Manur dhīmān yatra vamsah pratishthitah | Manor vamso mānavānām tato 'yam prathito 'bhavat | brahma-kshatrādayas tasmād Manor jātās tu mānavāh | tato 'bhavad mahūrāja brahma kshattrena sangatam | 3140. Brāhmanā mānavās teshām sāngam vedam adhārayan | Venam Dhrishnum Narishyantam Nābhāgekshvālum era cha | Kārūsham atha Sāryatim tathā chawāshtamīm Ilām | Prishadhram navamam prohuh kshattra dharmaparāyanam | Nābhāgārīshta-dašamān Manoh putrān prachakshate | panchāśat tu Manoh putrās tathawānye 'bhavan kshitau | anyonya-bhedāt te sarve vinesur iti nah srutam | Purūravas tato vidvān Ilānam samapadyata | sā vai tasyābhavad mātā pītā chaivetī nah śrutam |

"3128. Born all with splendour, like that of great rishis, the ten sons of Prachetas are reputed to have been virtuous and holy; and by them the glorious beings²⁵¹ were formerly burnt up by fire springing from their mouths. From them was born Daksha Prāchetasa, ²⁸² and from Daksha, the Parent of the world (were produced), these creatures. Cohabiting with Vīrinī, the Muni Daksha begot a thousand sons like himself, famous

^{231 &}quot;Trees and plants," according to the Commentator (mahāprabhāvā vrikshau-shadhayāḥ). Compare Wilson's Vishnu P. ii. p 1

The same account of Daksha's birth is given in the Santip. 7573 Dasānām tanayas to eko Daksho nāma prajūpatih | tasya doe nāmanī toke Dakshah Ka iti chochyate | "These ten Prachetases had one son called Daksha, the lord of creatures He is commonly called by two names, Daksha and Ka" (Compare vol. iv. of this work, p. 13, note 30, and p. 24, and the S'atapatha Brāhmaṇa, vii. 4, 1, 19, and ii. 4, 4, 1, there quoted.) The following verse 7574 tells us that Kasyapa also had two names, the other being Arishtanemi. See Rām. iii. 14, 9, quoted above.

for their religious observances, to whom Narada taught the doctrine of final liberation, the unequalled knowledge of the Sankhya. Desirons of creating offspring, the Prajapati Daksha next formed fifty daughters, of whom he gave ten to Dharma, thirteen to Kasyapa, and twenty-seven, devoted to the regulation of time. 25th to Indu (Soma). 8135. On Dākshāyanī, 254 the most excellent of his thirteen wives, Kaśyapa, the son of Marīchi, begot the Ādityas, headed by Indra and distinguished by their energy, and also Vivasvat.225 To Vivasvat was born a son, the mighty Yama Vaivasvata. To Martanda (i.e. Vivasvat, the Sun) was born the wise and mighty Manu, and also the renowned Yama, his (Manu's) younger brother. Righteons was this wise Manu, on whom a race was founded. Hence this (family) of men became known as the race of Manu. Brahmans, Kshattriyas, and other men sprang from this Manu. From him, o king, came the Brahman conjoined with the Kshattriya. 3140. Among them the Brahmans, children of Manu, held the Veda with the Vedangas. The children of Manu are said to have been Vena, Dhrishnu, Narishyanta, Nabhaga, Ikshvaku, Karusha, Sarvati. Ila the eight, Prishadra the ninth, who was addicted to the duties of a Kshattriya, and Nabhagarishta the tenth. Manu had also fifty other sons; but they all, as we have heard, perished in consequence of mutual dissensions. Subsequently the wise Pururavas was born of Ila, who, we heard, was both his mother and his father."

/The tradition, followed in this passage, which assigns to all the castes one common ancestor, removed by several stages from the creator, is, of course, in conflict with the account which assigns to them a fourfold descent from the body of Brahmā himself.

The Santiparvan, verses 27.9 ff., contains an account of the origin of castes which has evidently proceeded from an extreme assertor of the dignity of the Brahmanical order. The description given of the prerogatives of the priestly class is precisely in the style, and partly in almost the identical words, of the most extravagant declarations of

This phrase kālasya nayans yuktāh had previously occurred in verse 2580, where it is followed by the words sarvā nakshatra-yogunyo loka-yūtrā-vidhūnatah | "all identified with the lunar asterisms, and appointed to regulate the life of men." See also Vishņu P. i. 15, 56, and Professor Wilson's translation ii. p. 10, note 1, and p. 28, note 1.

i.e. Aditi. See verses 2520, 2522, and 2600 of this same book.

²²⁵ The account in the Ramayana, ii. 110, 5 ff., agrees with this in making Kasyapa son of Marichi, and father of Vivasyat.

Manu (i. 99 f.) on the same subject. In other places, however, the Mahābhārata contains explanations of a very different character regarding the origin of the distinctions, social and professional, which prevailed at the period of its composition. A comparison of these various passages will afford an illustration of the fact already intimated in p. 6,200 that this gigantic poem is made up of heterogeneous elements, the products of different ages, and representing widely different dogmatical tendencies, the later portions having been introduced by successive editors of the work to support their own particular views, without any regard to their inconsistency with its earlier contents. In fact, a work so vast, the unaided compilation of which would have taxed all the powers of a Didymus Chalkenterus, could scarcely have been created in any other way than that of gradual accretion. And some supposition of this kind is certainly necessary in order to explain such discrepancies as will be found between the passages I have to quote, of which tho three first are the productions of believers (real or pretended) in the existence of a natural distinction between their own Brahmanical order and the other classes of the community, while the two by which these three are followed have emanated from fair and moderate writers who had rational views of the essential unity of mankind, and of the superiority of moral and religious character to any factitious divisions of a social description.

In the first passage, Bhīshma, the great uncle of the Pāndus, when describing to Yudhishthira the duties of kings, introduces one of those ancient stories which are so frequently appealed to in the Mahābhārata. Without a minute study of the poem it would be difficult to say whether these are ever based on old traditions, or are anything more than mere vehicles invented to convey the individual views of the writers who narrate them. Bhīshma says, Sāntiparvan, 2749:

Ya eva tu sato rakshed asatas cha nivarttayet | sa eva rājnā karttavyo rājan rāja-purohitah | 2750. Atrāpy udāharantīmam utihāsam purātanam | Purūravasa-Ailasya samvādam Mātarisvanah | Purūravā uvācha | Kutah svid brāhmaņo jāta varņās chāpi kutas trayah | kasmāchcha bhavati śreshthas tan me vyākhyātum arhasi | Mātarisvovācha | Brahmaņo mukhatah srishto brāhmaņo rāja-sattama | bākubhyām kshattriyah srishta ūrubhyām vaisya eva cha | varņānām parichāryyārtham trayānām Bha-

See also the fourth volume of this work, pp. 141 ff. and 152.

ratarshabha | varnas chaturthah sambhūtah padbhyām sūdro vinirmitah | brūhmano jūyamāno hi prithivyām anujūyate²³ | īsvarah saria-bhūtānām dharma-koshasya guptayo | 2755 Atah prithivyā yantāram kshattriyam danda-dhūrane | dvitīyam Dandam akarot prajānum anutriptaye | varsyas tu dhana-dhūnyena trīn varnān bibhriyād imūn | sūdro hy etān parichared iti Brahmānusāsanam | Aila uvācha | dvijasya kshattrabandhor vā kasyeyam prithivī bhavet | dharmatah saha vittena samyag Vūyo prachakshva me | Vūyur uvācha | viprasya sarvam evaitad yat kinchij jagatīgatam | jyeshthenābhijaneneha tad dharma-kusalā viduh | svam era brāhmano bhunkte svam vaste svam dadāti cha | gurur hi sarva-varnānām jyeshthah śreshthas cha var dvijah | 2760. Paty-abhūve yathawa strī devaram kurute patim | esha te prathamah kalpah āpady anyo bhaved atah |

/ "2749. The king should appoint to be his royal priest²³⁸ a man who will protect the good, and restrain the wicked. 2750. On this subject they relate this following ancient story of a conversation between Purūravas the sen of Ilā, and Mātariśvan (Vāyu, the Windgod). Purūravas said You must explain to me whence the Brāhman, and whence the (other) three castes were produced, and whence the superiority (of the first) arises. Mātariśvan answered. The Brāhman was created from Brahmā's mouth, the Kshattriya from his arms, the Vaiśya from his thighs, while for the purpose of serving these three

²³⁷ Manu, 1 99, has adhi jayate.

²⁸⁸ Raja-purohitah. The king's priest (raja-purohitah) is here represented as one who should be a confidential and virtuous minister of state. Such is not, however, the character always assigned to this class of persons. In Manu xii. 16, quoted above (p 41f.), the purchita is placed in a lower class than other Brahmans. And in the following verse (4527) of the Anus'asanaparvan, taken from a atory in which the Rishis utter maledictions against anyone who should have stolen certain lotus roots, part of the curse spoken by Visvamitra is as follows varshacharo'stu bhritako rainas chastu purohetah | ayayyasya bhavatv retreg visa-stainyam karoti yah | "Let the man who steals lotus roots be a hirching trafficker in rain incantations (?) and the domestic priest of a king, and the priest of one for whom no Brahman should officiate." Again, in verse 4579, the same person says karotu bhritako varsham rajnas chastu purchitah | ritvia actu hy ayayyasya yac te harate pushkaram | "Let him who steals the lotus perform as a hireling incantations to cause drought, and be a king's domestic priest, and the priest of one for whom no Brahman should officiate" I have had partly to guess at the sense of the words varshacharah and avarsham The Commentator does not explain the former, and interprets the latter (for which the Edinburgh MS. reads avarshah) by vrishti-nibandham, "causing drought." He adds, papishthah eva avarshah, "those who cause drought are most *icked."

castes was produced the fourth class, the S'ūdra, fashioned from his The Brahman, as soon as born, becomes the lord of all beings upon the earth, for the purpose of protecting the treasure of righteousness. 2755. Then (the creator) constituted the Kshattriva the controuler of the earth, a second Yama to bear the rod, for the satisfaction of the people. And it was Brahma's ordinance that the Vaisya should sustain these three classes with money and grain, and that the S'ūdra should serve them. The son of Ha then enquired: Tell mc, Vayu, to whom the earth, with its wealth, rightfully belongs, to the Brahman or the Kshattriya? Vayu replied: All this, whatever exists in the world, is the Brahman's property 240 by right of primogeniture: this is known to those who are skilled in the laws of duty. It is his own which the Brahman cats, puts on, and bestows. He is the chief of all the castes, the first-born and the most excellent. Just as a woman when she has lost her (first) husband, takes her brother in law for a second; so the Brahman is thy first resource in calamity; afterwards another may arise."

/ A great deal is shortly afterwards added about the advantages of concord between Brāhmans and Kshattriyas. Such verses as the following (2802): "From the dissensions of Brāhmans and Kshattriyas the people incur intolerable suffering" (mithe bhedād brāhmaṇa-kshattriyāṇām prajā duḥkhām dussahām chāvisanti) afford tolerably clear evidence that the interests of these two classes must frequently have clashed.

In the same strain as the preceding passage is the following:

Vanaparvan, 13436. Nādhyāpanād yājanād vā anyasmād vā pratigrahāt | dosho bhavati viprānām ji alitāgni-samā dvijāh | durvedā vā suvedā vā prākritāh samskritās tathā | brāhmanā nāvamantaryā bhasmachannā ivāgnayah | yathā śmaśāne dīptaujāh pāvako nawa dushyati | siam vidvān avidvān vā brāhmano dawatam mahat | prākārais cha puradvāraih prāsādais cha prithag-vidhaih | nagarāni na sobhante hīnāni brāhmanottamaih | vedāḍhyā vritta-sampannā jinānavantas tapasvinaḥ | yatra tishthanti vai viprās tannāma nagaram nripa | vraje vā pu athavā

200 Kullūka, the Commentator on Manu (1. 100), is obliged to admit that this is only spoken in a panegyrical or hyperbolical way, and that property is here used in a figurative sense, since theft is afterwards predicated by Munu of Brālmans as well as others ("evam" in stutyā uchyate | svam iva svam na tu svam eva | brāhmansyāpi Manunā stoyasya valshyamānatvāt).

'ranye yatra santi bahu śrutāḥ | tat tad nagaram ity āhuḥ pārtha tīrtham cha tad bhavet |

"No blame accrues to Brühmans from teaching or sacrificing, or from receiving money in any other way: Brühmans are like flaming fire. Whether ill or well versed in the Veda, whether untrained or accomplished, Brühmans must never be despised, like fires covered by ashes. Just as fire does not lose its purity by blazing even in a cemetery, so too, whether learned or unlearned, a Brühman is a great deity. Cities are not rendered magnificent by ramparts, gates, or palaces of various kinds, if they are destitute of excellent Brühmans. 13440. The place where Brühmans, rich in the Veda, perfect in their conduct, and austerely fervid, reside, is (really) a city (nagara). Wherever there are men abounding in Vedic lore, whether it be a cattle-pen, or a forest, that is called a city, and that will be a sacred locality."

The following verses from the Anuśasanap. 2160 ff. are even more extreme in their character, and are, in fact, perfectly sublime in their insolence:

Brāhmaṇānām parībhaīād asurāḥ salīle šayāh | brūhmaṇānām prasādāch cha devāh svarga-nīvāsīnaḥ | ašakyam srashtum ākāšam achālyo hīmavān giriḥ | adhāryyā setunā Gangā durjayā brāhmaṇā bhuvī | na brāhmaṇa-vīrodhena sakyā šāstum vasundharā | brāhmaṇā hī mahātmāno devānām apī deīatāḥ | tān pūjayasva salatam dūnena parīcharyyayā | yadīchhasī mahīm bhoktum imām sāgara-mekhulām |

"Through the prowess of the Brāhmans the Asuras were prostrated on the waters; by the favour of the Brāhmans the gods inhabit heaven. The ether cannot be created; the mountain Himavat cannot be shaken; the Gangā cannot be stemmed by a dam; the Brāhmans cannot be conquered by any one upon earth. The world cannot be ruled in opposition to the Brāhmans; for the mighty Brāhmans are the deities even of the gods. If thou desire to possess the sea-girt earth, honour them continually with gifts and with service."

The next passage seems to be self-contradictory, as it appears to set out with the supposition that the distinction of eastes arose after the creation; while it goes on to assert the separate origin of the four classes:

Săntiparvan, 10861. Janaka u ācha | varņo višesha-varņānām maharshe kena jāyate | etad ichhāmy aham jnātum tad brūhi vadatām vara | yad etaj jāyate 'patyam sa evāyum iti śrutih | katham brāhmanato jāto višesko grahanam gatah | Parašara uvācha | Evam etad mahārāja yena jūtah sa eva sah | tapasas tv apakarshena jūtigrahunatūm gatah | sukshettrāchcha suvījāch cha puņyo bhavati sambhavaḥ | ato 'nyatarato hīnād avaro nāma jūyate | 10865. Vaktrād bhujābhyām ūrubhyām padbhyām chaivātha jajnire | srijatah Prajāpater lokān iti dharmavido viduh | mukhajā brāhmanās tūta bāhujāh kshattrijāh smritāh | ūrujāh dhanino rājan pādajāh parichārakāh | chaturnām eva varnānām āgamah purusharshabha | ato 'nye vyatırıkta ye te var sankarajah emritüh | 10870. Janaka uvācha | Brahmanaikena jūtānām nānātvam gotratah katham | bahūnīha hi loke vai gotrāni muni sattama | yatra tatra katham jātāh svayonim (? suyonim) munayo gatāh | śuddha-yonau samutpannā viyonau cha tathā 'pare | Parāśara uvācha | rājan naitad bhaved grāhyam apakrishtena janmana | malatmanam samutpattis tapasa bhavitatmanam | utpādya putrān munayo nrīpate yatra tatra ha | svenaiva tapasā teshām rishitvam pradadhuh punah | 10876. Ete svām prakritim prāptā Vaideha topasośrayūt | pratishthitā veda-vido damena tapasawa hi | / "Janaka asks: 10861. How, o great rishi, does the casto of the separate classes arise? Tell mc, as I desire to know. According to the Veda, the offspring which is born (to any one) is the very man himself. How does offspring born of a Brahman fall into distinct classes? Parāśara replied: It is just as you say, o great king. A son is the very same as he by whom he was begotten; but from decline of austere fervour, (mcn) have become included under different classes. And from good soil and good seed a pure production arises, whilst from those which are different and faulty springs an inferior production. Those acquainted with duty know that men were born from the mouth, arms, thighs, and feet of Prajapati when he was creating the worlds. The Brahmans sprang from his mouth, the Kshattriyas from his arms, the merchants from his thighs, and the servants from his feet. The scriptural tradition speaks only of four classes. The men not included in these are declared to have sprung from a mixture (of the four). . . . 10870. Janaka asked: How is there a difference in race between men sprung from one and the same Brahmā? for there are now many races in the world. How have Munis born anywhere (indiscriminately) entered into a good family; some of them having sprung from a pure source and others from an inferior stock? Parasara replied: It would not be credible that noble-minded men, whose souls

had been perfected by austere fervour, should have been the offspring of a degraded birth. Munis who had begotten sons in an indiscriminate way conferred on them the position of rishis by their own austere fervour." The speaker then names a number of sages (10876) "famed for their acquaintance with the Veda, and for their self-command and austere fervour," as "having all attained to their respective conditions by practising the latter observance."

In the latter verses the speaker appears to admit, at the very moment that he denies, the degraded origin of some of the renowned saints of Indian antiquity. What else is the meaning of the verse, "Munis who had begotten sons in an indiscriminate way conferred on them the position of rishis by their own austere fervour?" No doubt it is intended to represent those as exceptional times: but while we refuse to admit this assumption, we may find some reason to suppose that the irregularities, as they were afterwards considered to be, which this assumption was intended to explain away, were really samples of the state of things which commonly prevailed in earlier ages.

The next extract declares that there is a natural distinction between the Brāhmans and the other eastes; and appears to intimate that the barrier so constituted can only he overpassed when the soul re-appears in another body in another birth:

Anušāsana-parva, 6570. Deva uvācha | Brāhmanyam devi dushprāpyam nisargād brāhmanah śubhe | kshattriyo vaišyašūdrau vā nisargād ti me matih | karmanā dushkriteneha sthānād bhraśyati vai dvijah | jyeshtham varnam anuprāpya tasnād raksheta vai dvijah | sthito brāhmana-dharmena brāhmanyam upajīvati | kshattriyo vā 'tha vaišyo vā brahmabhūyam sa gachhati | yas tu brahmatvam utsrijya kshāttram dharmam nishei ate | brāhmanyāt sa paribhrashtah kshattra-yonau prajāyate | vaišya-karma cha yo vipro lobha-moha-vyapārayah | brāhmanyam durlabham prāpya karoty alpa-matih sadā | sa dvijo vaišyatām eti vaišyo vā šūdratām iyat | sva-dharmāt prachyuto vipras tatah śūdratvam āpnute | 6590. Ebhis tu karmabhir devi šubhair ācharitais tathā | sūdro brāhmanatām yāti vaišyah kshattriyatām vrajet | sūdra-karmāns sarvāni yathānyāyam yathāvidhi | sūsrūshām paricharyyām cha jyeshthe varne prayatnatah | kuryād ityādi |

Mahādeva says: 6570. "Brāhmanhood, o fair geddess, is difficult to

be attained. A man, whether he bo a Brāhman, Kshattriya, Vaisya, or Südra, is such by nature; this is my opinion. By evil deeds a twiceborn man falls from his position. Then let a twice-born man who has attained to the highest caste, keep it. The Kshattriya, or Vaiśya, who lives in the condition of a Brahman, by practising the duties of one, attains to Brahmanhood. But he who abandons the state of a Brahman and practises the duty of a Kshattriya, falls from Brahmanhood and is born in a Kshattriya womb. And the foolish Brahman, who, having attained that Brahmanhood which is so hard to get, follows the profession of a Vaisya, under the influence of cupidity and delusion, falls into the condition of a Vaisya. (In like manner) a Vaisya may sink into the state of a Sudra. A Brahman who falls away from his own duty becomes afterwards a S'adra. 6590. But by practising the following good works, o goddess, a Sudra becomes a Brahman, and a Vaisya becomes a Kshattriya: Let him actively perform all the functions of a Sudra according to propriety and rule. 2.9. obedience and service to the highest caste," etc.

The next passage is the first of those which I have already noted, as in spirit and tenor very different from the preceding. The conversation which it records arose as follows: Yudhishthira found his brother Bhamasena caught in the coils of a serpent, which, it turned out, was no other than the famous king Nahusha, who by his sacrifices, austerities, etc., had formerly raised himself to the sovereignty of the three worlds; but had been reduced to the condition in which he was now seen, as a punishment for his pride and contempt of the Brāmans. He promises to let Bhāmaseva go, if Yudhishthira will answer certain questions. Yudhishthira agrees, and remarks that the screent was acquainted with whatever a Brāhman ought to know. Whereupon the Serpent proceeds:

Vana-parva, verses 12469 f.: Sarpa uvācha | brāhmaṇaḥ ko bhaved rājan vedyam kim cha Yudhishthira | 12470. Bravīhy atimatim tvām hi vākyair anumimīmahs | Yudhishthira uvācha | atyam dānam kshamā śīlam ānriśamsyam tapo ghriṇā | driśyante yatra nāgendra sa brāhmaṇah iti smritiḥ | vedyam sarpa param Brahma nirtuhkham zsukham cha yat | yatra gatvā na śochanti bhavataḥ kim vivakshitam (Sarpa uvācha | chāturvarṇyam pramāṇam cha satyam oha brahma chaiva hi | Sūdreshv api cha satyam cha dānam akrodha sva cha | ānriśamsyam ahimsā cha ghriṇā chaiva Yudhishthiri | vedyām yach chātra airduhkham asukham cha na-